

Discussion Papers

The Welfare Rules
Databook: State Policies
as of July 2002

Gretchen Rowe
with
Victoria Russell
The Urban Institute
04-06

October
2004



Assessing
the New
Federalism

*An Urban Institute
Program to Assess
Changing Social
Policies*



Assessing the New Federalism is a multiyear Urban Institute project designed to analyze the devolution of responsibility for social programs from the federal government to the states, focusing primarily on health care, income security, employment and training programs, and social services. Researchers monitor program changes and fiscal developments. Olivia Golden is the project director. In collaboration with Child Trends, the project studies changes in family well-being. The project aims to provide timely, nonpartisan information to inform public debate and to help state and local decisionmakers carry out their new responsibilities more effectively.

Key components of the project include a household survey and studies of policies in 13 states, available at the Urban Institute's web site, <http://www.urban.org>. This paper is one in a series of discussion papers analyzing information from these and other sources.

The authors thank Linda Giannarelli for her input and guidance during this process. The authors also thank the many state administrators for verifying the WRD data and our project officer at ACF, Alan Yaffe, for his support. The authors thank Susanne Beechey and Meghan Williamson for their research assistance on this project. In addition, this paper could not have been written if not for the following past and present UI staff members who were integral to the development and continuation of the Welfare Rules Database: Wainani Au, Christine Barber, Matthew Buettgens, Dan Dowhan, Matthew Fellowes, Jerome Gallagher, Megan Gallagher, Jennifer Godwin, Brian Gormley, Tara Grieshop, Amelia Gruber, Cheri Harrington, Jeffrey Krehely, Joel Ludington, Alberto Martini, Heather McCallum, Kevin McManus, Lori O'Brien, Monique Ouimette, Kevin Perese, Dorothy Preston, Tracy Roberts, Victoria Russell, Lisa Sturtevant, Keith Watson, Susan Williams, and Elisabeth Wright.

This project was funded by The Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families and The Department of Health and Human Services, Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation. The *Assessing the New Federalism* project is currently supported by The Annie E. Casey Foundation, The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, the W. K. Kellogg Foundation, The John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation, and The Ford Foundation.

The nonpartisan Urban Institute publishes studies, reports, and books on timely topics worthy of public consideration. The views expressed are those of the authors and should not be attributed to the Urban Institute, its trustees, its funders, or other authors in the series.

Publisher: The Urban Institute, 2100 M Street, NW, Washington, DC 20037
Copyright © 2004. Permission is granted for reproduction of this document, with attribution to the Urban Institute.

Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| Introduction and Background | 1 |
| The Welfare Rules Database | 1 |
| The Databook | 8 |
| Policies as of July 2002 | 11 |
| I. Initial Eligibility | 13 |
| A. Does the state try to divert some families from becoming recipients? | 15 |
| B. How does family composition or individual status affect eligibility? | 17 |
| C. What level of assets can a family have and still be eligible? | 23 |
| D. How is income counted in determining eligibility? | 24 |
| E. How much income can a family have and still be eligible? | 26 |
| II. Benefits | 67 |
| A. If a family passes all eligibility tests, what is received? | 69 |
| III. Requirements | 83 |
| A. Once determined eligible, what must a recipient family do to maintain benefits? | 85 |
| B. What work activities are required? | 87 |
| IV. Ongoing Eligibility | 105 |
| A. What eligibility tests must recipient families pass for continuing eligibility? | 107 |
| B. Are children eligible if born while the family receives benefits? | 111 |
| C. How long can a family receive benefits? | 112 |
| Policies across Time, 1996–2002 | 147 |
| Appendix 1: Component Descriptions | 184 |
| About the Authors | 189 |

Tables

| | | |
|---------|--|-----|
| I.A.1 | Formal Diversion Payments, July 2002 | 32 |
| I.A.2 | Mandatory Job Search at Application, July 2002 | 36 |
| I.B.1 | Eligibility of Pregnant Women with No Other Children, July 2002 | 38 |
| I.B.2 | Eligibility Rules for Two-Parent, Nondisabled Applicant Units, July 2002 | 40 |
| I.B.3 | Special Rules Imposed on Minor Parent Eligibility, July 2002 | 42 |
| I.B.4 | Stepparent Eligibility, July 2002 | 44 |
| I.B.5 | State Practices Regarding Eligibility of Nonexempt, Pre-PRWORA Qualified Aliens, July 2002 | 46 |
| I.B.6 | States Using State Funds to Help Noncitizens Who Entered after Enactment and Are Ineligible for Federal TANF Assistance, July 2002 | 48 |
| I.B.7 | State Practices Regarding Eligibility of Nonexempt, Post-PRWORA, Qualified Aliens after Five Years, July 2002 | 50 |
| I.C.1 | Asset Limits for Applicants, July 2002 | 52 |
| I.D.1 | Treatment of Grandparent Income, July 2002 | 54 |
| I.D.2 | Treatment of Stepparent Income, July 2002 | 56 |
| I.E.1 | Income Eligibility Test for Applicants, July 2002 | 58 |
| I.E.2 | Earned Income Disregards for Income Eligibility Purposes, July 2002 | 60 |
| I.E.3 | Eligibility Standards, July 2002 | 62 |
| I.E.4 | Maximum Income for Initial Eligibility for a Family of Three, July 2002 | 64 |
| II.A.1 | Earned Income Disregards for Benefit Computation, July 2002 | 74 |
| II.A.2 | Benefit Determination Policies, July 2002 | 76 |
| II.A.3 | Benefit Standards, July 2002 | 78 |
| II.A.4 | Maximum Monthly Benefit for a Family of Three with No Income, July 2002 | 80 |
| III.A.1 | Behavioral Requirements, July 2002 | 92 |
| III.B.1 | Work-Related Activity Exemptions for Single-Parent Head of Unit, July 2002 | 94 |
| III.B.2 | Work-Related Activity Requirements for Single-Parent Head of Unit, July 2002 | 98 |
| III.B.3 | Sanction Policies for Noncompliance with Work Requirements for Single-Parent Head of Unit, July 2002 | 100 |
| IV.A.1 | Eligibility Rules for Two-Parent, Nondisabled Recipient Units, July 2002 | 116 |

| | | |
|--------|---|-----|
| IV.A.2 | Treatment of Child Support Income for Recipients, July 2002 | 118 |
| IV.A.3 | Asset Limits for Recipients, July 2002 | 120 |
| IV.A.4 | Income Eligibility Tests for Recipients, July 2002 | 124 |
| IV.B.1 | Family Cap Policies, July 2002 | 126 |
| IV.C.1 | State Lifetime Time Limit Policies, July 2002 | 128 |
| IV.C.2 | Other State Time Limit Policies, July 2002 | 130 |
| IV.C.3 | State Time Limit Exemption Policies, July 2002 | 132 |
| IV.C.4 | State Time Limit Extension Policies, July 2002 | 138 |
| L1 | Formal Diversion Payments, 1996–2002 (July) | 154 |
| L2 | Types of Special Restrictions on Two-Parent, Nondisabled Units' Eligibility, 1996–2002 (July) | 156 |
| L3 | Maximum Income for Initial Eligibility for a Family of Three, 1996–2002 (July) | 158 |
| L4 | Earned Income Disregards for Benefit Computation, 1996–2002 (July) | 160 |
| L5 | Maximum Monthly Benefit for a Family of Three with No Income, 1996–2002 (July) | 166 |
| L6 | Work-Related Exemption When Caring for a Child under X Months, 1996–2002 (July) | 168 |
| L7 | Most Severe Sanction Policy for Noncompliance with Work Requirements for Single-Parent Adults, 1996–2002 (July) | 170 |
| L8 | Asset Limits for Recipients, 1996–2002 (July) | 176 |
| L9 | Vehicle Exemptions for Recipients, 1996–2002 (July) | 178 |
| L10 | Family Cap Policies, 1996–2002 (July) | 182 |

Introduction and Background

This publication, *The Welfare Rules Databook*, provides tables containing key Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) policies for each state as of July 2002, as well as longitudinal tables describing selected state policies from 1996 through 2002. The tables are based on the information in the Welfare Rules Database (WRD), a publicly available, online database originally developed under the Urban Institute's *Assessing the New Federalism* project.

The *Databook* is divided into five groups of tables: Initial Eligibility in 2002; Benefits in 2002; Requirements in 2002; Ongoing Eligibility in 2002; and Policies across Time, 1996–2002. Each chapter begins with an overview of the policies, followed by details relating to specific tables.

The *Databook* provides a summary of the detailed information in the WRD. Users interested in a greater level of detail are encouraged to use the full database, available at <http://anfdata.urban.org/wrd>. This site includes a point-and-click interface, as well as documentation.

The following sections discuss the background and structure of the WRD, and the contents and structure of the tables in this book.

The Welfare Rules Database

The Welfare Rules Database is a comprehensive, sophisticated resource for comparing cash assistance programs across all 50 states and the District of Columbia, researching changes across time in cash assistance rules within a single state, or determining the rules governing cash assistance in one state at a point in time. The WRD is longitudinal, and currently provides information on state Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) and TANF policies from

1996 through 2002. The WRD was initially developed to meet the needs of researchers under the Urban Institute's *Assessing the New Federalism* project and was made publicly available in August 1999. The Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families (DHHS/ACF) and the Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation (DHHS/ASPE) are currently funding the maintenance and development of the WRD.

The Development of the WRD

The WRD was developed in response to the increasing difficulty since the early 1990s of tracking how states operate their cash assistance programs for needy families. Under AFDC, the structure of eligibility and benefit computation was mostly determined at the federal level. States were allowed to set certain policies—such as the standards used to establish eligibility and benefits, and the rules for two-parent families—but those choices were detailed in the State Plans they submitted to the DHHS/ACF, and in annual reports issued by DHHS/ACF summarizing the State Plans. In the early to mid-1990s, as more states received waivers to experiment with their welfare rules, it became increasingly difficult to research states' policies. The Waiver Terms and Conditions agreed to by the state and the federal government often did not provide full implementation details, and the implementation schedules often changed after the agreement was reached. The August 1996 passage of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA), replacing AFDC with the TANF block grant, further increased both the degree of variation across state programs and the difficulty of tracking program rules.

Currently, states are periodically required to submit to the federal government TANF State Plans that provide an overview of their choices under the block grant. However, the Plans' level of detail varies considerably across states and, generally, they offer insufficient information

to completely understand the details of eligibility, benefit computation, and client requirements. Furthermore, although the states are expected to notify the federal government if any of their choices change after the submission of a Plan, they are not required to do so.

The WRD was developed to provide a source of detailed information about states' TANF policies, going beyond the level of detail in most states' official State Plans and capturing changes in policies that occur between the submission of those Plans. The WRD focuses on cash assistance policies and some closely tied transitional benefits. Its main focus is on federally funded policies; however, some information on policies provided under state-separate funding is included when those benefits are considered part of the same basic program by the state and are therefore included in the caseworker manual. Thus, benefits paid to two-parent units and certain immigrant units are included, even when they do not use federal funds. The WRD does not attempt to capture other uses of federal TANF funds (such as state earned income tax credits, child care programs, etc).

Contents of the WRD

The WRD provides detailed information on a wide range of policy topics. These topics are currently organized into 29 categories that together describe most of the significant dimensions of state policies. While the categories may be ordered in a variety of ways, it is useful to consider the rules in the sequence in which individuals seeking and receiving assistance will likely encounter them. The 29 categories are listed below, organized into five sections, beginning with initial eligibility.

I. Initial Eligibility

- A. Does the state try to divert some families from becoming recipients?**
Diversion
- B. How does family composition or individual status affect eligibility?**
Eligibility by Number/Type of Parents
Eligibility of Units Headed by a Minor Parent
Eligibility of Pregnant Women
Employment-Related Eligibility of Two-Parent Families
Eligibility of Individual Family Members
Inclusion of Noncitizens in the Unit
- C. What level of assets can a family have and still be eligible?**
Asset Test
- D. How is income counted in determining eligibility?**
Countable Income
Income and Assets of Children
In-Kind Income
Deemed Income
Child Support
Earned Income Disregards
- E. How much income can a family have and still be eligible?**
Income Eligibility Tests
Dollar Amounts¹

II. Benefits

- A. If a family passes all eligibility tests, what is received?**
Benefit Computation²

III. Requirements

- A. Once determined eligible, what must a recipient family do to maintain benefits?**
Contracts and Agreements
School Policies for Dependent Children
Immunization and Health Screening Requirements
Child Support Sanctions³

¹ The information in the Dollar Amounts category is also relevant to other categories, including Deemed Income, Income Eligibility Tests, and Benefit Computation.

² Most states base benefits on the net income of the recipient. Net income is calculated by determining the gross income of a recipient and subtracting any earned income disregards the state may allow. Therefore, the Earned Income Disregard category is also relevant for benefit determination.

B. What work activities are required?

Activities Exemptions

Activities Requirements

Activities Sanctions

Minor Parent Activities Requirements and Bonuses

Components

IV. Ongoing Eligibility

A. How long can a family receive benefits?

Time Limits

B. Are children eligible if born while the family receives benefits?

Family Cap

Note: The categories under sections I.B-I.E and II may be relevant to ongoing eligibility. In most states, recipients are also required to pass nonfinancial and financial tests in order to continue receiving benefits. These tests may differ for initial and ongoing eligibility.

V. Transition to Self-Support

A. What happens after cash assistance ends?

Transitional Benefits

Sources of Information for the WRD, and Verification of the Data

The primary sources of information for the WRD (and thus for the tables in the *Databook*) are the caseworker manuals and/or regulations used in each state and the District of Columbia.⁴ The Urban Institute has a subscription with each state to obtain the manuals or regulations as well as the ongoing updates to those manuals or regulations. These documents provide a consistent source of detailed information on policy changes and implementation dates across states and time.

³ The child support requirements for which a recipient may be sanctioned are included in the Child Support category listed under section I.D.

⁴ Due to the difficulty of obtaining caseworker manuals during the transition from AFDC to TANF, the 1996 data in the WRD are coded using several different sources including (a) caseworker manuals, when available; (b) AFDC State Plans submitted by states to the federal government; (c) waiver terms and conditions; and (d) telephone calls to states to clarify the implementation dates of waivers.

States were given the opportunity to verify the key polices in the 2002 *Databook* tables.

The 46 states that reviewed the *Databook* tables are:

| | | | |
|-------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| Alabama | Idaho | Missouri | South Dakota |
| Alaska | Illinois | Montana | Tennessee |
| Arizona | Indiana | Nebraska | Texas |
| Arkansas | Iowa | New Hampshire | Utah |
| California | Kansas | New Jersey | Vermont |
| Colorado | Kentucky | New York | Virginia |
| Connecticut | Maine | North Dakota | Washington |
| Delaware | Maryland | Oklahoma | West Virginia |
| D.C. | Massachusetts | Oregon | Wisconsin |
| Florida | Michigan | Pennsylvania | Wyoming |
| Georgia | Minnesota | Rhode Island | |
| Hawaii | Mississippi | South Carolina | |

A similar verification process was performed in the last three years for the 1999, 2000, and 2001 data. In those rounds of verification, 44 states reviewed the complete 1999 data or the 1999 tables, 46 states reviewed the complete 2000 data or the 2000 tables, and 45 states reviewed the 2001 tables. Portions of the 1996–98 information in the WRD have been verified against selected secondary sources, but have not been fully reviewed by state TANF staff, due to their historic nature.

General Points about the WRD

The WRD

- contains information on the cash assistance rules *in effect* in each state across time. It does not include information on proposals or legislation that has not been implemented.
- focuses on welfare *rules*. The database does not contain information regarding caseloads, budgets, outcomes, or administrative practices.
- contains at least one “record” (a set of coded variables) for each state, year, and category of rules.
- contains additional records when the state changes a policy during the year or when the state’s policies vary by geographic regions of the state, demographic characteristics of the assistance units, or “component” groups across the state. (The term “component” is used when the state’s caseload is divided into mutually exclusive groups based on multiple characteristics.)
- indicates when states vary policies by county. For those policies that are determined at the county level, the WRD captures the policies for the largest county in the state.⁵
- for every year, state, and category of rules contains one record that is designated as the “majority rule” record. This record represents the policy that affected the majority of the caseload for the majority of the year.

It is important to note that neither the WRD nor the *Databook* address the issue of how rules may be implemented in practice. As noted above, the WRD is based on caseworker manuals and/or regulations, which typically do not include information on the likelihood of various outcomes occurring. For instance, if a particular type of recipient may be assigned to one of a number of different types of work activities, the manuals do not typically address the issue of which type is the most likely assignment. Thus, for certain types of policies, two states may look quite similar in the database and yet in practice be quite different, and other states’ policies may look quite different and yet be similar in practice.

⁵ The states that allow counties to vary policies (that are included in this book) are California, Colorado, and Ohio. The largest counties in each state are Los Angeles County in California, Denver County in Colorado, and Cuyahoga County in Ohio.

The Databook

Content of the Databook

The descriptions of the policies in this *Databook* should be considered from the point of view of the individuals and families to whom the policies apply. The book describes the rules that affect individuals and families in each state, but generally does not specifically identify whether those policies are imposed due to federal or state requirements. Thus, this book does not provide an exhaustive description of federal requirements or prohibitions. Unless a specific policy could not be fully discussed without identifying that the policy stemmed from a federal regulation, the federal versus state distinction is not mentioned. For example, in the case of time limits, it is difficult to fully explain TANF time limit policies without discussing the federal government's 60-month limit. However, in most instances we simply state the policies and do not discuss which level of government (federal versus state) institutes the specific requirements.

The tables included in this book are designed to provide readers with easy access to key TANF policies across states as of July 2002. The first four chapters of the *Databook* provide information as of July 2002 across four broad policy areas: initial eligibility, benefits, requirements, and ongoing eligibility. For selected policies, longitudinal tables include data from 1996 through 2002.

The data for the tables are extracted from the WRD and only represent a portion of the overall information in the database. Even for the policy areas addressed in this book, further details are available online in the WRD. In general, the *Databook* tables focus on the "majority rule" (the policy that affects the majority of the state's caseload for the majority of the year) for a particular aspect of policy, while the online database allows exploration of policy variations across months of the year and/or different subsets of the caseload.

For more information about which WRD variables are required for each table, see the WRD web site. The web site includes a link to the “Creation of Databook Variables” document. This document lists the WRD variables used for each of the Databook tables and provides some information on how to construct the Databook variables from the WRD.

Structure of the Tables

Each table follows the same general structure and was created using the same set of general rules. Typically, the body of each table includes one row per state, which represents the policies that affect the majority of the caseload as of July 2002. Units comprising the majority of the caseload tend to be nonexempt, single-parent units with children.

Some tables do include more information than just the rules for the majority of the caseload. In some cases, the information is represented as an additional row for the state, whereas other types of information are included as footnotes.

- Additional data as a second row: A second row is added to the body of the table if a state divides its entire caseload into mutually exclusive groups that are treated differently across more than one policy. These groups—termed “components” in the WRD—are usually defined by more than one characteristic, such as “units containing a child under 13 years old whose unit head is job-ready.” Descriptions of states’ components are located in appendix 1.
- Additional data as a footnote: Several types of variations may appear as footnotes in the tables. These include variation by type of assistance unit (two-parent units vs. one-parent units or applicants vs. recipients) or geographic areas (demonstration projects in a few counties vs. the rest of the state). In some, but not all, tables these types of policies are footnoted. Although the tables do not capture all of the variation in policies across regions and types of units, the WRD does contain this information. In addition, states that allow counties to vary policy are footnoted. The footnote indicates the name of the county (the largest in the state) to which the policy applies

Each 2002 table is numbered by chapter and section. For example, Table III.A.1 refers to the first table in chapter III, section A. The longitudinal tables are numbered L1 through L10.

The numbering of the tables in this book corresponds to the numbering of the tables in the 1999

through 2001 books. Note that several tables have been added to or changed in the 2002 book.

Three tables were added to the 2002 book, including a new pre-reform immigrant table (I.B.5), a time limit exemption table (IV.C.3), and a time limit extension table (IV.C.4). The “Treatment of Child Support Income” table previously Table I.D.1 in the Initial Eligibility section has been moved to Ongoing Eligibility section (Table IV.A.2) and now focuses on the treatment of recipient’s child support income. The “Asset Limits for Recipients” table, previously Table IV.A.2 is now Table IV.A.3. The addition of the new pre-reform immigrant table has also shifted two tables. Previously, the “States Using State Funds to Help Noncitizens Who Entered after Enactment and Are Ineligible for Federal TANF Assistance” table was located at I.B.5; it is now located at I.B.6. The “State Practices Regarding Eligibility of Nonexempt, Post-PRWORA, Qualified Aliens after Five Years” table was located at I.B.6; it is now at I.B.7.

Policies as of July 2002

Initial Eligibility

I. Initial Eligibility

The tables in this chapter of the *Databook* describe key aspects of the rules imposed on families and individuals in order to determine initial eligibility for TANF cash assistance, as of July 2002.

Rules for initial eligibility apply to individuals who are newly applying or reapplying for assistance. To be eligible, an applicant family must pass both nonfinancial tests based on the demographic characteristics of the family and its members, and financial tests based on the income and asset holdings available to the family. This chapter is divided into five sections covering initial eligibility rules related to diversion, family composition, assets, income definitions, and income tests.

A. Does the state try to divert some families from becoming recipients?

During the 1990s, first under state waivers and then after the passage of PRWORA, states began focusing their efforts on decreasing caseloads, as well as encouraging families to become self-sufficient. To meet these goals, many states developed policies that attempt to divert from assistance those applicants needing the least amount of state help to become self-sufficient.

Two policies intended to encourage self-sufficiency are diversion and job search at application. By July 2002, well over half of all states had created a formal diversion program. Under formal diversion programs, families may choose to receive a lump-sum cash payment to deal with immediate needs instead of receiving a monthly TANF benefit. Generally, the benefits are provided to families to alleviate short-term problems that interfere with either keeping or finding employment. Families that accept diversion payments are typically barred from applying for monthly TANF benefits for some period of time.

About a third of the states have instituted a job search at application policy, which encourages applicants to find work. These policies require applicants to search for a job either before or while their application is processed. To be eligible for aid, they must prove that they have searched for a job at a set number of businesses. States hope that applicants who may not have previously pursued employment as aggressively as required by the state will find a job and no longer need assistance.

Below is a further discussion of these topics and the tables included in this section.

Formal Diversion: Table I.A.1 describes states' formal diversion programs. For purposes of the WRD and this table, a formal diversion program diverts eligible applicants or recipients from ongoing TANF receipt by providing a one-time cash payment paid directly to the family or to a vendor for expenses incurred by the family. Other strategies that states may use to divert applicants from ongoing receipt of cash benefits (such as requiring an applicant to participate in job search or resource and referral services) are not identified as diversion programs in the table.

Families applying for a diversion payment must still be eligible for assistance using the state's eligibility rules (see sections I.B–I.E). The rules are generally the same for families that apply for diversion and those that apply for monthly assistance.

The amount of a diversion payment varies greatly across the states. Currently, 11 states provide families with a flat lump-sum amount of diversion, regardless of the family's size. These payments range from \$1,000 to \$4,000, depending on the state. The majority of states with diversion programs, however, determine the lump-sum diversion payment amount based on a multiple of the benefit the family would receive in the state if they were eligible and received monthly TANF payments. The diversion payments range from two months to eight months worth of monthly benefits, depending on the state. The majority offer up to three months of the

maximum benefit the family would receive if receiving assistance. Note that the maximum diversion amount in these states is also impacted by the variation in the states' maximum monthly benefit levels and the family size.

Table I.A.1 describes whether states provide a diversion payment, the maximum payment, the form of the payment, how often a recipient may receive the maximum payment, the period of TANF ineligibility after receiving a diversion payment, and whether the diversion payment counts toward the state's time limit. States conducting demonstration projects that provide diversion assistance in a few counties, but not statewide, are footnoted.

Related tables: Table L1 indicates whether each state had a formal diversion program in the years 1996 through 2002.

Mandatory job search at application: Table I.A.2 indicates which states require applicants to search for a job prior to application as a condition of eligibility. Presently, 16 states require an applicant to seek employment either before or while their application is processed. The job search requirements vary by state, but in all cases if the applicant does not comply with the requirement, the family is not eligible for assistance.

B. How does family composition or individual status affect eligibility?

To be eligible for either a diversion payment or monthly benefits, a family must pass several nonfinancial tests based on the demographic characteristics of the overall family or individuals within the family. States impose several rules on families to determine whether they may be eligible for TANF. At the most basic level, the family must include a child or, in some states, a pregnant woman. If the family includes two parents, some states impose special eligibility tests based on the parents' current or prior labor force status. If the head of the family is a teenager,

she may or may not be eligible to receive a benefit on her own, and in most states she is eligible only if she is living with her parents or in another state-approved setting.

Even when a family passes these tests, some members of the family may not be eligible to be part of the “assistance unit” (the group of people whose needs are considered in establishing the benefit). For instance, many states prohibit the inclusion of stepparents in the assistance unit, while other states require their inclusion, and others still give the family the option. The degree to which individual noncitizens are eligible to be in the assistance unit also varies from one state to the next. States base the eligibility of noncitizens on several factors, including when they arrived in the country, how long they have resided in the country, and their immigrant status.

Below is a further discussion of these topics and the tables included in this section.

Eligibility of pregnant women: Table I.B.1 indicates whether pregnant women who have no other children are eligible to receive TANF cash assistance. For those states that do provide benefits, the table also indicates in which month of pregnancy benefits begin.

Two-parent eligibility for applicants: For states providing benefits to two-parent families, Table I.B.2 describes any special eligibility rules imposed on two-parent applicant units where neither parent is disabled (“UP,” or unemployed-parent families, in the former AFDC program).⁶ The three types of tests that are sometimes imposed include limits on hours of work, work history tests, and waiting periods. Under an “hours test,” the unit is not eligible if the principal wage earner is working more than a specified number of hours per month. Note that states may apply this rule when determining the initial and/or continuing eligibility of two-parent families.

⁶ North Dakota no longer provides TANF benefits to two-parent, nondisabled units. Also note that in some states, benefits are provided to two-parent units under a “Separate State Program” funded by state monies rather than the TANF grant. The table includes those states as providing benefits to two-parent families regardless of the funding source.

Under a work history test, the eligibility of two-parent units is restricted to those where the principal wage earner worked during a certain number of calendar quarters over a specified number of years, or where the principal wage earner satisfies other criteria related to labor force attachment.

Waiting periods restrict the eligibility of two-parent families until a certain number of days or weeks after the family would otherwise have been eligible.⁷ In other words, under a 30-day waiting period, if the principal wage earner becomes unemployed, and the family would not have been eligible when the parent was working, the family would not become eligible to apply for assistance until one month after the parent lost his/her job.

Related tables: See Table IV.A.1 for details on the hours test for recipients and Table L2 for information on the rules for two-parent units in the years 1996 through 2002.

Minor parent eligibility: Table I.B.3 describes special eligibility rules for families where the parent is a minor (usually defined as under age 18 and never married). The first column indicates whether a minor parent can ever head a TANF unit and receive the benefit check in his or her own name. The second column indicates whether the state requires the minor parent to live with a parent or in another state-approved setting (referred to in the table as a living arrangement restriction). In nearly all states, unless exempt due to good cause, a minor parent must live with her own parent(s) or in some other state-approved setting.⁸

Related tables: See Table I.D.1 for the rules related to the treatment of the income from the parents of a minor parent who is heading her own assistance unit.

⁷ Historically, waiting periods were only imposed on two-parent units. However, under TANF, some states have begun to apply waiting periods to all types of units. The WRD does not currently capture waiting periods that apply to all units.

⁸ In general, states may not provide federally funded assistance to minor parents who are not living in an adult-supervised setting. However, there are some exceptions to this requirement.

Eligibility of stepparents: Table I.B.4 describes whether a stepparent is included in the TANF assistance unit. Depending on the state policy, stepparents may be required to be part of the unit, may be prohibited from being part of the unit, or may be included in the unit at the option of the family. This table focuses on rules for stepparents who have no child in common with their spouse and who have no biological children of their own in the assistance unit. State rules for stepparents not meeting those criteria may vary and are discussed in the WRD.

Related tables: See Table I.D.2 for the rules related to the treatment of the income from a stepparent who is not included in the assistance unit.

Eligibility of noncitizens: After the passage of PRWORA, noncitizens' access to federal TANF benefits was significantly restricted. The PRWORA legislation created the "qualified alien" distinction, which more narrowly defined the group of noncitizens potentially eligible for most federally funded TANF assistance.⁹ With the exception of a few exempted groups, the federal law further limited qualified aliens' access to assistance based on their date of entry into the country.¹⁰ Qualified aliens who entered the United States before August 22, 1996, (the date PRWORA was passed) are potentially eligible for assistance without any waiting period, whereas certain qualified aliens who arrive in the country on or after August 22, 1996 are subject to a five-year bar on federal TANF assistance.¹¹ (If the alien enters the United States on or after August 22, 1996, but is not qualified when he or she enters, the five-year clock begins on the

⁹ Qualified aliens include legal permanent residents, asylees, refugees, aliens paroled into the United States for at least one year, aliens whose deportations are being withheld, aliens granted conditional entry, certain battered alien spouses and their children, battered alien children and their parents, Cuban/Haitian entrants, and aliens granted conditional entry prior to April 1, 1980.

¹⁰ The federal law exempts several groups of aliens from the five-year bar. They are: refugees and asylees, individuals who had their deportation withheld, veterans or individuals in active duty along with their spouses and unmarried dependent children, Cuban/Haitian entrants, and certain Amerasians.

¹¹ The five-year bar only applies to those aliens who entered the United States—whether legally or illegally—on or after August 22, 1996. Therefore, a person may have entered illegally in 1994 and became a legal permanent resident in 2000. As long as that person has been continuously present in the United States, the bar would not apply to him/her. See the Department of Justice's Interim Guidance on Verification of Citizenship, Qualified Alien Status and Eligibility under Title IV of PRWORA at 62 Fed. Reg. 61415 (November 17, 1997).

date his or her immigrant status becomes qualified). After the five-year bar, qualified aliens are again potentially eligible for federally funded TANF assistance. Although federal law determines which aliens are potentially eligible for benefits and during which time periods, states have some flexibility. States have the option to provide or deny assistance to certain qualified aliens who the federal government has indicated are potentially eligible for benefits.¹² States also have the option of providing state-funded assistance to certain aliens that federal law provides are not eligible for federally funded assistance—such as qualified aliens during the five-year bar or certain unqualified aliens.

States have made three types of decisions about the eligibility of aliens: (1) Will some of or all the qualified aliens that arrived prior to PRWORA (pre-PRWORA) be eligible for benefits?; (2) Will some of or all the aliens who arrived after PRWORA (post-PRWORA) be eligible for benefits during the five year bar?; and (3) Will some of or all the post-PRWORA aliens after the five-year bar be eligible for benefits? As of 2002, all states provide TANF benefits to at least some, if not all, of the pre-PRWORA qualified aliens. There is considerable variation in states' decisions concerning post-PRWORA aliens, however. Tables I.B.5, I.B.6, and I.B.7 describe the variation in state policies concerning the pre-PRWORA and post-PRWORA aliens.¹³

¹² States must provide benefits to veterans or individuals in active duty along with their spouses and unmarried dependent children and legal permanent residents with 40 qualified quarters of work who have not received any federal means-tested benefits during any quarters beginning after December 1996 (40 quarters is approximately 10 years for one person; however, an alien may be credited with quarters of coverage worked by a parent or a spouse under certain circumstances). The five-year bar on federal benefits does apply to legal permanent residents even if they accumulate 40 quarters, however.

¹³ These state rules must be viewed in the context of the federal prohibitions and requirements affecting the eligibility of noncitizens for federally funded assistance. The rules for eligibility of noncitizens are very complex. This discussion is a summary intended for researchers and should not be used for assessing the policy options available to a state under federal law or whether particular state policies fully comport with federal law.

Table I.B.5 describes whether states consider pre-PRWORA qualified aliens eligible for federally funded assistance. The table provides eligibility rules for several different categories of qualified aliens, including legal permanent residents, asylees/refugees, aliens with deportation withheld, aliens paroled in the country for at least one year, and battered aliens. Note that even if a state does not provide assistance to all qualified aliens, those qualifying for special exceptions based on work history or veteran or military status are still eligible for federally funded benefits.

Table I.B.6 shows the extent to which states use their own funding to provide assistance to post-PRWORA qualified aliens during the five-year bar. (The table also indicates state-funded coverage of certain groups of non-qualified aliens.) Some states fund all qualified aliens, while others fund only legal permanent residents, or only particular groups of post-PRWORA aliens.

Table I.B.7 shows whether states consider post-PRWORA qualified aliens as eligible for federally funded assistance after they have resided in the United States with a qualified alien status for at least five years. The table provides eligibility rules for several different categories of qualified aliens—legal permanent residents, asylees/refugees, aliens with deportation withheld, aliens paroled in the country for at least one year, and battered aliens. Note that even if a state does not provide assistance to all qualified aliens, those qualifying for special exceptions based on work history or veteran or military status are still eligible for federally funded benefits.

All the noncitizen rules discussed here apply to individuals, not to entire families. Within a family, some individuals may be ineligible based on immigrant status, while others may remain eligible. The WRD provides details on the extent to which income of these ineligible family members is available to the eligible individuals in the unit. The WRD also provides information on income deemed from an alien's sponsor.

C. What level of assets can a family have and still be eligible?

If the family passes the nonfinancial eligibility tests, the state then calculates the amount of assets the family owns. Almost all states restrict the amount of assets a family may hold and still be eligible for assistance; however, these amounts vary greatly by state. The amounts also vary by the type of asset.

If the family's total assets exceed the amounts determined by the state, the family is ineligible for assistance.

Asset limits for applicants: Table I.C.1 describes each state's asset tests for applicants. States determine the maximum value of assets, including vehicles, an applicant family may hold and still remain eligible for benefits.

The first column of the table provides the limit on the value of unrestricted assets a family may hold and still be eligible for assistance. Unrestricted assets include the cash value of any asset the state counts toward the limit, regardless of the asset's purpose.

The second column describes whether some or all of the value of a vehicle is excluded in determining the amount of a family's assets for purposes of eligibility. When a portion of the vehicle's value is exempted, that value may be given in terms of equity or fair market value. The fair market value is the amount for which the vehicle could be sold, while the equity value is the fair market value minus any amount still owed on the vehicle. When a family still owes money on a vehicle, the equity value will be less than the fair market value, so this distinction is important when comparing vehicle exemption amounts across states.

Related tables: Note that the limits may vary for determining the initial eligibility of applicants versus the continuing eligibility of recipients. For information on the asset test for

recipients, see Table IV.A.3. Also, Tables L8 and L9 provide information on asset limits for recipients and the vehicle exemption for recipients, respectively, in the years 1996 through 2002.

D. How is income counted in determining eligibility?

Once the family has passed the state's asset tests, its available income is computed for eligibility purposes. States have discretion in determining the portion and types of earned and unearned income they will count, in addition to whose income will count, for eligibility purposes.

Generally, states count most of the earned income from each assistance unit's members toward the unit's gross income (total income of the unit); however, states vary greatly in their treatment of unearned income. There are several types of unearned income; however this book only addresses unearned income in the form of child support payments (in section IV, Ongoing Eligibility). For more details on the treatment of other types of unearned income, including interest income, EITC income, and lump sum income, see the WRD.

States determine not only how much and what type of income is counted, but also whose income is counted. Many states count a portion of or all the income from certain individuals who have an obligation to support a member of the assistance unit but are not themselves part of the unit. For instance, as long as a nonapplicant—such as a stepparent or a parent of a minor parent—lives in the same home as the applicant, a portion of the nonapplicant's income may be counted against the applicant for eligibility and benefit computation purposes. Typically, states allow these individuals to allocate a portion of their income for their own needs, while any remaining income is “deemed available” to the assistance unit as unearned income. This income may or may not actually be available to the unit, but the state assumes that the individual bears

some financial responsibility and therefore requires that a portion of his or her income count against the unit.

Below is a further discussion of these topics and the tables included in this section.

Treatment of grandparent income: When a minor parent is potentially eligible to head her own assistance unit, a portion of her parents' income may be "deemed available" to the minor's unit in determining eligibility and benefits. Typically, income is deemed from the minor's parents (referred to as "grandparents") only if the minor is living in the home with her parents; however, in one state—New Jersey—the income is deemed even if the minor is not living with her parents.

Generally, states allow the grandparents an initial disregard(s), similar to the earned income disregards available to applicants. In addition, the grandparents may also subtract from their income a second disregard equal to the amount of their basic needs and the needs of their dependents. The income that remains after these disregards is deemed available to the minor unit as unearned income and is counted for eligibility and benefit computation purposes.

Table I.D.1 describes the deeming process for grandparents' income. The first column indicates if the state deems income, the second column indicates the initial earned income disregard available to the grandparents, and the third column describes any other disregards available to the grandparents (most often referred to as the need-based disregard). The table indicates the income standards used by states to determine the disregard. To determine the value of these standards for a family size of three, see Tables I.E.3 (Eligibility Standards).

Related tables: Table I.B.3 describes whether minor parents are potentially eligible to head their own TANF units.

Treatment of stepparent income: In those states where a stepparent is either always excluded from the assistance unit or may choose to be excluded from the assistance unit, a portion of the stepparent's income may be "deemed available" to the unit. As with grandparent deeming, states generally allow the stepparent an initial disregard(s), similar to the earned income disregards available to applicants. In addition, the stepparent may also subtract from his or her income a second disregard equal to the amount of his or her basic needs and the needs of his or her dependents. The remaining income after these disregards is deemed available to the stepparent's spouse and the spouse's dependents as unearned income and is counted for eligibility and benefit computation purposes.

Table I.D.2 describes the deeming process for stepparents' income. The first column indicates if the state deems income, the second column indicates the initial earned income disregard available to the stepparent, and the third column describes any other disregards available to the stepparent (most often referred to as the need-based disregard). The table indicates the income standards used by states to determine the disregard. To determine the value of these standards for a family size of three, see Tables I.E.3 (Eligibility Standards).

Related tables: Table I.B.4 describes whether stepparents are potentially eligible to be included in the assistance unit.

E. How much income can a family have and still be eligible?

To determine initial eligibility for benefits, most states impose income eligibility tests on applicants. States use the total gross income calculated from the unit's earned and unearned income as a starting point for these tests. Many states currently impose just one income test on applicants; however, others use a combination of tests, which may include a gross income test,

gross earnings test, unearned income test, or net income test. There are other types of tests, but these are the most common. A gross income test compares all of the unit's total income, earned and unearned, to a standard (determined by the state). If the unit's income is less than the standard, the next test is applied, if there is one, or the unit is considered eligible and a benefit is computed. A gross earnings test and an unearned income test both work similarly; however, only the unit's earned income is used for a gross earnings test and only the unit's unearned income is used for an unearned income test.

States may also impose net income tests, either after a gross income test or in lieu of it. Net income is calculated by subtracting the state's earned income disregards from the unit's gross earned income and then adding to this amount the unit's unearned income. The net income is then compared to an income standard (determined by the state). If the net income is less than the standard, the next test is applied, if there is one, or the unit is considered eligible and a benefit is computed.

The following sections describe the types of eligibility tests in the states, the earned income disregards used for the net income tests, the income eligibility standards used for the various tests, and a calculation for the initial eligibility threshold at application. Note that the first three tables must be used together to fully understand the income eligibility tests in each state.

Income eligibility tests for applicants: Table I.E.1 describes states' income eligibility tests for determining whether an applicant is eligible to begin receiving benefits. The table indicates which state income threshold is used for each test. To determine the value of the particular threshold for a three-person family, see Table I.E.3, discussed below. For states that

impose a net income test, most will disregard a portion of the unit's earned income before comparing the income to the threshold. These amounts are captured in Table I.E.2.

Note that this table describes the income tests that are imposed in addition to the implicit income test imposed by the benefit computation procedure. Even if a family passes all eligibility tests, it is possible in some states that the family will not qualify for a positive benefit under that state's benefit computation formula. In those cases, the family will not receive a benefit. Some states have streamlined their eligibility policies and do not perform any income tests other than the implicit test imposed by the benefit computation procedure. In those states, Table I.E.1 indicates "No Explicit Tests."

Related tables: As mentioned above, Table I.E.3 provides the eligibility standard(s) used to determine eligibility for a three-person family. Tables I.D.1 and I.D.2 describe policies concerning the deeming of income from grandparents and stepparents that may be used in determining gross income for purposes of income eligibility tests. Table I.E.2 describes the earned income disregards that may be used for net income tests. Table I.E.4 combines information on the income eligibility tests applied to applicants with information on the earned income disregards and eligibility standards to show the maximum amount of earnings that a family can have and still be eligible for TANF. Table L3, in the last section of this book, provides the same information as in Table I.E.4 for the years 1996 through 2002.

Earned income disregards for income eligibility: Table I.E.2 describes the earned income disregards applied to applicants' and recipients' income in determining net income for purposes of the income eligibility tests. Additional disregards for child care expenses paid by a family and/or special disregards for units affected by a family cap or time limit are not included in this table; however, this information is included in the WRD.

The body of the table focuses on the earned income disregards used to establish net income for purposes of an applicant's initial eligibility determination. In some cases, states also use net income tests to determine a recipient's continuing eligibility. If that is the case, and if different earned income disregards are used in applying net income tests for recipients versus applicants, the rules for recipients are footnoted.

When a state has no explicit net income tests, the table indicates "No Explicit Net Income Test." Some states have net income tests but do not apply any earned income disregards to the applicant's earnings. In other words, all of a family's earnings are typically included in the income amount. In those cases, "No Disregards Allowed" appears in the table.

Eligibility standards: As described earlier, most income tests involve the use of state-established income amounts that vary by the size of the assistance unit. The WRD includes the standards used for each family size from 1 through 12. Table I.E.3 provides the standards for a three-person assistance unit only.

The table identifies the standard by the name used in the caseworker manual. Under the former AFDC program, the standard for income eligibility tests was the need standard. However, due to the complexity of state programs, identifying the need standard is no longer clear. States may compare an assistance unit's income against multiple standards, depending on the type or amount of income. Therefore, the term need standard is not used in the table unless the state explicitly uses it to refer to their eligibility standard.

Some types of details concerning eligibility standards are not included in the table. In some states, different sets of dollar amounts are used in different regions of the state; in those cases, the table includes the amounts that are applied to the majority of the state's caseload. In other states, the amounts may be higher for families with certain types of "special needs," such

as a pregnancy; the amounts in the table assume no special needs. Also, a few states vary standards for one-parent versus two-parent families; the table includes values for a one-parent family with two children. And some states prorate the eligibility and/or benefit standards depending on whether a unit pays for shelter; the amounts in the table assume the unit pays all shelter costs.

Related tables: Note that these standards, by themselves, are not necessarily comparable across states, since the income tests might be quite different. To determine how the standards are used in practice, see Tables I.E.1 and IV.A.4.

Maximum income for initial eligibility for a family of three: Table I.E.4 synthesizes the various financial rules related to initial eligibility in order to provide information on the maximum amount of income a family of three can earn and still be eligible for assistance. The initial eligibility calculation incorporates information on the income eligibility rules for applicants, earned income disregards for eligibility and benefit computation, benefit computation policies, and the eligibility and payment standards. The calculation determines the maximum amount of earnings an applicant can have and still be “technically” eligible for assistance in each state. Technical eligibility does not mean that the unit will necessarily receive a cash benefit, but they will have passed all of the eligibility tests and are eligible for some positive amount. Most states only distribute a cash benefit if it is over \$10.

The calculation assumes that the assistance unit includes one parent and two children, has only earned income, has no child care expenses, contains no children subject to a family cap, has no special needs, pays for all shelter costs with no subsidies, and is subject to the benefit standard that applies to the majority of the state’s caseload.

Related tables: Table L3 provides the initial eligibility threshold for the years 1996 through 2002.

Table I.A.1 Formal Diversion Payments, July 2002

| State | Diversion Program ¹ | Maximum Diversion Payment | Form of Payment | How Often Can Recipient Receive Maximum Payment | Period of TANF Ineligibility Without Penalty after Payment | Payment Counts Toward the Time Limit |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| Alabama | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| Alaska | Yes | 3 Months | Vendor or Cash Payment | Four Times in a Lifetime, but No More Than Once Every 12 Months | 3 Months ² | No |
| Arizona | Yes | 3 Months ³ | Cash Payment | Once Every 12 Months | 3 Months ⁴ | No |
| Arkansas | Yes | 3 Months | Cash Loan ⁵ | Once in a Lifetime | 100 Days | No ⁵ |
| California ⁶ | Yes | Varies ⁷ | Cash Payment or Services ⁸ | As Often As Needed, Up to \$4,000 (Annual) and \$10,000 (Lifetime) | No Limit ⁹ | Varies ⁹ |
| Colorado ¹⁰ | Yes | \$1,000 ¹¹ | Vendor or Cash Payment | Twice in a Lifetime | Determined by Caseworker and Client | No ¹² |
| Connecticut | Yes | 3 Months | Cash Payment | Three Times in a Lifetime, but No More Than Once Every 12 Months | 3 Months | Yes |
| Delaware | Yes ¹³ | \$1,500 | Vendor Payment | Once Every 12 Months | Varies ¹⁴ | No |
| D.C. | Yes | 3 Months | Vendor or Cash Payment | Once Every 12 Months | Diversion Payment Divided by the Maximum Monthly Benefit the Unit Would Receive | No |
| Florida | Yes ¹⁵ | Varies ¹⁵ | Cash Payment | Varies ¹⁵ | Varies ¹⁵ | Varies ¹⁵ |
| Georgia | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| Hawaii | Yes | 8 Months of Benefits | Cash Payment | Once in 60 Months | Varies ¹⁶ | No |
| Idaho | Yes | 3 Months | Cash Payment | Once in a Lifetime | Twice the Number of Months Included in the Payment | Yes |
| Illinois | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| Indiana | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| Iowa | No ¹⁷ | — | — | — | — | — |
| Kansas | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| Kentucky | Yes | \$1,500 | Vendor or Cash Payment | Once Every 12 Months | 12 Months | No |
| Louisiana | Yes | 4 Months | Cash Payment | Twice in a Lifetime, but No More Than Once Every 12 Months | 4 Months | No |
| Maine | Yes ¹⁸ | 3 Months | Vendor Payment | Once in a Lifetime | No Limit ¹⁹ | No |
| Maryland | Yes | 3 Months | Cash Payment | As Often As Needed | The Number of Months Included in the Payment | No |
| Massachusetts | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| Michigan | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| Minnesota | Yes ²⁰ | 4 Months | Vendor or Cash Payment | Once Every 12 Months | The Diversion Payment Divided by the Transitional Standard Times 30 | No |
| Mississippi | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| Missouri | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| Montana | No | — | — | — | — | — |

Table I.A.1 Formal Diversion Payments, July 2002

| State | Diversion Program ¹ | Maximum Diversion Payment | Form of Payment | How Often Can Recipient Receive Maximum Payment | Period of TANF Ineligibility Without Penalty after Payment | Payment Counts Toward the Time Limit |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------------|
| Nebraska | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| Nevada | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| New Hampshire | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| New Jersey | Yes ²¹ | \$1,550 ²² | Cash Payment | No Limit ²² | No Limit ²³ | No |
| New Mexico | Yes ²⁴ | \$1,500 | Cash Payment | Twice in a Lifetime | 12 Months ²⁵ | No |
| New York | Yes ²⁶ | Varies ²⁷ | Vendor or Cash Payment ²⁷ | Once in a Lifetime | * | No |
| North Carolina | Yes | 3 Months | Cash Payment | Once Every 12 Months | No Limit | No |
| North Dakota | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| Ohio ²⁸ | Yes | \$1,000 | Cash Payment | Once Every 12 Months ²⁹ | * | No |
| Oklahoma | Yes ³⁰ | 3 Months | Vendor Payment | Once in a Lifetime | 12 Months | No |
| Oregon | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| Pennsylvania | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| Rhode Island | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| South Carolina | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| South Dakota | Yes | 2 Months | Vendor or Cash Payment | No Limit ³¹ | 3 Months ⁴ | No |
| Tennessee | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| Texas | Yes ³² | \$1,000 | Cash Payment | Once Every 12 Months | 12 Months | No |
| Utah | Yes | 3 Months | Cash Payment | No Limit | 3 Months ⁴ | Yes ³³ |
| Vermont | No | — | — | — | — | — |
| Virginia | Yes | 4 Months | Vendor or Cash Payment | Once Every 60 Months | Diversion Payment Divided by the Daily Benefit the Unit Would Receive | No |
| Washington | Yes | \$1,500 | Cash Payment | Once Every 12 Months | 12 Months ³⁴ | No |
| West Virginia | Yes | 3 Months ³⁵ | Cash Payment | Once in a Lifetime | 3 Months | No ³⁶ |
| Wisconsin | Yes ³⁷ | \$1,600 | Cash Loan | No Limit ³⁸ | No Limit | No |
| Wyoming | No | — | — | — | — | — |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

* Data not obtained.

¹ The Maximum Diversion Payment is either a flat payment, regardless of the family's size and the state's maximum benefit (represented in the table by a dollar amount), or a multiple of the maximum benefit the family would have received if they were receiving monthly TANF benefits (represented in the table by a number of months of benefits the family could potentially receive). Note that if the state provides diversion payments based on a multiple of the maximum benefit, the amount will vary by the family size and the generosity of the states' maximum benefits.

² The entire payment is prorated over three months and counted as income if the unit applies for benefits within three months of receiving a payment.

³ All child support payments received on behalf of the children in the unit are passed through to the diversion program participants during the three-month diversion period.

⁴ If the unit applies for benefits during the three-month ineligibility period, the unit must repay the diversion payment. The payment will be prorated over a three-month period and the amount of the repayment will be deducted from the unit's monthly assistance payment.

⁵ The diversion payment is considered a loan; therefore the recipient must pay back any amount borrowed. Any amount paid back will not count toward the time limit; however, if all or a portion of the amount has not been repaid, the months will count.

⁶ Counties have the option to vary their diversion programs. These policies refer to Los Angeles County.

⁷ The standard maximum diversion cash payment amount is the greater of three months and \$2,000; however, for cases of 'compelling need' the greater of six months and \$4,000 is granted.

⁸ Diversion services may be made in the form of cash, vendor, or non-cash services. Diversion has been used to provide payments and services for child and dependent care, clothing, housing deposit, medical expenses, work supports pending receipt of employment income, tools or other items for employment, transportation, payments for automobile repairs, and payment of utility bills.

⁹ If the unit applies for monthly TANF benefits after the diversion period (diversion amount divided by monthly benefit) ends, the state counts one month toward the time limit. If the unit applies during the diversion period, it can choose to count the diversion payment toward the time limit, or repay the diversion amount at a rate of 10 percent of the monthly benefit each month until the diversion is repaid. The number of months counted toward the 60-month time limit is calculated by dividing the total diversion payment by the maximum aid payment (MAP) for the apparently eligible AU at the time the diversion payment was made. The month(s) resulting from the calculation less any partial month, is (are) counted toward the 60-month time limit.

¹⁰ Counties have the option to vary their diversion programs. These policies refer to Denver County.

¹¹ If assistance greater than \$1,000 is requested it must be approved by a designated staffing team. If an individual is seeking employment and training services through the Mayor's Office of Workforce Development, there will be no limit to the amount of money issued.

¹² If the payment is intended to cover greater than 120 days worth of need, the additional time counts towards the time limit.

¹³ The state's diversion program is related to retaining or obtaining employment and is only for parents living with natural or adopted children.

¹⁴ The period of ineligibility depends on the amount of the diversion payment. Units receiving \$1-500.99 are ineligible for one month, units receiving \$501-1,000.99 are ineligible for two months, and units receiving \$1,001-\$1,500 are ineligible for three months.

¹⁵ Florida has three separate diversion programs. An assistance unit may receive a one-time payment of up to \$1,000 in Up-Front Diversion or Relocation Assistance, up to the amount needed to relocate, or a one-time \$1,000 payment of Cash Severance Diversion. The unit is ineligible to receive assistance for three months after receiving Up-Front Diversion and for six months after receiving Relocation Assistance or Cash Severance Diversion. Up-Front Assistance is for individuals in need of assistance due to unexpected circumstances or emergency situations. Relocation Assistance is available for individuals who reside in an area with limited employment opportunities and experience one of the following: geographic isolation, formidable transportation barriers, isolation from extended family, or domestic violence that threatens the ability of a parent to maintain self-sufficiency. Cash Severance Diversion is available to TANF recipients if they meet the following criteria: are employed and receiving earnings; are able to verify their earnings; will remain employed for at least six months; have received cash assistance for at least six consecutive months since October 1996; and are eligible for at least one more month of TANF. Up-Front Diversion and Relocation Assistance do not count toward time limits. Cash Severance Diversion does not count toward time limits if the payment is made in a month in which the unit receives a TANF payment as well. If the payment is made in a month in which the unit does not receive a TANF payment, the Cash Severance Diversion payment counts as a month toward the time limit.

¹⁶ The period of ineligibility depends on the amount of the diversion payment. Units receiving a payment equaling three months of benefits are ineligible for five consecutive months, units receiving a payment equaling six months of benefits are ineligible for nine consecutive months, and units receiving a payment equaling eight months of benefits are ineligible for twelve consecutive months.

¹⁷ Iowa is conducting a demonstration project that provides diversion assistance to its clients.

¹⁸ Diversion payments are only provided to caretaker relatives or parents who are employed or looking for work.

¹⁹ Units that apply for benefits during the three-month ineligibility period must repay any diversion payment received for any period that was covered by both diversion and TANF.

²⁰ To be eligible for the diversion program, the assistance unit must meet all of the following criteria: (1) at least one family member has lived in Minnesota for at least 30 days; (2) the caregiver has lost a job, is unable to obtain a job, or has a temporary loss of income, and this loss of income is not due to refusing suitable employment without good cause; (3) the family is at risk of MFIP eligibility if DA is not provided; and (4) the family is not in a period of eligibility for Emergency Assistance.

²¹ Applicants for WFNJ/TANF must participate in New Jersey's diversion program, Early Employment Initiative, if they: (1) have a work history that equals or exceeds four months of full-time employment in the last 12 months; (2) have at least one child; (3) appear to meet TANF eligibility requirements; (4) are not in immediate need; and (5) do not meet criteria for a deferral from work requirements. Participants receive a one-time, lump sum payment and are required to pursue an intensive job search for 15 to 30 days while their WFNJ/TANF application is being processed. If participants obtain employment and withdraw their application, they are eligible to receive a second lump-sum payment to assist in the transition to employment. If no employment is secured, the applicant is referred back to the WFNJ/TANF agency for cash assistance.

²² The maximum amount a family would receive is relative to the number of persons in the unit. The amount included in the table is for a unit of eight or more people. The maximum diversion payment for a family of three is \$750. If the agency feels that an individual may benefit, he or she may be considered suitable for repeated participation in EEI when determining subsequent eligibility for the program.

²³ If a participant is unable to find a job through the diversion program or loses employment, and reapplies for TANF benefits within 60 days of the original application, TANF benefits will be retroactive to the date of application. Any lump sum payment received under the EEI is prorated from the date of the original application to the date of the reactivation and subtracted from the monthly grant amount for which the assistance unit is eligible. If this lump sum exceeds the family's monthly grant amount, the excess is counted as unearned income when calculating the monthly assistance benefits for any subsequent month. If the applicant loses his or her employment after 60 days from the application date, the family will need to reapply for TANF.

²⁴ The diversion payment is only available to assist applicants in keeping a job or accepting a bona fide offer of employment.

²⁵ Units may apply for assistance during the 12-month period, but the benefits will be prorated to account for the diversion payment. Units receiving a diversion payment in another state may not receive a diversion payment or monthly benefits in New Mexico for 12 months or the length of the period of ineligibility in the other state, whichever is shorter.

²⁶ New York has three types of diversion payments available: Diversion Payments (for crisis items such as moving expenses, storage fees, or household structural or equipment repairs); Diversion Transportation Payments (for employment-related transportation expenses); and Diversion Rental Payments (for rental housing).

²⁷ The type and amount of the payment is determined on a case-by-case basis and is dependent upon the needs of the applicant.

²⁸ Counties have the option to vary their diversion programs. These policies refer to Cuyahoga County.

²⁹ The unit may receive up to the maximum amount over a 12-month period. The maximum is \$400 for contingent need that threatens the unit's safety or health and \$700 to prevent the unit from entering TANF or to help the unit retain employment. The combined total maximum cannot be over \$1,000.

³⁰ Individuals must be employed or have a bona fide offer of employment in order to qualify for diversion assistance.

³¹ South Dakota has no formal limit on the number of payments a unit may receive; however, a state source reports that it is unlikely that an assistance unit would receive a diversion payment more than once every 12 months.

³² The first diversion payment in a 12-month period will not count as a month of financial assistance against the 36-month time limit; the second and subsequent diversion payments in a 12-month period will count.

³³ If the unit applies for benefits during the 12-month ineligibility period, the diversion payment becomes a loan. The amount of the loan is calculated by dividing the diversion payment by 12 and multiplying the quotient by the number of months remaining of the 12-month period since the diversion payment was received. The unit's monthly benefit is decreased by 5 percent each month until the loan is repaid.

³⁴ Recipients may also be eligible for a one-time relocation payment of \$1,500.

³⁵ To qualify for the state's diversion program, the assistance unit must meet one of the "Crisis Criteria": (1) the caretaker or second parent loss of employment in the process month, application month, or two months prior to application; (2) a single parent must have experienced a loss of financial support from a spouse within the last 12 months due to death, divorce, separation, or abandonment and have been employed within 12 months before the application or process month; (3) the caretaker or second parent has graduated from a university, college, junior college, or technical training school within 12 months before the application or process month and is underemployed or unemployed; or (4) the caretaker and/or second parent is employed but faces the loss or potential loss of transportation and/or shelter or faces a medical emergency temporarily preventing them from continuing to work.

³⁶ For units that received diversion assistance prior to July 2000, three months are counted toward the lifetime limit.

³⁷ The diversion payment is considered a loan to assist with expenses related to obtaining or maintaining employment and it must be repaid. Repayments are expected within 12 months but may be extended to 24 months. The loan may be paid back in cash or through a combination of cash and volunteer community service (valued at the higher of the state or federal minimum wage).

³⁸ The caseworker may issue loans for between \$25 and \$1,600. In a 12-month period, a unit may not receive more than \$1,600 in loans or have an outstanding loan balance of more than \$1,600.

Table I.A.2 Mandatory Job Search at Application, July 2002

| State | Job Search Required |
|----------------|---------------------|
| Alabama | Yes |
| Alaska | No |
| Arizona | No |
| Arkansas | Yes ¹ |
| California | No |
| Colorado | No |
| Connecticut | No |
| Delaware | No |
| D.C. | Yes |
| Florida | No |
| Georgia | Yes |
| Hawaii | No |
| Idaho | Yes |
| Illinois | No |
| Indiana | Yes |
| Iowa | No |
| Kansas | Yes |
| Kentucky | No |
| Louisiana | No |
| Maine | No |
| Maryland | Yes |
| Massachusetts | No |
| Michigan | No |
| Minnesota | No |
| Mississippi | No |
| Missouri | Yes ² |
| Montana | No |
| Nebraska | No |
| Nevada | Yes |
| New Hampshire | No |
| New Jersey | Yes ³ |
| New Mexico | No |
| New York | No |
| North Carolina | Yes |
| North Dakota | Yes |
| Ohio | Yes |
| Oklahoma | No |
| Oregon | No ⁴ |
| Pennsylvania | No |
| Rhode Island | No |
| South Carolina | Yes |
| South Dakota | No |
| Tennessee | No |
| Texas | No |
| Utah | No |
| Vermont | Yes |
| Virginia | No |

Table I.A.2 Mandatory Job Search at Application, July 2002

| State | Job Search Required |
|--|---------------------|
| Washington | No |
| West Virginia | No |
| Wisconsin | Yes |
| Wyoming | No |
| Total States with Requirements: | 17 |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: Many states requiring job search at application exempt certain individuals from the requirement. See the WRD for more information on these exemptions.

¹ If transportation and/or child care are not available at application, the job search requirement will be delayed until after the TEA application is approved and supportive services can be provided.

² Two-parent households that have been assessed as job-ready are required to participate in job search while their application is pending. If child care is necessary, one parent has the option to participate in a search to find child care.

³ Job search is a mandatory part of the state's diversion program. Applicants will automatically be placed in the Early Employment Initiative (diversion) program if they (1) have a work history that equals or exceeds four months of full-time employment in the past 12 months; (2) have at least one child; (3) appear to meet TANF eligibility requirements; (4) are not in immediate need; and (5) do not meet criteria for a deferral from work requirements. Once in the program, participants will receive an activity payment and will be required to search for a job during the TANF application process. If they are not successful in securing employment, they will be eligible for TANF benefits.

⁴ Job search is not an eligibility requirement but many applicants will be assigned to job search and will receive a labor market test (a labor market test consists of a structured and assisted job search designed to assess the applicant's employability).

Table I.B.1 Eligibility of Pregnant Women with No Other Children, July 2002

| State | Eligible for Cash Benefits | Eligible in What Month of Pregnancy |
|---|----------------------------|---|
| Alabama | No | — |
| Alaska | Yes | 7 |
| Arizona | Yes | 6 |
| Arkansas | No | — |
| California | Yes | 5 ¹ |
| Colorado | Yes | 6 |
| Connecticut | Yes ² | 1 |
| Delaware | Yes ³ | 9 ⁴ |
| D.C. | Yes | 6 |
| Florida | Yes | 9 ⁵ |
| Georgia | No | — |
| Hawaii | Yes | 9 |
| Idaho | Yes | 7 ⁶ |
| Illinois | Yes ⁷ | 1 |
| Indiana | No | — |
| Iowa | No | — |
| Kansas | Yes ⁸ | 1 |
| Kentucky | No | — |
| Louisiana | Yes ⁹ | 6 |
| Maine | Yes | 7 |
| Maryland | Yes | 1 |
| Massachusetts | Yes | 6 |
| Michigan | Yes ¹⁰ | 1 |
| Minnesota | Yes ¹¹ | 1 |
| Mississippi | No | — |
| Missouri | No | — |
| Montana | Yes | 6 |
| Nebraska | Yes ¹⁰ | 6 |
| Nevada | Yes | 6 |
| New Hampshire | No | — |
| New Jersey | No | — |
| New Mexico | Yes ¹² | 7 |
| New York | Yes ¹³ | 1 |
| North Carolina | No | — |
| North Dakota | Yes | 6 |
| Ohio | Yes | 6 |
| Oklahoma | No | — |
| Oregon | Yes | Month Before the Due Date ¹⁴ |
| Pennsylvania | Yes | From Month of Medical Verification |
| Rhode Island | Yes ¹⁵ | 7 |
| South Carolina | No | — |
| South Dakota | No | — |
| Tennessee | Yes | 6 |
| Texas | No | — |
| Utah | Yes | 6 |
| Vermont | Yes | 9 ¹⁶ |
| Virginia | No | — |
| Washington | Yes | 1 |
| West Virginia | No | — |
| Wisconsin | No ¹⁷ | — |
| Wyoming | No | — |
| Total States Providing Benefits: | 32 | — |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

- ¹ A pregnant teen that has not graduated from high school is eligible from the date the pregnancy is verified.
- ² A pregnant woman must meet the eligibility requirements as if her child were already born and living with her.
- ³ A pregnant woman's financial eligibility in the month that her child is due is determined by comparing her gross income to the standard of need for one person. If she lives with the father of her unborn child, financial eligibility is determined by comparing the sum of the pregnant woman's income and the father's income to the standard of need for three people (the number of people who would be included in the family unit when the child is born). If income exceeds the standard, the application is denied. If income is less than the standard, only the mother's income is considered in determining the amount of the grant.
- ⁴ A pregnant woman is eligible on the first day of the month in which her child is expected.
- ⁵ A pregnant woman is eligible in the ninth month, unless her doctor verifies that she is unable to work; then she is eligible in the seventh month.
- ⁶ A pregnant woman is eligible only if she is in her last trimester and is unable to work for medical reasons.
- ⁷ A pregnant woman and her spouse, if living with her, are eligible for assistance.
- ⁸ A pregnant woman, her unborn child, and the father of the unborn child are eligible for assistance.
- ⁹ A pregnant woman is eligible for assistance only if the child would be eligible for assistance if born. The father of the unborn child or the spouse of the pregnant woman, if living with her, is also eligible.
- ¹⁰ A pregnant woman and her unborn child are eligible for assistance.
- ¹¹ A pregnant woman and her spouse are eligible for assistance.
- ¹² The needs, income, and resources of the otherwise eligible father of the unborn child(ren) are considered in determining eligibility and payment if the father lives in the home.
- ¹³ A pregnant woman and the father of the child are eligible for assistance.
- ¹⁴ A pregnant woman who is at risk of or has a safety concern due to domestic violence may be eligible for benefits earlier.
- ¹⁵ A pregnant woman is eligible for assistance if her child would be eligible for assistance if born.
- ¹⁶ A pregnant woman may be eligible in the seventh month if she is documented as having a high-risk pregnancy.
- ¹⁷ A pregnant woman is not eligible for benefits but can obtain employment training, job search assistance, and case management services. After the child is born, the mother and child may receive the Community Service Jobs level of benefits for the first 12 weeks.

**Table I.B.2 Eligibility Rules for Two-Parent, Nondisabled Applicant Units,¹
July 2002**

| State | Limit on Hours | Other Rules for Applicants | |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
| | | Work History ² | Waiting Period |
| Alabama | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Alaska | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Arizona | No Limit | 6 Out of 13 Quarters | 0 |
| Arkansas | No Limit | No | 0 |
| California | 100 ³ | No | 0 |
| Colorado | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Connecticut | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Delaware | No Limit | No | 0 |
| D.C. | 100 | 6 Out of 13 Quarters | 30 Days |
| Florida | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Georgia | No Limit | Special Requirement ⁴ | 0 |
| Hawaii | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Idaho | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Illinois | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Indiana | 100 | 6 Out of 13 Quarters | 30 Days |
| Iowa | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Kansas | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Kentucky | 100 | Special Requirement ⁵ | 30 Days |
| Louisiana | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Maine | 100 | 6 Out of 13 Quarters | 30 Days |
| Maryland | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Massachusetts | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Michigan | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Minnesota | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Mississippi | 100 | 6 Out of 13 Quarters | 30 Days |
| Missouri | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Montana | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Nebraska | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Nevada | No Limit | No | 0 |
| New Hampshire | 100 | 6 Out of 13 Quarters | 30 Days |
| New Jersey | No Limit | No | 0 |
| New Mexico | No Limit | No | 0 |
| New York | No Limit | No | 0 |
| North Carolina | No Limit | No | 0 |
| North Dakota ⁶ | — | — | — |
| Ohio | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Oklahoma | No Limit | 6 Out of 13 Quarters | 30 Days |
| Oregon | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Pennsylvania | No Limit | 6 Out of 13 Quarters | 0 |
| Rhode Island | No Limit | No | 0 |
| South Carolina | No Limit | No | 0 |
| South Dakota | 100 | Special Requirement ⁷ | 0 |
| Tennessee | 100 | 6 Out of 13 Quarters | 30 Days |
| Texas | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Utah | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Vermont | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Virginia | No Limit | No | 0 |

**Table I.B.2 Eligibility Rules for Two-Parent, Nondisabled Applicant Units,¹
July 2002**

| State | Limit on Hours | Other Rules for Applicants | |
|---------------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| | | Work History ² | Waiting Period |
| Washington | No Limit | No | 0 |
| West Virginia | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Wisconsin | No Limit | No | 0 |
| Wyoming | No Limit | No | 0 |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: In some states, benefits are provided to two-parent units under a state-funded program instead of through federal TANF. This table includes the treatment of two-parent units regardless of the funding source.

¹ Eligibility rules for two-parent, nondisabled families are special categorical rules in addition to the other state rules that all units must pass. Traditionally, states imposed rules on two-parent families' work effort. These rules include limits on hours of work, work history tests, and waiting periods.

² Applicants have to demonstrate previous attachment to the workforce; under AFDC, applicants were required to work at least six out of the last 13 quarters. The 13-quarter period must have ended within one year of applying for assistance. Generally, work history could also be established if one of the following applied: (1) the applicant received unemployment compensation (UC) benefits within 12 months prior to the date of application or (2) the applicant would have been eligible for UC benefits within the previous 12 months but did not apply or his or her employment was not covered by UC laws.

³ To be eligible for cash assistance, the individual has to have been employed less than 100 hours during the four-week period before the date of application.

⁴ Applicants must be connected to the workforce, which includes one of the following: (1) currently working at least 20 hours per week, (2) receiving Unemployment Compensation, (3) unemployed or working less than 20 hours per week and has earned \$500 within the six months prior to application, (4) receiving retirement benefits, or (5) received disability benefits based on 100 percent disability in any of the last six months.

⁵ Applicants must have earned at least \$1,000 during the 24-month period prior to the month of application.

⁶ North Dakota does not provide benefits to two-parent, nondisabled units.

⁷ The parents in the unit must have a combined gross income in the past six months of at least \$1,500 and must not have voluntarily terminated employment, reduced hours worked, or refused a job offer within the previous six months (without good cause).

Table I.B.3 Special Rules Imposed on Minor Parent Eligibility, July 2002

| State | Can Head Unit | Living Arrangement Restriction ¹ |
|----------------|------------------|---|
| Alabama | Yes | Yes |
| Alaska | Yes | Yes |
| Arizona | Yes | Yes ² |
| Arkansas | Yes | Yes |
| California | Yes | Yes ² |
| Colorado | Yes | Yes |
| Connecticut | Yes | Yes |
| Delaware | No ³ | Yes |
| D.C. | Yes | Yes |
| Florida | Yes | Yes |
| Georgia | Yes | Yes |
| Hawaii | Yes | No |
| Idaho | No | Yes ² |
| Illinois | Yes | Yes |
| Indiana | Yes | Yes ⁴ |
| Iowa | Yes | Yes |
| Kansas | No | Yes |
| Kentucky | Yes | Yes |
| Louisiana | No ⁵ | Yes |
| Maine | Yes | Yes |
| Maryland | No | Yes |
| Massachusetts | Yes | Yes |
| Michigan | No | Yes |
| Minnesota | Yes | Yes |
| Mississippi | Yes | Yes |
| Missouri | Yes | Yes |
| Montana | No ⁶ | Yes ⁴ |
| Nebraska | Yes | No |
| Nevada | Yes | Yes |
| New Hampshire | Yes | Yes |
| New Jersey | Yes | Yes |
| New Mexico | Yes | Yes |
| New York | Yes ⁷ | Yes |
| North Carolina | No | Yes |
| North Dakota | Yes | Yes ² |
| Ohio | * | Yes |
| Oklahoma | Yes | Yes |
| Oregon | Yes | Yes |
| Pennsylvania | Yes | Yes |
| Rhode Island | Yes | Yes ⁴ |
| South Carolina | Yes | Yes |
| South Dakota | Yes | Yes |
| Tennessee | Yes | Yes |
| Texas | Yes | Yes |
| Utah | Yes | Yes |
| Vermont | Yes | Yes |
| Virginia | Yes | Yes |
| Washington | Yes | Yes |
| West Virginia | No | Yes |

| Table I.B.3 Special Rules Imposed on Minor Parent Eligibility, July 2002 | | |
|---|---------------|---|
| State | Can Head Unit | Living Arrangement Restriction ¹ |
| Wisconsin | No | Yes |
| Wyoming | Yes | Yes |
| Total States Imposing Special Rules | 41 | 49 |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

* Data not obtained.

¹ This variable indicates whether the state requires minor parents to live with their parent(s) or in another state-approved setting. If "Yes" is coded, a minor is not eligible to receive assistance unless living with a parent or in an approved setting.

² Minor parents having a marital status of married, separated, divorced, or widowed are not subject to the residency requirement.

³ Minor parents with children born before December 31, 1998 can head their own unit.

⁴ Minor parents under 18 years of age are not subject to the requirement if they are married.

⁵ Minor, unmarried parents can head a unit if they are not living with a parent, legal guardian, qualified relative, or in a maternity home.

⁶ A minor parent can head his or her own unit if he or she has been emancipated by court action or marriage.

⁷ To receive assistance, the minor parent must be at least 16 years of age.

Table I.B.4 Stepparent Eligibility, July 2002

| State | Inclusion in the Assistance Unit |
|----------------|----------------------------------|
| Alabama | Mandatory |
| Alaska | Prohibited ¹ |
| Arizona | Prohibited |
| Arkansas | Mandatory |
| California | Optional |
| Colorado | * |
| Connecticut | Prohibited |
| Delaware | Optional |
| D.C. | Prohibited |
| Florida | Prohibited |
| Georgia | Prohibited |
| Hawaii | Optional |
| Idaho | Prohibited |
| Illinois | Optional |
| Indiana | Optional |
| Iowa | Optional |
| Kansas | Mandatory |
| Kentucky | Prohibited |
| Louisiana | Mandatory |
| Maine | Optional |
| Maryland | Prohibited |
| Massachusetts | Prohibited |
| Michigan | Mandatory |
| Minnesota | Mandatory |
| Mississippi | Prohibited |
| Missouri | Optional |
| Montana | Mandatory |
| Nebraska | Mandatory |
| Nevada | Optional |
| New Hampshire | Mandatory |
| New Jersey | Optional ² |
| New Mexico | Mandatory |
| New York | Optional |
| North Carolina | Mandatory |
| North Dakota | Prohibited |
| Ohio | Prohibited |
| Oklahoma | Optional |
| Oregon | Mandatory |
| Pennsylvania | Optional |
| Rhode Island | Mandatory |
| South Carolina | Mandatory |
| South Dakota | Mandatory ³ |
| Tennessee | Optional |
| Texas | Optional |
| Utah | Mandatory |
| Vermont | Mandatory |
| Virginia | Optional |
| Washington | Mandatory |
| West Virginia | Mandatory |
| Wisconsin | Mandatory ⁴ |
| Wyoming | Prohibited |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: The table only describes units in which the stepparent has no child in common with the spouse (head of unit) or any dependents of his or her own living in the unit and the spouse is living in the home.

* Data not obtained.

¹ The stepparent may be included in the assistance unit if the natural parent is living in the home but is excluded from the unit (for example, if the natural parent is a recipient of SSI).

² The stepparent is a mandatory participant in the unit unless the stepparent's income causes the assistance unit to become ineligible, in which case the stepparent is not required to be included in the unit. If the stepparent chooses not to receive assistance, the unit becomes a child-only unit (the spouse is also excluded from the unit) and his or her income is used to determine eligibility but not the benefit amount. For more information regarding deeming, see Table I.D.2.

³ An Indian stepparent in Indian country who is under exclusive jurisdiction of a tribe for the purposes of determining the domestic relations rights of the family has the option of being included in the assistance unit.

⁴ The stepparent is included in the W-2 group for income purposes but cannot be the mandatory work program participant

Table I.B.5 State Practices Regarding Eligibility of Nonexempt, Pre-PRWORA, Qualified Aliens, July 2002¹

| State | Lawful Permanent Residents ² | Asylees/Refugees ³ | Deportees ⁴ | Parolees ⁵ | Battered Noncitizens ⁶ |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Alabama | All | All | All | All | None |
| Alaska | All | All | All | All | All |
| Arizona | All | All | All | All | All |
| Arkansas | All | All | All | All | None |
| California | All | All | All | All | Some ⁷ |
| Colorado | All | All | All | All | Some ⁷ |
| Connecticut | All | All | All | All | All |
| Delaware | All | All | All | All | All |
| D.C. | All | All | All | All | All |
| Florida | All | All | All | All | All |
| Georgia | All | All | All | All | * |
| Hawaii ⁸ | All | All | All | All | All |
| Idaho | All | All | All | All | All |
| Illinois | All | All | All | All | Some ⁷ |
| Indiana | All | All | All | All | None |
| Iowa | All | All | All | All | All |
| Kansas | All | All | All | All | None |
| Kentucky | All | All | All | All | All |
| Louisiana | All | All | All | All | All |
| Maine | All | All | All | All | None |
| Maryland | All | All | All | All | Some ⁷ |
| Massachusetts | All | All | All | All | All |
| Michigan | All | All | All | All | None |
| Minnesota | All | All | All | All | All |
| Mississippi | None | All | All | None | None |
| Missouri | All | All | All | All | All |
| Montana | None | All ⁹ | All ⁹ | All | Some ¹⁰ |
| Nebraska | All | All | All | All | All |
| Nevada | All | None | None | All | All |
| New Hampshire | All | All | All | All | All |
| New Jersey | All | All | All | All | All |
| New Mexico | All | All | None | All | None |
| New York | All | All | All | All | Some ⁷ |
| North Carolina | All | All | All | All | Some ⁷ |
| North Dakota | All | All | All | All | None |
| Ohio | All | All | All | All | All |
| Oklahoma | All | All | All | All | All |
| Oregon | All | All | All | All | All |
| Pennsylvania | All | All | All | All | All |
| Rhode Island | All | All | All | All | All |
| South Carolina | All | All | All | All | None |
| South Dakota | All | None | None | None | None |
| Tennessee | All | All | All | All | None |
| Texas | All | All | All | All | Some ¹⁰ |
| Utah | All ¹¹ | All | All | All | Some |
| Vermont | All | All | All | All | All |
| Virginia | All | All | All | All | All |
| Washington | All | All | All | All | Some ⁷ |
| West Virginia | All | All | All | All | Some ¹² |
| Wisconsin | All | All | All | All | All |
| Wyoming | All | All | All | All | All |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: This table refers only to the largest groups of qualified aliens that entered the United States before August 22, 1996 and does not address a few of the smaller groups of qualified aliens, including Cuban/Haitian entrants or aliens granted conditional entry prior to April 1, 1980.

* Data not obtained.

¹ This table only identifies the eligibility for federally funded TANF assistance of certain groups of qualified aliens that entered the country before August 22, 1996. It does not provide information on the eligibility of other nonqualified aliens that may be eligible for state-funded assistance. Also, aliens are categorized by their current immigrant status (rather than their initial status upon entry into the United States, if different).

² Lawful permanent residents are defined as individuals who have been admitted into the United States permanently.

³ Asylees and refugees are immigrants who flee their countries due to persecution because of race, religion, nationality, political opinion, or membership in a social group. Refugees request permission to enter the country, while asylees are already in the United States and request permission to stay.

⁴ Deportees are individuals granted a stay of deportation or who have had their deportation withheld.

⁵ Parolees are individuals permitted entry into the United States in cases of emergency or because of an overriding public interest. The table only discusses the eligibility of aliens paroled into the United States for at least one year. Aliens paroled into the United States for less than one year are not "qualified" aliens according to the immigrant definition in PRWORA.

⁶ Battered noncitizens refer to those individuals who meet the statutory definition of a battered alien pursuant to 8 USC 1641 (c).

⁷ Some battered noncitizens that meet the qualified alien definition.

⁸ Beginning in February 1997 all immigrant units are funded through a state program with the same eligibility rules as the state's PONO/TANF program. No immigrant units are eligible for federal TANF funding, however.

⁹ Qualified immigrants are only eligible for benefits for seven years beginning on the date they entered the United States.

¹⁰ Battered noncitizens who are the spouse or minor unmarried dependent child of a UNITED STATES citizen or legal permanent resident and do not live with the family member who battered them are eligible.

¹¹ Lawful permanent residents are not eligible for benefits for five years from the time they are granted legal status.

¹² A noncitizen that is a battered spouse or child of a veteran or a person on active duty in the UNITED STATES armed forces is eligible.

Table I.B.6 States Using State Funds to Help Noncitizens Who Entered after Enactment and Are Ineligible for Federal TANF Assistance, July 2002

| State | Qualified Aliens During their First Five Years in the Country ¹ | | | |
|--------------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | LPRs ² | Parolees ³ | Battered Noncitizens ⁴ | Nonqualified Aliens ⁵ |
| Alabama | — | — | — | — |
| Alaska | — | — | — | X ⁶ |
| Arizona | — | X | — | — |
| Arkansas | — | — | — | — |
| California | X | X | X | X ⁷ |
| Colorado | — | — | — | X ⁶ |
| Connecticut ⁸ | X | X | X | X ⁹ |
| Delaware | — | — | X | — |
| D.C. | — | — | — | — |
| Florida | — | — | — | — |
| Georgia | X | X | X | — |
| Hawaii | X | X | X | X ⁶ |
| Idaho | — | — | — | — |
| Illinois | — | — | X | X ⁶ |
| Indiana | — | — | — | — |
| Iowa | — | — | — | — |
| Kansas | — | — | — | — |
| Kentucky | — | — | — | — |
| Louisiana | — | — | — | — |
| Maine | X | X | X | — |
| Maryland | X | X | X | — |
| Massachusetts | X ¹⁰ | X ¹⁰ | X | X ¹¹ |
| Michigan | — | — | — | — |
| Minnesota | — | — | — | X ¹² |
| Mississippi | — | — | — | — |
| Missouri | X | X | X | X ¹³ |
| Montana | — | — | — | — |
| Nebraska | X | X | X | — |
| Nevada | — | — | — | — |
| New Hampshire | — | — | — | — |
| New Jersey | — | — | X | — |
| New Mexico | X | X | X | — |
| New York | X | X | X | — |
| North Carolina | — | — | — | — |
| North Dakota | — | — | — | X ⁶ |
| Ohio | — | — | — | — |
| Oklahoma | — | — | — | — |
| Oregon | X | X | X | X ⁶ |
| Pennsylvania | X | X | X | — |
| Rhode Island | X | X | X | X ¹⁴ |
| South Carolina | — | — | — | — |
| South Dakota | — | — | — | — |
| Tennessee | X | X | X | X ¹³ |
| Texas | — | — | — | — |
| Utah | X | X | X | — |
| Vermont | X | X | X | X ¹⁵ |
| Virginia | — | — | — | — |
| Washington | X | X | X | X ⁶ |
| West Virginia | — | — | X | — |
| Wisconsin | X | X | X | — |
| Wyoming | X | X | X | — |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: This table refers only to noncitizens who entered the United States on or after August 22, 1996 and who are ineligible for federally funded TANF assistance due to the five-year bar or to nonqualified status. Refugees/asylees and deportees are eligible for federal funding during this time and therefore are not in this table.

¹ Qualified aliens are defined under PRWORA as lawful permanent residents (includes Amerasians), refugees, asylees, individuals who have had their deportation withheld, parolees admitted for one or more years, certain battered aliens, Cuban/Haitian entrants, and aliens granted conditional entry before April 1, 1980.

² Lawful permanent residents are defined as individuals who have been admitted into the United States permanently.

³ Parolees are individuals permitted entry into the United States in cases of emergency or because of an overriding public interest. The table only discusses the eligibility of aliens paroled into the United States for at least one year. Aliens paroled into the United States for less than one year are not "qualified" aliens according to the immigrant definition in PRWORA.

⁴ Battered noncitizens refer to those individuals who meet the statutory definition of a battered alien pursuant to 8 USC 1641 (c).

⁵ The groups of noncitizens listed here are not qualified aliens as defined by federal law; therefore, these groups would never be eligible for most federally funded TANF benefits.

⁶ American Indians born in Canada.

⁷ All nonqualified aliens who are not one of the following: (1) nonimmigrant aliens lawfully admitted for a temporary purpose or temporary residence, or (2) undocumented aliens.

⁸ Noncitizens must have resided in the United States for at least six months before being considered eligible for benefits. The residency requirement does not apply to battered noncitizens, people with mental retardation, or noncitizen groups exempted by federal law.

⁹ Noncitizens with mental retardation, some American Indians born in Canada, and individuals permanently residing in the United States under color of law (PRUCOL) as defined by the state.

¹⁰ To be eligible for benefits, these noncitizens must be engaged in efforts to become UNITED STATES citizens and must have resided in Massachusetts for at least six months.

¹¹ Individuals permanently residing in the United States under color of law (PRUCOL) as defined by the state. To be eligible for benefits, these noncitizens must be engaged in efforts to become UNITED STATES citizens and must have resided in Massachusetts for at least six months.

¹² Individuals with Temporary Protective Status, and some legal immigrants age 18-70 who have been in the state for four years and are participating in literacy or citizenship classes.

¹³ Individuals permanently residing in the United States under color of law (PRUCOL) as defined by the state.

¹⁴ Nonqualified noncitizens that meet all other eligibility requirements, were lawfully residing in the UNITED STATES before August 22, 1996, and were residing in the state prior to July 1, 1997.

¹⁵ All nonqualified, noncitizens that are legally in the country are eligible for assistance.

Table I.B.7 State Practices Regarding Eligibility of Nonexempt, Post-PRWORA, Qualified Aliens after Five Years, July 2002¹

| State | Lawful Permanent Residents ² | Asylees/Refugees ³ | Deportees ⁴ | Parolees ⁵ | Battered Noncitizens ⁶ |
|---------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Alabama | All | None | None | None | None |
| Alaska | All | All | All | All | Some ⁷ |
| Arizona | All | All ⁸ | All ⁸ | All ⁸ | All |
| Arkansas | None | None | None | None | None |
| California | All | All | All | All | All |
| Colorado | All | All ⁸ | All ⁸ | All | Some ⁷ |
| Connecticut | All | All | All | All | All |
| Delaware | All | All | All | All | All |
| D.C. | All | All | All | All | All |
| Florida | All | All | All | All | All |
| Georgia | All | All | All | All | * |
| Hawaii ⁹ | All | All | All | All | All |
| Idaho | None | None | None | None | All |
| Illinois | All | All | All | All | Some ⁷ |
| Indiana | None | All | All | None | None |
| Iowa | All | All | All | All | All |
| Kansas | All | None | None | All | None |
| Kentucky | All | All | All | All | All |
| Louisiana | All | All | All | All | All |
| Maine | All | All | All | All | All |
| Maryland | All | All | All | All | All |
| Massachusetts | All | All | All | All | All |
| Michigan | All | All | None | All | All |
| Minnesota | All | All | All | All | All |
| Mississippi | None | None | None | None | None |
| Missouri | All | All | All | All | All |
| Montana | None | All ¹⁰ | All ¹⁰ | All | Some ¹¹ |
| Nebraska | All | All | All | All | All |
| Nevada | All | None | None | All | None |
| New Hampshire | All | All | All | All | All |
| New Jersey | All ¹² | All | All | All | All |
| New Mexico | All | All | All | All | All |
| New York | All | All | All | All | Some ⁷ |
| North Carolina | All | All | All | All | Some ⁷ |
| North Dakota | All | All | All | All | None |
| Ohio | All | All | All | All | All |
| Oklahoma | All | All | All | All | All |
| Oregon | All | All | All | All | All |
| Pennsylvania | All | All | All | All | All |
| Rhode Island | All | All | All | All | All |
| South Carolina | All | All | None | All | None |
| South Dakota | All | All | All | All | All |
| Tennessee | All | All | All | All | None |
| Texas | None | None | None | None | Some ¹¹ |
| Utah | All | All | All | All | Some |
| Vermont | All | All | All | All | All |
| Virginia | All | All | All | None | All |
| Washington | All | All | All | All | All |
| West Virginia | All | All | All | All | All |
| Wisconsin | All | All | All | All | All |
| Wyoming | All | None | None | All | All |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: This table refers only to the largest groups of qualified aliens who entered the United States on or after August 22, 1996. It does not address a few of the smaller groups of qualified aliens, including Cuban/Haitian entrants or aliens granted conditional entry before April 1, 1980.

* Data not obtained.

¹ This table only identifies the eligibility for federally funded TANF assistance of certain groups of qualified aliens after the expiration of the five-year bar. It does not provide information on the eligibility of other nonqualified aliens who may be eligible for state-funded assistance. Aliens are categorized by their current immigrant status (rather than their initial status upon entry into the United States, if different).

² Lawful permanent residents are defined as individuals who have been admitted into the United States permanently.

³ Asylees and refugees are immigrants who flee their countries due to persecution because of race, religion, nationality, political opinion, or membership in a social group. Refugees request permission to enter the country, while asylees are already in the United States and request permission to stay.

⁴ Deportees are individuals granted a stay of deportation or who have had their deportation withheld.

⁵ Parolees are individuals permitted entry into the United States in cases of emergency or because of an overriding public interest. The table only discusses the eligibility of aliens paroled into the United States for at least one year. Aliens paroled into the United States for less than one year are not "qualified" aliens according to the immigrant definition in PRWORA.

⁶ Battered noncitizens refer to those individuals who meet the statutory definition of a battered alien pursuant to 8 USC 1641 (c).

⁷ Some battered immigrants who meet the qualified alien definition.

⁸ Aliens with this immigrant status are only potentially eligible for assistance during their first seven years in the country following entry.

⁹ Beginning in February 1997, all immigrant units are funded through a state program with the same eligibility rules as the state's PONO/TANF program. No immigrant units are eligible for federal TANF funding, however.

¹⁰ Qualified immigrants are only eligible for benefits for seven years beginning on the date they entered the United States.

¹¹ Battered noncitizens who are the spouse or minor unmarried dependent child of a UNITED STATES citizen or legal permanent resident and do not live with the family member who battered them are eligible.

¹² Aliens who were not continuous residents of the United States (meaning they left the United States for 30 days or more) before becoming Lawful Permanent Residents are ineligible for benefits.

Table I.C.1 Asset Limits for Applicants, July 2002

| State | Asset Limit | Vehicle Exemption |
|------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Alabama | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ | All Vehicles Owned by Household |
| Alaska | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ | One Vehicle per Household ² |
| Arizona | \$2,000 | One Vehicle per Household |
| Arkansas | \$3,000 | One Vehicle per Household |
| California | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ | \$4,650 ^E |
| Colorado | \$2,000 | \$4,500 ^{3F} |
| Connecticut | \$3,000 | \$9,500 ^{4E} |
| Delaware | \$1,000 | \$4,650 ^E |
| D.C. | \$2,000/3,000 ⁵ | All Vehicles Owned by Household |
| Florida | \$2,000 | \$8,500 ^E |
| Georgia | \$1,000 | \$1,500/4,650 ^{6E} |
| Hawaii | \$5,000 | All Vehicles Owned by Household |
| Idaho | \$2,000 | \$4,650 ^{7F} |
| Illinois | \$2,000/3,000/+50 ⁸ | One Vehicle per Household |
| Indiana | \$1,000 | \$5,000 ^E |
| Iowa | \$2,000 | \$4,115 ^E per Vehicle for Each Adult and Working Teenager |
| Kansas | \$2,000 | All Vehicles Owned by Household |
| Kentucky | \$2,000 ⁹ | All Vehicles Owned by Household |
| Louisiana | \$2,000 | All Vehicles Owned by Household |
| Maine | \$2,000 | One Vehicle per Household |
| Maryland | \$2,000 | All Vehicles Owned by Household |
| Massachusetts | \$2,500 | \$10,000 ^F /5,000 ^{10E} |
| Michigan | \$3,000 | All Vehicles Owned by Household |
| Minnesota | \$2,000 | \$7,500 ^E |
| Mississippi | \$2,000 | One Vehicle per Household ¹¹ |
| Missouri | \$1,000 | One Vehicle per Household ¹² |
| Montana | \$3,000 | One Vehicle per Household ¹³ |
| Nebraska | \$4,000/6,000 ¹⁴ | One Vehicle per Household ¹⁵ |
| Nevada | \$2,000 | One Vehicle per Household |
| New Hampshire | \$1,000 | One Vehicle per Licensed Driver |
| New Jersey | \$2,000 | \$9,500 ^{16F} |
| New Mexico | \$3,500 ¹⁷ | One Vehicle per Household ¹⁸ |
| New York | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ | \$4,650 ^{19F} |
| North Carolina | \$3,000 | One Vehicle per Licensed Driver |
| North Dakota | \$3,000/6,000/+25 ²⁰ | One Vehicle per Household |
| Ohio | No Limit ²¹ | All Vehicles Owned by Household |
| Oklahoma | \$1,000 | \$5,000 ^E |
| Oregon | \$2,500 ²² | \$10,000 ^E |
| Pennsylvania | \$1,000 | One Vehicle per Household |
| Rhode Island | \$1,000 | \$4,650 ^F /1,500 ^{23E} |
| South Carolina | \$2,500 | One Vehicle per Licensed Driver ²⁴ |
| South Dakota | \$2,000 | One Vehicle per Household ²⁵ |
| Tennessee | \$2,000 | \$4,600 ^E |
| Texas | \$2,000/3,000 ⁵ | \$4,650/15,000 ^{26F} |
| Utah | \$2,000 | \$8,000 ^{27E} |
| Vermont | \$1,000 | One Vehicle per Licensed Driver |
| Virginia | | |
| VIEW | \$1,000 | \$7,500 ^{28F/E} |
| All, except VIEW | \$1,000 | \$1,500 ^E |

Table I.C.1 Asset Limits for Applicants, July 2002

| State | Asset Limit | Vehicle Exemption |
|---------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Washington | \$1,000 | \$5,000 ^{27E} |
| West Virginia | \$2,000 | One Vehicle per Household |
| Wisconsin | \$2,500 | \$10,000 ^E |
| Wyoming | \$2,500 | \$12,000 ^{29F} |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

^E Equity value of the vehicle.

^F Fair market value of the vehicle.

¹ Units including a member age 60 years and over may exempt \$3,000; all other units exempt \$2,000.

² Vehicles used as a home, to produce self-employment income, to transport a disabled family member, or to participate in an approved work activity are also exempt.

³ One vehicle per household is exempt if equipped for a handicapped person, used to obtain medical treatment, or used for employment.

⁴ The unit may exempt \$9,500 of the equity value of a vehicle or the entire value of one vehicle used to transport a handicapped person.

⁵ Households including an elderly or disabled person may exempt \$3,000. All other units exempt \$2,000.

⁶ If the vehicle is used to look for work, travel to work, or education and training the unit may exclude \$4,650 of the value. If the vehicle is not used for these purposes, \$1,500 of the equity value will be excluded. If the vehicle is used more than 50 percent of the time to produce income or as a dwelling, it is totally excluded.

⁷ The value of one specially equipped vehicle used to transport a disabled family member is exempt. Also, all vehicles with a fair market value under \$1,500 are exempt.

⁸ The asset limit is based on unit size: one person receives \$2,000, two people receive \$3,000, and three or more people receive another \$50 for every additional person.

⁹ Only liquid resources are considered for eligibility determinations. Liquid resources include cash, checking and savings accounts, CDs, stocks and bonds, and money market accounts.

¹⁰ The state compares the value of the vehicle to two standards: \$10,000 of the fair market value and \$5,000 of the equity value. If the value of the vehicle exceeds either limit, the excess counts towards the asset limit; however, if the value of the vehicle exceeds both limits, only the excess of the greater amount counts toward the asset limit.

¹¹ \$4,650 of the fair market value of the unit's second vehicle is exempt.

¹² \$1,500 of the equity value of the unit's second vehicle is exempt.

¹³ All income-producing vehicles are also exempt.

¹⁴ The asset limit is based on unit size: one person receives \$4,000, two or more people receive \$6,000.

¹⁵ The entire vehicle is exempt only if used for employment, training, or medical transportation; any motor vehicle used as a home is also exempt.

¹⁶ Units with two adults may exempt up to \$4,650 of the fair market value of a second vehicle if it is essential for work, training, or transportation of a handicapped individual.

¹⁷ The total limit is \$3,500; however, only \$1,500 of that amount can be in liquid resources and only \$2,000 can be in nonliquid resources. Liquid resources include the (convertible) cash value of life insurance policies, cash, stocks, bonds, negotiable notes, purchase contracts and other similar assets. Nonliquid resources include a second vehicle, equipment, tools, livestock (with the exception of nonsalable domestic pets), one-time sale asset conversion, and lump-sum payments.

¹⁸ When public transportation is available, the value of one vehicle is exempt. When public transportation is not available, the value of one vehicle per participant involved in work activities is exempt.

¹⁹ If the vehicle is needed to seek or retain employment, \$9,300 of the vehicle is exempt.

²⁰ The asset limit is based on unit size: one person receives \$3,000, two people receive \$6,000, and another \$25 is allowed for each additional person thereafter.

²¹ Ohio has eliminated the asset test.

²² There is more than one phase of the application process in Oregon. The asset limit for applicants first applying for TANF is \$2,500. If the applicant makes it through the first stage of application, he or she must participate in the Assessment Program in which he or she is assessed and given a case plan to follow. If the applicant does not follow the case plan, he or she maintains the \$2,500 asset limit as long as he or she is in the Assessment Program. If the applicant complies with the case plan, he or she is allowed a \$10,000 asset limit.

²³ A unit may exempt \$4,650 of the fair market value of a vehicle. After this exemption, if the remaining amount of the value of the vehicle disqualifies the unit from assistance, \$1,500 of the equity value of the vehicle is exempted. The entire value of a vehicle to provide necessary transportation of a disabled family member may be exempted.

²⁴ Vehicles owned by or used to transport disabled individuals, essential to self-employment, income-producing vehicles, and vehicles used as a home are also exempt.

²⁵ In addition to one primary vehicle, an assistance unit may totally exclude a vehicle used to transport water or fuel to the home when it is not piped in, a vehicle used to transport a disabled member or SSI recipient in household, a vehicle used in producing income or as a home; an assistance unit may also exclude \$4,650 of the fair market value of a vehicle used to transport members of the unit for education or employment.

²⁶ \$4,650 is exempt for each vehicle owned by a TANF-certified or disqualified household member and \$15,000 is exempt for one vehicle owned by a two-parent family. All licensed vehicles used for income-producing purposes are exempt.

²⁷ The entire equity value of a vehicle used to transport a disabled household member is also exempt.

²⁸ If the fair market value of the vehicle is greater than \$7,500, the equity value greater than \$1,500 is counted in the resource limit.

²⁹ The \$12,000 exemption applies to one vehicle for a single-parent unit and to two vehicles for a married couple.

Table I.D.1 Treatment of Grandparent Income,¹ July 2002

| State | Earned Income | | Other Income Disregard |
|----------------|------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Deeming | Disregard | |
| Alabama | Yes | 20% | 100% of Countable Income Divided by the Number of Persons in the Household (Inside and Outside of the Unit That the Grandparent Is Responsible for) Times the Family Size ² |
| Alaska | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Need Standard for Family Size |
| Arizona | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Need Standard for the Family Size |
| Arkansas | No | — | — |
| California | Yes | \$90 ³ | 100% of Minimum Basic Standard of Adequate Care for the Family Size |
| Colorado | Yes | * | * |
| Connecticut | Yes | — | 100% of the Federal Poverty Level for the Family Size |
| Delaware | No ⁴ | — | 100% of the Federal Poverty Level for the Family Size ⁵ |
| D.C. | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Standard of Assistance for the Family Size |
| Florida | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Federal Poverty Level |
| Georgia | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Standard of Need for the Family Size |
| Hawaii | Yes | 20% | 100% of Standard of Need for the Family Size |
| Idaho | No ⁺ | — | (Grandparent Is Always Included in Unit) |
| Illinois | Yes | \$90 | 300% of Payment Standard for the Family Size |
| Indiana | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Need Standard for the Family Size |
| Iowa | Yes | 20% | 100% of Need Standard for the Family Size and 50% of the Remaining Earnings |
| Kansas | No ⁺ | — | (Grandparent Is Always Included in Unit) |
| Kentucky | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Standard of Need for the Family Size |
| Louisiana | No ⁺ | — | (Grandparent Is Always Included in Unit) |
| Maine | Yes | \$108, 50% | 100% of Gross Income Test for the Family Size |
| Maryland | No ⁺ | — | (Grandparent Is Always Included in Unit) |
| Massachusetts | Yes | — | 200% of the Federal Poverty Level for the Family Size |
| Michigan | No ⁺ | — | (Grandparent Is Always Included in Unit) |
| Minnesota | Yes | 18% | 200% of the Federal Poverty Level for the Family Size |
| Mississippi | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Need Standard and Payment Standard for the Family Size |
| Missouri | Yes | — | 100% of the Federal Poverty Level for Dependent Children and 100% of Need Standard for the Family Size |
| Montana | No ⁺ | — | (Grandparent Is Always Included in Unit) |
| Nebraska | Yes | — | 300% of the Federal Poverty Level for the Family Size |
| Nevada | Yes | Greater of \$90 or 20% | 100% of Need Standard for the Family Size |
| New Hampshire | Yes | 20% | 100% of Standard of Need for the Family Size |
| New Jersey | Yes ⁶ | 50% | — |
| New Mexico | Yes | — | 130% of the Federal Poverty Level for the Family Size |
| New York | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Need Standard for the Family Size |
| North Carolina | No ⁺ | — | (Grandparent Is Always Included in Unit) |
| North Dakota | Yes | Greater of \$180 or 27% | 100% of Standard of Need for the Family Size |
| Ohio | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Allocation Allowance Standard for the Family Size |
| Oklahoma | Yes | \$120 | 100% of Need Standard for the Family Size |
| Oregon | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Adjustable Income/Payment Standard for the Family Size |
| Pennsylvania | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Need Standard for the Family Size |
| Rhode Island | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Cash Assistance Monthly Standard for the Family Size |
| South Carolina | Yes | — | 185% of Need Standard for the Family Size |
| South Dakota | Yes | \$90, 20% | 100% of Payment Standard for the Family Size |
| Tennessee | Yes | \$150 | 100% of Consolidated Need Standard for the Grandparent and All In-House Dependents |
| Texas | Yes | \$120 | 100% of Budgetary Needs Standard for the Family Size |
| Utah | Yes | \$100 | 100% of Adjusted Standard Needs Budget for the Family Size |

Table I.D.1 Treatment of Grandparent Income,¹ July 2002

| State | Deeming | Earned Income | |
|---------------|-----------------|---------------|--|
| | | Disregard | Other Income Disregard |
| Vermont | No | — | — |
| Virginia | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Standard of Need for the Family Size |
| Washington | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Need Standard for the Family Size |
| West Virginia | No ⁺ | — | (Grandparent Is Always Included in Unit) |
| Wisconsin | No ⁺ | — | (Grandparent Is Always Included in Unit) |
| Wyoming | Yes | \$200 | 100% of Maximum Benefit for the Family Size |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Notes: "Family Size" represents the grandparent and all dependents outside of the assistance unit. In general, states also deduct child support payments, alimony, and payments made to dependents outside of the household from the grandparent's income before deeming to the unit. See Table I.E.3 for information on the value of the standards for a family of three.

The table describes the treatment of grandparent's income for applicant units. If different policies are used for recipient units, it is footnoted.

* Data not obtained.

⁺ There is no deeming because the grandparent must be included in the unit in order for the minor to receive benefits. Therefore, all of the grandparent's income is included for eligibility purposes.

¹ In this table, the term "grandparent" refers to the parent of a minor parent. This table describes whether a portion of the grandparent's (a parent of a minor parent's) income is deemed available to the minor and her child when the grandparent is not part of the assistance unit but living in the household with the minor. The table describes the disregards that the grandparent and his or her dependents are allowed to claim for their own needs. The remaining income after these disregards are deducted from the grandparent's income is the amount available, or "deemed," to the minor parent and her children.

² The grandparent's remaining income after deductions is divided by the total number of dependents who do not receive assistance plus the grandparent and her child applying for assistance (the minor child's child is not included in this calculation). This amount is deemed and the remainder is allocated to the grandparent.

³ Recipient units may disregard \$225 and 50 percent of the remainder.

⁴ Children born after December 31, 1998 to minors are not eligible for cash assistance. The minor may still be eligible for assistance as part of her parent's assistance unit. In these cases, there is no deeming. For minors with children born before December 31, 1998, their parents must apply on behalf of the minor parent and the minor parent's child. If the grandparent does not want to be included in the unit, the state does not include the grandparent's needs for eligibility or benefit computation; however, a deemed portion of their income (as specified above) is counted for eligibility and benefit computation. The grandparent must also act as the head of the unit and receive the payments for his or her child and grandchild.

⁵ Recipient units may disregard 200 percent of the federal poverty level for the grandparents and dependents outside the unit.

⁶ Income is deemed to a minor parent unit even if they are not living in the home with the grandparent. The rules for deeming are the same.

Table I.D.2 Treatment of Stepparent Income, July 2002

| State | Deeming Earned Income Disregard | | Other Income Disregards |
|----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Alabama | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| Alaska | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Need Standard for Family Size |
| Arizona | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Need Standard for Family Size ¹ |
| Arkansas | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| California | Yes | — ² | — ² |
| Colorado | Yes | 66.7% | 100% of Need Standard for Family Size |
| Connecticut | Yes | — | 100% of Federal Poverty Level |
| Delaware | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Need Standard for Family Size |
| D.C. | No | — | — |
| Florida | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Federal Poverty Level |
| Georgia | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Standard of Need for Family Size |
| Hawaii | Yes | 20% | 100% of Standard of Need for Family Size |
| Idaho | Yes | — | 50% of Stepparent's Earned and Unearned income |
| Illinois | Yes | \$90 | 300% of Payment Standard for Family Size |
| Indiana | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Need Standard for Family Size |
| Iowa | Yes | 20% | 100% of Need Standard for Family Size and 50% of Remaining Earnings ³ |
| Kansas | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| Kentucky | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Standard of Need for Family Size |
| Louisiana | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| Maine | Yes | \$108, 50% | 100% of Gross Income Test for Family Size |
| Maryland | Yes ⁴ | 20% | 100% of Allowable Payment for Family Size ⁵ |
| Massachusetts | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Need Standard and Payment Standard for Family Size |
| Michigan | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| Minnesota | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| Mississippi | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Need Standard and Payment Standard for Family Size |
| Missouri | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Need Standard for Family Size |
| Montana | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| Nebraska | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| Nevada | Yes | Greater of \$90 or 20% | 100% of Need Standard for Family Size |
| New Hampshire | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| New Jersey | Yes | — ⁶ | — ⁶ |
| New Mexico | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| New York | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Need Standard for Family Size |
| North Carolina | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| North Dakota | Yes | Greater of \$180 or 27% ⁷ | 100% of Standard of Need for Family Size |
| Ohio | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Allocation Allowance Standard for Family Size |
| Oklahoma | Yes | \$120 | 100% of Need Standard for Family Size |
| Oregon | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| Pennsylvania | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Need Standard for Family Size |
| Rhode Island | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| South Carolina | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| South Dakota | No ⁺⁸ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| Tennessee | Yes | \$150 | 100% of Consolidated Need Standard for Family Size |
| Texas | Yes | \$120 | 100% of Budgetary Needs Standard for Family Size |
| Utah | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| Vermont | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| Virginia | Yes | \$90 | 100% of Standard of Need for Family Size ⁹ |
| Washington | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |

Table I.D.2 Treatment of Stepparent Income, July 2002

| State | Deeming | Earned Income Disregard | Other Income Disregards |
|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---|
| West Virginia | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| Wisconsin | No ⁺ | — | (Stepparent Always Included in the Unit) |
| Wyoming | Yes | \$200 | 100% of Maximum Benefit for the Family Size |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Notes: "Family Size" represents the stepparent and all dependents outside of the assistance unit. In general, states also deduct child support payments, alimony, and payments made to dependents outside of the household from the stepparent's income before deeming to the unit. See Table I.E.3 for information on the value of the standards for a family of three.

These policies apply to units in which the stepparent is not a part of the assistance unit but is living in the household.

Unless otherwise noted, the stepparent's income is deemed to the spouse and the spouse's dependents.

⁺ There is no deeming because the stepparent must be included in the unit. Therefore, all of the stepparent's income is included for eligibility purposes.

¹ The stepparent's income is deemed only to the stepchild(ren) in the unit.

² If the stepparent is not included in the unit, all of his or her countable income is counted for eligibility and benefit computation; however, his or her needs are not included.

³ Deduct all child support payments and payments made to dependents outside the household before applying the 50 percent disregard.

⁴ The stepparent's countable income is tested against 50 percent of the federal poverty level for a household size that includes the stepparent, the members of the assistance unit, and any other dependents not in the unit. When the income is below 50 percent of the federal poverty level, no income is deemed to the unit. When the income is over 50 percent of the federal poverty level, all of the stepparent's income minus deductions is deemed to the unit.

⁵ Deduct all child support, alimony, and child care paid to someone outside the household up to a maximum of \$200 per child if employed full-time and up to \$100 per child if employed part-time (full-time is defined as 100 hours or more per month) before applying this disregard.

⁶ The stepparent is not required to be a member of the unit if his or her income makes the unit ineligible for benefits. If the stepparent chooses not to receive assistance, the unit becomes a child-only unit and the stepparent's income is treated as follows: (1) For determining the eligibility of the unit the income of all household members, including the natural parent, his or her children, the stepparent, and any children the stepparent can claim as dependents, is used to determine the children's eligibility for assistance. If total household income is below 150 percent of the Federal Poverty Line, the assistance unit is eligible for benefits. (2) For determining the benefits, all of the income of the stepparent is excluded. However, the natural parent's earned income is reduced by the 50 percent earnings disregard, and by the payment benefit level for a unit of one. All remaining income of the natural parent is used in determining the benefits for the children.

⁷ For the first six months of a new marriage, all stepparent income is disregarded, provided the parent was previously receiving benefits.

⁸ An Indian stepparent in Indian country who is under exclusive jurisdiction of a tribe for the purposes of determining the domestic relations rights of the family has the option of being included in the assistance unit.

⁹ The stepparent's income is deemed only to the spouse.

Table I.E.1 Income Eligibility Tests for Applicants, July 2002

| State | Type of Test | Income Must be Less Than |
|---------------|-------------------|---|
| Alabama | Net Income | 100% of Payment Standard |
| Alaska | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard |
| Arizona | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard |
| Arkansas | Net Income | 100% of Income Eligibility Standard |
| California | Net Income | 100% of Minimum Basic Standard of Adequate Care |
| Colorado | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard |
| Connecticut | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard |
| | Unearned Income | 100% of Payment Standard |
| Delaware | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| | Net Income | 100% of Maximum Benefit |
| D.C. | Net Income | 100% Payment Level |
| Florida | Gross Income | 185% of Federal Poverty Level |
| | Net Income | 100% of Payment Standard |
| Georgia | Gross Income | 185% of Standard of Need |
| | Net Income | 100% of Standard of Need |
| Hawaii | Gross Income | 185% of Standard of Need |
| | Net Income | 100% of Standard of Need |
| Idaho | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Illinois | Net Income | 100% of Payment Standard |
| Indiana | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| | Net Income | 100% of Net Income Standard |
| Iowa | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard |
| Kansas | Net Income | 100% of Budgetary Standards |
| Kentucky | Gross Income | 185% of Standard of Need |
| Louisiana | Net Income | 100% of Flat Grant Amount |
| Maine | Gross Income | 100% of Gross Income Test |
| Maryland | Net Income | 100% of Allowable Payment |
| Massachusetts | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard and Payment Standard |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard and Payment Standard |
| Michigan | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Minnesota | Net Income | 100% of Transitional Standard |
| Mississippi | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard and Payment Standard |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard and Payment Standard |
| Missouri | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard |
| Montana | Gross Income | 185% of Benefit Standard |
| Nebraska | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Nevada | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard |
| New Hampshire | Net Income | 100% of Payment Standard |
| New Jersey | Gross Income | 150% of Maximum Benefit Payment Schedule |
| New Mexico | Gross Income | 85% of Federal Poverty Level |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard |

Table I.E.1 Income Eligibility Tests for Applicants, July 2002

| State | Type of Test | Income Must be Less Than |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| New York | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard and 100% of 1996 Federal Poverty Level |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard |
| North Carolina | No Explicit Tests | — |
| North Dakota | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Ohio | Net Income | 100% of Allocation Allowance Standard |
| Oklahoma | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard |
| Oregon | | |
| All, except JOBS Plus | Gross Income | 100% of Countable Income Limit |
| JOBS Plus | Gross Income | 100% of Food Stamp Countable Income Limit |
| Pennsylvania | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard |
| Rhode Island | No Explicit Tests | — |
| South Carolina | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard |
| South Dakota | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Tennessee | Gross Income | 185% of Consolidated Need Standard |
| Texas | Net Income | 100% of Budgetary Needs Standard ¹ |
| | Net Income | 100% of Recognizable Needs ² |
| Utah | Gross Income | 185% of Adjusted Standard Needs Budget |
| | Net Income | 100% of Adjusted Standard Needs Budget |
| Vermont | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard |
| Virginia | | |
| VIEW ³ | Gross Earnings | 100% of Federal Poverty Level |
| | Unearned Income | 100% of Standard of Need |
| All, except VIEW | Gross Income | 185% of Standard of Need |
| | Net Income | 90% of Standard of Need |
| Washington | Gross Earnings | 100% of Maximum Earned Income Limit |
| West Virginia | Gross Income | 100% of Standard of Need |
| Wisconsin | Gross Income | 115% of Federal Poverty Level |
| Wyoming | No Explicit Tests | — |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: "No Explicit Test" indicates that either the state imposes no income tests on applicants or the state does impose an income test, but the calculation of the test and disregards allowed for the test are no different from those used to calculate the benefit. See Table I.E.3 for information on the value of the standards for a family of three.

¹ Apply only the \$120 disregard for this test.

² Apply both the \$120 disregard and the 33.3 percent disregard for this test.

³ Two-parent units' gross earned income must be below 150 percent of the Federal Poverty Level and their unearned income must be below 100 percent of Standard of Need.

Table I.E.2 Earned Income Disregards for Income Eligibility Purposes, July 2002

| State | Earned Income Disregard |
|----------------------|--|
| Alabama | 20% |
| Alaska | \$90 ¹ |
| Arizona | |
| All, except JOBSTART | \$90 and 30% of Remainder |
| JOBSTART | 100% of Subsidized Wages |
| Arkansas | 20% ² |
| California | \$90 |
| Colorado | \$90 |
| Connecticut | \$90 |
| Delaware | \$90 |
| D.C. | \$160 |
| Florida | \$90 ³ |
| Georgia | \$90 |
| Hawaii | 20%, \$200, and 36% of Remainder |
| Idaho | No Explicit Net Income Test |
| Illinois | \$90 |
| Indiana | \$90 ⁴ |
| Iowa | 20% |
| Kansas | \$90 |
| Kentucky | No Explicit Net Income Test |
| Louisiana | \$120 |
| Maine | No Explicit Net Income Test |
| Maryland | 20% |
| Massachusetts | \$90 |
| Michigan | No Explicit Net Income Test |
| Minnesota | 18% |
| Mississippi | \$90 ⁵ |
| Missouri | \$90 |
| Montana | No Explicit Net Income Test |
| Nebraska | No Explicit Net Income Test |
| Nevada | \$90 or 20%, Whichever Is Greater |
| New Hampshire | 20% |
| New Jersey | No Explicit Net Income Test |
| New Mexico | All Earnings in Excess of 34 Hours per Week, \$125 and 50% of Remainder ⁶ |
| New York | \$90 |
| North Carolina | No Explicit Net Income Test |
| North Dakota | No Explicit Net Income Test |
| Ohio | No Disregards Allowed |
| Oklahoma | \$120 |
| Oregon | No Explicit Net Income Test |
| Pennsylvania | \$90 |
| Rhode Island | No Explicit Net Income Test |
| South Carolina | No Disregards Allowed |
| South Dakota | No Explicit Net Income Test |
| Tennessee | No Explicit Net Income Test |
| Texas | \$120 and 33.3% of Remainder ⁷ |
| Utah | \$100 ⁸ |
| Vermont | \$90 |

Table I.E.2 Earned Income Disregards for Income Eligibility Purposes, July 2002

| State | Earned Income Disregard |
|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Virginia | |
| VIEW | No Explicit Net Income Test |
| All, except VIEW | \$90 |
| Washington | No Explicit Net Income Test |
| West Virginia | No Explicit Net Income Test |
| Wisconsin | No Net Income Test |
| Wyoming | No Explicit Net Income Test |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Notes: Only earned income disregards are described in the table. Childcare disregards and other special disregards, such as deductions for units subject to a time limit or a family cap are not included.

“No Explicit Net Income Test” indicates that either the state imposes no net income test at application or does impose a net income test, but the calculation of the test and disregards allowed for the test are no different from those used to calculate the benefit.

“No Disregards Allowed” indicates that the state does have a test for initial eligibility but does not allow units to disregard any income.

The table describes the disregards used for both applicant and recipient eligibility purposes; however, if different policies are applied to applicants and recipients, the policies in the table apply to applicants and the recipients' policies are footnoted.

¹ Recipients may disregard \$150 and 33 percent of remainder in first 12 months, \$150 and 25 percent of remainder in months 13-24, \$150 and 20 percent of remainder in months 25-36, \$150 and 15 percent of remainder in months 37-48, \$150 and 10 percent of remainder in months 49-60, and \$150 thereafter. Recipients include any units who have received assistance in one of the previous four months.

² Recipients may disregard 20 percent and 60 percent of remainder.

³ Recipient units (defined as units receiving assistance in one of the last four months) may disregard \$200 and 50 percent.

⁴ Recipients may disregard \$120 and 33.3 percent of remainder for first four months, \$120 next eight months, and \$90 thereafter.

⁵ Two-parent units may disregard \$120 and 33.3 percent.

⁶ Two-parent units may disregard all earnings in excess of 35 hours a week for one parent and 24 hours a week for the other parent and \$225 and 50 percent in the first 24 months. Thereafter, they may disregard \$225 and 50 percent of the remainder. The disregard for earnings in excess of the participation requirement only applies to recipients for the first 24 months of benefit receipt, for both single- and two-parent units.

⁷ Recipients may disregard \$120 and 90 percent of remainder (up to \$1,400) for four of twelve months. The four months need not be consecutive. Once the recipient has received four months of the 90 percent disregard, he or she is not eligible to receive the disregard again until the TANF case has been denied and remains denied for one full month, and 12 calendar months have passed since the denial. The 12-month ineligibility period begins with the first full month of denial after the client used the fourth month of the 90 percent disregard. In all other months, recipients may disregard \$120.

⁸ Recipients may disregard \$100 and 50 percent of remainder. However, in order to be eligible for the 50 percent disregard the unit must have received benefits in at least one of the previous four months.

Table I.E.3 Eligibility Standards, July 2002

| State | State Name | Amount for Family of Three |
|----------------|---|----------------------------|
| Alabama | Payment Standard | \$164 |
| Alaska | Need Standard | \$1,186 |
| Arizona | Need Standard | \$964 |
| Arkansas | Income Eligibility Standard | \$223 |
| California | Minimum Basic Standard of Adequate Care | \$859 |
| Colorado | Need Standard | \$421 |
| Connecticut | Federal Poverty Level | \$1,252 |
| | Need Standard | \$745 |
| | Payment Standard | \$543 |
| Delaware | Federal Poverty Level | \$1,252 |
| | Need Standard | \$915 |
| | Maximum Benefit | \$338 |
| D.C. | Standard of Assistance | \$712 |
| | Payment Level | \$379 |
| Florida | Federal Poverty Level | \$1,252 |
| | Payment Standard | \$303 |
| Georgia | Standard of Need | \$424 |
| Hawaii | Standard of Need | \$1,140 |
| Idaho | — | — |
| Illinois | Payment Standard | \$396 |
| Indiana | Federal Poverty Level | \$1,252 |
| | Need Standard | \$320 |
| | Net Income Standard | \$288 |
| Iowa | Need Standard | \$849 |
| Kansas | Budgetary Standards | \$429 |
| Kentucky | Standard of Need | \$526 |
| Louisiana | Flat Grant Amount | \$240 |
| Maine | Gross Income Test | \$1,023 |
| Maryland | Allowable Payment | \$472 |
| Massachusetts | | |
| Exempt | Federal Poverty Level | \$1,252 |
| | Need Standard and Payment Standard | \$633 |
| Nonexempt | Need Standard and Payment Standard | \$618 |
| | Federal Poverty Level | \$1,252 |
| Michigan | — | — |
| Minnesota | Federal Poverty Level | \$1,252 |
| | Transitional Standard | \$831 |
| Mississippi | Need Standard and Payment Standard | \$368 |
| Missouri | Federal Poverty Level | \$1,252 |
| | Need Standard | \$846 |
| Montana | Benefit Standard | \$507 |
| Nebraska | Federal Poverty Level | \$1,252 |
| Nevada | Need Standard | \$896 |
| New Hampshire | Standard of Need | \$2,440 |
| | Payment Standard | \$625 |
| New Jersey | Maximum Benefit Payment Schedule | \$424 |
| New Mexico | Federal Poverty Level | \$1,252 |
| | Need Standard | \$389 |
| New York | 1996 Federal Poverty Level | \$1,082 |
| | Need Standard | \$577 |
| North Carolina | — | — |

Table I.E.3 Eligibility Standards, July 2002

| State | State Name | Amount for Family of Three |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| North Dakota | Standard of Need | \$477 |
| Ohio | Allocation Allowance Standard | \$980 |
| Oklahoma | Need Standard | \$645 |
| Oregon | | |
| All, except JOBS Plus | Countable Income Limit | \$616 |
| | Adjusted Income/Payment Standard | \$460 |
| JOBS Plus | Food Stamp Countable Income Limit | \$1,585 |
| Pennsylvania | Need Standard | \$587 |
| Rhode Island | Cash Assistance Monthly Standard | \$554 |
| South Carolina | Need Standard | \$609 |
| South Dakota | Payment Standard | \$483 |
| Tennessee | Consolidated Need Standard | \$859 |
| Texas | Budgetary Needs Standard | \$751 |
| | Recognizable Needs | \$188 |
| Utah | Adjusted Standard Needs Budget | \$568 |
| Vermont | Need Standard | \$1,251 |
| Virginia | Federal Poverty Level | \$1,252 |
| | Standard of Need | \$322 |
| Washington | Maximum Earned Income Limit | \$1,092 |
| | Need Standard | \$1,247 |
| West Virginia | Standard of Need | \$991 |
| Wisconsin | Federal Poverty Level | \$1,252 |
| Wyoming | Maximum Benefit | \$340 |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Notes: The amounts in this table represent all standards used during the eligibility process, including those used for grandparent deeming, stepparent deeming, applicant income eligibility tests, and recipient income eligibility tests. See Tables I.D.1, I.D.2, I.E.1, and IV.A.4 for more information on how these standards are used. Note, this table provides information on the standards only; in order to determine how the standards are applied, see the companion tables listed above.

The amounts in the table are based on the following assumptions about the assistance unit: there is one adult and two children, the children are not subject to a family cap, the unit has no special needs, pays for shelter, and lives in the most populated area of the state.

Table I.E.4 Maximum Income for Initial Eligibility for a Family of Three,¹ July 2002

| State | Maximum Earnings an Applicant Can Receive and Still Be Eligible for Assistance |
|------------------|--|
| Alabama | \$205 |
| Alaska | \$1,276 |
| Arizona | \$586 |
| Arkansas | \$279 |
| California | \$949 |
| Colorado | \$511 |
| Connecticut | \$835 |
| Delaware | \$428 |
| D.C. | \$539 |
| Florida | \$393 |
| Georgia | \$514 |
| Hawaii | \$1,641 ² |
| Idaho | \$648 |
| Illinois | \$486 |
| Indiana | \$378 |
| Iowa | \$1,061 |
| Kansas | \$519 |
| Kentucky | \$909 |
| Louisiana | \$360 |
| Maine | \$1,023 |
| Maryland | \$590 |
| Massachusetts | |
| Exempt | \$723 |
| Nonexempt | \$708 |
| Michigan | \$774 |
| Minnesota | \$1,013 |
| Mississippi | \$458 |
| Missouri | \$558 |
| Montana | \$876 |
| Nebraska | \$732 |
| Nevada | \$1,120 |
| New Hampshire | \$781 |
| New Jersey | \$636 |
| New Mexico | \$1,061 ³ |
| New York | \$667 |
| North Carolina | \$750 |
| North Dakota | \$1,252 |
| Ohio | \$980 |
| Oklahoma | \$704 |
| Oregon | \$616 |
| Pennsylvania | \$677 |
| Rhode Island | \$1,278 |
| South Carolina | \$609 |
| South Dakota | \$693 |
| Tennessee | \$1,008 |
| Texas | \$401 |
| Utah | \$573 |
| Vermont | \$1,000 |
| Virginia | |
| VIEW | \$1,252 |
| All, except VIEW | \$380 |
| Washington | \$1,092 |

Table I.E.4 Maximum Income for Initial Eligibility for a Family of Three,¹ July 2002

| State | Maximum Earnings an Applicant Can Receive and Still Be Eligible for Assistance |
|---------------|--|
| West Virginia | \$753 |
| Wisconsin | --- ⁴ |
| Wyoming | \$540 |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: Initial eligibility is calculated assuming that the unit is employed at application, has only earned income, has no child care expenses, contains one adult and no children subject to a family cap, has no special needs, pays for shelter, and lives in the most populated area of the state.

All amounts are rounded up to the nearest dollar.

¹ The amounts in this table represent the maximum amount of earnings an applicant can have and still be “technically” eligible for assistance in each state. Technical eligibility does not mean that the unit will necessarily receive a cash benefit, but they will have passed all of the eligibility tests and are eligible for some positive amount. The amounts have been rounded to the nearest dollar, so in some cases the family may be able to earn slightly less than the amount in the table and in other cases it may be able to earn slightly more. Note that most states only distribute a cash benefit if it is over \$10.

² Applies to units that have received assistance for no more than two months in a lifetime. For units applying for their third and subsequent months of benefits, the eligibility threshold for a family of three is \$1,362.

³ For purposes of the state’s earned income disregard, the adult head is assumed to be working 40 hours a week.

⁴ Units with full-time employment (generally greater than 30 hours per week) will not receive a cash benefit in the state. There are provisions to provide prorated benefits to Community Service Jobs participants who are also employed part-time at an unsubsidized job. These eligibility determinations are made on a case-by-case basis. Recipients may earn up to \$1,440 and still be eligible for nonfinancial assistance, however.

Benefits

II. Benefits

The tables in this chapter of the *Databook* describe key aspects of the rules for calculating the assistance unit's benefit as of July 2002.

A. If a family passes all eligibility tests, what is received?

If a family passes all the eligibility tests, both nonfinancial and financial, a benefit is computed. Although states use many different formulas to determine benefits, there are general rules that most states apply. All but three states allow recipients to disregard a portion of their earned income before benefit computation and then use the unit's total net income to calculate the benefit.¹⁴ In the more straightforward calculations, net income is subtracted from a state-determined standard (often called the payment standard), which varies by family size, and the benefit paid is the difference—sometimes referred to as the income deficit. Some states have, however, developed more complex calculations. For instance, some states impose a statutory maximum benefit. In these states, the benefit is either the income deficit or the statutory maximum, whichever is less. Still other states multiply the income deficit by a percentage, which is sometimes referred to as the benefit reduction rate. This percentage of the income deficit is the benefit provided to the unit. Some states combine both a statutory maximum and benefit reduction rate into their calculation. The following section describes these policies in greater detail.

Earned income disregards for benefit computation: Table II.A.1 describes the earned income disregards allowed in determining net income for purposes of benefit computation. If a

¹⁴ Three states—Arkansas, Indiana, and Wisconsin—do not vary their benefits by net income or allow disregards. Instead, they provide a flat benefit to the assistance unit. This means that the unit receives a set amount every month no matter what their countable income is (as long as the income does not exceed the state's income eligibility thresholds).

state does not apply any earned income disregards to compute net income for benefit computation (so that all of a family's earnings are included as income for benefit computation purposes), "No disregards allowed" appears in the table.

Some states disregard a portion of the child care expenses paid by a family and/or allow special disregards for units subject to a family cap or time limit. Those types of disregards are not included in the table but are captured in the WRD.

In rare cases, states use different earned income disregards to determine the benefit of a unit in its first month of eligibility versus subsequent months. If that is the case, the body of the table describes the rules for the subsequent months of eligibility, and the rules for the first month are footnoted.

Related tables: Note that disregards for benefit computation and income eligibility may differ. For information on the earned income disregards used for income eligibility, see Table I.E.2. Table L4, in the last section of this book, describes the earned income disregards used for benefit computation purposes in years 1996 through 2002.

Benefit determination policies: Table II.A.2 describes the procedure by which states compute benefits for units that pass all applicable eligibility tests. In most cases, net income is subtracted from a payment standard, which typically varies by the size of the assistance unit. The table indicates the income standards used by states to determine the benefit. To determine the value of these standards for a family size of three, see Table II.A.3.

Related tables: Table II.A.3 provides the benefit standard(s) used to compute benefits for a three-person family. To compute the net income used for benefit computation, Table II.A.1 describes the earned income disregards allowed and Tables I.D.1, I.D.2, and IV.A.2 include policies on treatment of unearned income (amounts deemed from grandparent units and

stepparent units, and child support income). Table II.A.4 combines information from Tables II.A.2 and II.A.3 and presents the benefit paid to a three-person assistance unit with no net income. Table L5, in the last section of this book, provides those maximum benefits for the years 1996 through 2002.

Benefit standards: As described earlier, most benefit computation procedures involve the use of state-established income amounts that vary by the size of the assistance unit. The WRD includes the benefit standards used for each family size from 1 through 12. Table II.A.3 provides the standards for a three-person assistance unit only.

The table identifies the standard by the name used in the caseworker manual. Under the former AFDC program, the standards for benefit computation were the payment standard and, if the state included one, the maximum benefit. However, due to the complexity of state programs, identifying the payment standard and maximum benefit is no longer clear. States may include multiple standards in the benefit calculation, depending on the type or amount of income. Therefore, the terms payment standard and maximum benefit are not used in the table unless the state explicitly uses them to refer to their benefit computation standards.

Some types of details concerning benefit standards are not included in the table. In some states, different sets of dollar amounts are used in different regions of the state; in those cases, the table includes the amounts applied to the majority of the state's caseload. In other states, the amounts may be higher for families with certain types of "special needs," such as a pregnancy; the amounts in the table assume no special needs. Also, a few states vary standards for one-parent versus two-parent families; the table includes values for a one-parent family with two children. And some states prorate the eligibility and/or benefit standards depending on whether a unit pays for shelter; the amounts in the table assume the unit pays all shelter costs.

Related tables: Note that these standards, by themselves, are not necessarily comparable across states, since the benefit computation procedures might be quite different. To determine how the standards are used in practice, see Table II.A.2. Also, Table II.A.4 provides the benefit paid to a three-person unit with no other income, and Table L5 provides that information for the years 1996 through 2002.

Maximum monthly benefit for a family of three with no income: Table II.A.4 provides information on the maximum benefit in each state. The maximum benefit calculation combines the information on a state's benefit computation policies with the dollar amounts used for benefit computation to present the benefit paid to a three-person unit with no income. If a state computes benefits as a payment standard minus net income, then this figure will simply equal the payment standard. In other cases, this figure will equal a statutory maximum benefit that is less than the payment standard. And in still other cases, it will be a percentage of the payment standard.

The calculation assumes that the assistance unit includes one parent and two children, contains no children subject to a family cap, has no special needs, pays for all shelter costs with no subsidies, and is subject to the benefit standard that applies to the majority of the state's caseload.

Related tables: Table L5 provides the benefit paid to a three-person assistance unit with no net income for the years 1996 through 2002.

Table II.A.1 Earned Income Disregards for Benefit Computation, July 2002

| State | Earned Income Disregards |
|----------------|---|
| Alabama | 100% in first 3 consecutive months, 20% thereafter |
| Alaska | \$150 and 33% of remainder in first 12 months, \$150 and 25% of remainder in months 13-24, \$150 and 20% of remainder in months 25-36, \$150 and 15% of remainder in months 37-48, \$150, 10% of remainder in months 49-60, \$150 thereafter ¹ |
| Arizona | \$90 and 30% of remainder |
| Arkansas | No disregards- flat grant amount |
| California | \$225 dollars and 50% of remainder |
| Colorado | 66.7% in first 12 months, \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in next 4 months, \$120 in next 8 months, \$90 thereafter |
| Connecticut | 100% of the federal poverty level |
| Delaware | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 in next 8 months, \$90 thereafter |
| D.C. | \$160 and 66.7% of remainder |
| Florida | \$200 and 50% of remainder |
| Georgia | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 in next 8 months, \$90 thereafter |
| Hawaii | 20%, \$200, and 36% of remainder |
| Idaho | 40% |
| Illinois | 66.7% |
| Indiana | No disregards- flat grant amount |
| Iowa | 20% and 50% of remainder |
| Kansas | \$90 and 40% of remainder |
| Kentucky | 100% in first 2 months, ² \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in next 4 months, \$120 in next 8 months, \$90 thereafter |
| Louisiana | \$1,020 for 6 months, \$120 thereafter |
| Maine | \$108 and 50% of remainder |
| Maryland | 35% |
| Massachusetts | |
| Exempt | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder |
| Nonexempt | \$120 and 50% of remainder |
| Michigan | \$200 and 20% of remainder |
| Minnesota | 38% |
| Mississippi | 100% in first 6 months, \$90 thereafter ³ |
| Missouri | 66.7% and \$90 of remainder in first 12 months, \$90 thereafter ⁴ |
| Montana | \$200 and 25% of remainder |
| Nebraska | 20% |
| Nevada | 100% in first 3 months, 50% in months 4-12, \$90 or 20%(whichever is greater) thereafter |
| New Hampshire | 50% |
| New Jersey | 100% in first month, 50% thereafter ⁵ |
| New Mexico | All earnings in excess of 34 hours per week, \$125 and 50% of remainder in first 24 months, \$125 and 50% of remainder thereafter ⁶ |
| New York | \$90 and 50% of remainder |
| North Carolina | 100% in first 3 months of employment, ⁷ 27.5% thereafter |
| North Dakota | \$180 or 27% (whichever is greater) and 50% of remainder in first 6 months, \$180 or 27% (whichever is greater) and 35% of remainder in months 7-9, \$180 or 27% (whichever is greater) and 25% of remainder in months 10-13, and \$180 or 27% (whichever is greater) thereafter ⁸ |
| Ohio | \$250 and 50% of remainder |
| Oklahoma | \$120 and 50% of remainder |
| Oregon | 50% |
| Pennsylvania | 50% |
| Rhode Island | \$170 and 50% of remainder ⁹ |
| South Carolina | 50% in first 4 months, \$100 thereafter |
| South Dakota | \$90 and 20% of remainder |

Table II.A.1 Earned Income Disregards for Benefit Computation, July 2002

| State | Earned Income Disregards |
|---------------|---|
| Tennessee | \$150 |
| Texas | \$120 and 90% of remainder (up to \$1,400) for 4 out of 12 months, \$120 thereafter ¹⁰ |
| Utah | \$100 and 50% of remainder ¹¹ |
| Vermont | \$150 and 25% of remainder |
| Virginia | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter |
| Washington | 50% |
| West Virginia | 40% |
| Wisconsin | No disregards- flat grant amount |
| Wyoming | \$200 ¹² |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Notes: Only earned income disregards are described in the table. Child care disregards and other special disregards, such as deductions for units subject to a time limit or family cap, are not included.

The table describes the earned income disregards used to compute a recipient's benefit. If different disregards are used to compute an applicant's first-month benefit, they are footnoted.

When no duration is specified for the disregards, they remain for the entire period of receipt.

¹ This disregard is also applied to applicants who have received assistance in one of the previous four months.

² Recipients are eligible for the one-time 100 percent disregard if they become newly employed or report increased wages acquired after approval.

³ Recipients are eligible for the one-time 100 percent disregard if they find employment of 35 hours per week within the first 30 days following initial approval for TANF. If work is not found within 30 days, the recipient is ineligible to ever receive the disregard again. An additional 100 percent disregard is available to units for three months when the unit's case is subject to closure due to increased earnings and the individual is employed for at least 25 hours per week at the federal minimum wage or higher. The recipient may not have already received the six-month disregard, unless there has been at least a 12-month break in receipt of TANF benefits. The three-month disregard may be received more than once during the 60-month TANF benefit period, provided that there is a period of at least 12 consecutive months in which a family does not receive TANF benefits before the family reapplies for assistance. Two-parent units may disregard 100 percent of earnings for the first six months, \$120 and 33.3 percent of remainder in the next 12 months, and \$90 thereafter.

⁴ These disregards only apply to recipients who become employed while receiving TANF. Applicants and those recipients who gained employment before receiving TANF are allowed to disregard \$120 and 33.3 percent of remainder for first four months, \$120 next eight months, \$90 thereafter.

⁵ The 100 percent disregard is only applicable once every 12 months, even if employment is lost and then regained. Also, applicants are not eligible for the 100 percent disregard in the first month of benefit computation; they may disregard 50 percent of earnings only.

⁶ Two-parent units may disregard all earnings in excess of 35 hours a week for one parent and 24 hours a week for the other parent and \$225 and 50 percent in the first 24 months. Thereafter, they may disregard \$225 and 50 percent of the remainder. The disregard for earnings in excess of the participation requirement only applies to recipients for the first 24 months of benefit receipt, for both single- and two-parent units.

⁷ The 100 percent disregard is only available once in a lifetime and may be received only if the recipient is newly employed at a job that is expected to be permanent for more than 20 hours a week.

⁸ If a household member receives the 50 percent disregard for four consecutive months, the remaining months of the 12-month disregard cycle continue regardless of employment status. If a household member receives the 50 percent disregard for less than four months, the 12-month cycle begins again at 50 percent upon re-employment. If a household member receives the 50 percent disregard and voluntarily terminates employment without good cause, the remaining months of the 12-month cycle continue as if the individual was employed.

⁹ A \$90 disregard is applied to the earned income of a parent who has reached his or her lifetime time limit.

¹⁰ The four months need not be consecutive. Once the recipient has received four months of the 90 percent disregard, he or she is not eligible to receive the disregard again until the TANF case has been denied and remains denied for one full month, and 12 calendar months have passed since the denial. The 12-month ineligibility period begins with the first full month of denial after the client used the fourth month of the 90 percent disregard.

¹¹ To be eligible for the 50 percent disregards, the recipient must have received benefits in at least one of the previous four months.

¹² Married couples with a child in common may disregard \$400.

Table II.A.2 Benefit Determination Policies, July 2002

| State | Benefit Equals: |
|-----------------------|--|
| Alabama | Payment Standard minus net income |
| Alaska | Smaller of Need Standard minus net income times 78.07% or Maximum Benefit ¹ |
| Arizona | |
| All, except JOBSTART | Payment Benefit minus net income |
| JOBSTART | The cash value of the unit's food stamp and TANF benefit minus earnings after taxes ² |
| Arkansas | Maximum Payment Level or 50% of Maximum Payment Level (A flat grant amount) ³ |
| California | Maximum Aid Payment minus net income |
| Colorado | Need Standard minus net income times 84.75% |
| Connecticut | Payment Standard minus net income |
| Delaware | Smaller of Need Standard minus net income times 50% or Maximum Benefit |
| D.C. | Payment Level minus net income |
| Florida | Payment Standard minus net income |
| Georgia | Smaller of Standard of Need minus net income or Family Maximum |
| Hawaii | Standard of Assistance minus net income |
| Idaho | Smaller of Work Incentive Allowance minus net income or Maximum Benefit |
| Illinois | Payment Standard minus net income |
| Indiana | Maximum Payment (A flat grant amount) |
| Iowa | Payment Standard minus net income |
| Kansas | Budgetary Standards minus net income |
| Kentucky | Smaller of Standard of Need minus net income times 55% or Maximum Benefit |
| Louisiana | Flat Grant Amount minus net income |
| Maine | Smaller of Standard of Need minus net income or Maximum Benefit |
| Maryland | Allowable Payment minus net income |
| Massachusetts | Need Standard and Payment Standard minus net income |
| Michigan | Payment Standard minus net income |
| Minnesota | Smaller of Family Wage Level minus net income or Transitional Standard ⁴ |
| Mississippi | Smaller of Need Standard and Payment Standard minus net income times 60% or Maximum Benefit |
| Missouri | Payment Standard minus net income |
| Montana | Benefit Standard minus net income |
| Nebraska | Smaller of Standard of Need minus net income or Payment Maximum |
| Nevada | Payment Allowance minus net income |
| New Hampshire | Payment Standard minus net income |
| New Jersey | Maximum Benefit Payment Schedule minus net income |
| New Mexico | Need Standard minus net income |
| New York | Need Standard minus net income |
| North Carolina | Need Standard minus net income times 50% |
| North Dakota | Standard of Need minus net income |
| Ohio | Payment Standard minus net income |
| Oklahoma | Payment Standard minus net income |
| Oregon | |
| All, except JOBS Plus | Adjusted Income/Payment Standard minus net income; add the Cooperative Incentive Payment if in compliance ⁵ |
| JOBS Plus | The cash value of the unit's food stamp and TANF benefit minus a measure of net earnings ⁶ |
| Pennsylvania | Family Size Allowance minus net income |
| Rhode Island | Cash Assistance Monthly Standard minus net income |
| South Carolina | Smaller of Need Standard minus net income or Maximum Benefit |
| South Dakota | Payment Standard minus net income |
| Tennessee | Smaller of Consolidated Need Standard minus net income or Maximum Benefit |
| Texas | Maximum Grant minus net income |
| Utah | Maximum Financial Assistance Payment minus net income |
| Vermont | Payment Standard minus net income |

Table II.A.2 Benefit Determination Policies, July 2002

| State | Benefit Equals: |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Virginia | |
| VIEW | Smaller of the Federal Poverty Level minus net income or Payment Standard minus gross unearned income or Maximum Benefit ⁷ |
| All, except VIEW | Smaller of Payment Standard minus net income or Maximum Benefit |
| Washington | Payment Standard minus net income |
| West Virginia | Payment Standard minus net income ⁸ |
| Wisconsin | |
| W-2 Transition/Community Service Jobs | Benefit Amount (A flat grant amount) |
| Trial Jobs | Varies by hours worked ⁹ |
| Unsubsidized Employment | None ¹⁰ |
| Wyoming | Maximum Benefit minus net income |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: For information on the benefit standards see Table II.A.3.

¹ Two-parent units in which both parents are able to perform gainful activities will have their benefits reduced by 50 percent for the benefit months of July, August, and September.

² JOBSTART recipients receive wages from their subsidized employer. However, the state provides a supplemental payment for units whose adjusted gross income (earnings net of federal and state taxes, and FICA) is less than the cash value of the food stamp and TANF benefits they would have otherwise received. The supplemental payment is determined by subtracting the unit's adjusted gross income from the cash value of its Food Stamp and TANF benefit.

³ The benefit is equal to the Maximum Payment Level for the unit size if the unit's gross income is less than \$446. However, if the gross income is greater than \$446, the benefit will be reduced to 50 percent of the Maximum Payment Level. Arkansas refers to this policy as the Gross Income Trigger.

⁴ The calculation applies to recipients with earned income only. The calculation for recipients without earned income is Transitional Standard minus net income. The calculation for recipients with earned and unearned income is the following: if the Family Wage Level minus earned income is less than the Transitional Standard, the benefit equals the Family Wage Level minus total net income (earned and unearned income). If the Family Wage Level minus earned income is greater than the Transitional Standard, the benefit equals the Transitional Standard minus unearned income. Also, the MFIP payment standards include the state's food stamp (FS) allotment. MFIP recipients' cash and FS grants are computed with the same calculation. A flat amount (based on family size) for the FS allotment is subtracted from the benefit amount, and any remaining amount is provided to the unit in cash. In order to calculate the TANF grant amount without FS, subtract the Food Portion of the MFIP standard from the benefit.

⁵ If the benefit is positive and the unit is complying with all requirements, the Cooperative Incentive Payment is added to the benefit. (Most of the caseload receives the Incentive Payment). However, if the unit isn't complying with requirements, the unit only receives the difference between the Adjusted Income/Payment Standard and net income.

⁶ The benefit is equal to the maximum of (A-C or B-D), where A equals the full benefit equivalent, the sum of welfare and food stamp benefits, calculated using normal rules. B equals the minimum benefit equivalent, A minus the difference between Adjusted Income/Payment Standard for the unit including the JOBS Plus participant and Adjusted Income/Payment Standard for the unit not including the JOBS Plus participant. C equals the JOBS Plus participant's wage times his or her available hours (all scheduled hours, regardless of whether or not the participant worked those hours), minus \$90, \$50 pass-through, \$102 earned income credit refund, and any garnishment withheld. D equals the JOBS Plus participant's wage times hours actually worked, minus \$90, \$50 pass through, \$102 earned income credit refund, and any garnishment withheld.

⁷ The benefit for two-parent units equals the smaller of 150 percent of the Federal Poverty Level minus net income, or Payment Standard minus gross unearned income or Maximum Benefit.

⁸ Units in which a man and a woman are legally married to each other are eligible for a marriage incentive that increases the benefit by \$100.

⁹ Recipients in the Trial Jobs component participate in subsidized employment. These recipients do not receive benefits from the state. However, they do receive earnings from their employer. Employers are required to pay at least minimum wage for every hour worked. The employer receives a maximum subsidy of \$300 per employee per month.

¹⁰ Units in the Unsubsidized Employment component receive wages from an unsubsidized job and are not eligible for a cash benefit; however, they may still receive support services if they are otherwise eligible.

Table II.A.3 Benefit Standards, July 2002

| State | State Name | Payment Standard | | Statutory Maximum Benefit | |
|----------------------|--|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | | Amount for Family of Three | State Name | Amount for Family of Three |
| Alabama | Payment Standard | | \$164 | — | — |
| Alaska | Need Standard | | \$1,186 | Maximum Benefit | \$923 |
| Arizona | | | | | |
| All, except JOBSTART | Payment Benefit | | \$347 | — | — |
| JOBSTART | Payment Benefit and Food Stamps ¹ | | — | — | — |
| Arkansas | Maximum Payment Level | | \$204 | — | — |
| California | | | | | |
| Nonexempt | Maximum Aid Payment | | \$679 | — | — |
| Exempt | Maximum Aid Payment | | \$758 | — | — |
| Colorado | Need Standard | | \$421 | — | — |
| Connecticut | Payment Standard | | \$543 | — | — |
| Delaware | Need Standard | | \$915 | Maximum Benefit | \$338 |
| D.C. | Payment Level | | \$379 | — | — |
| Florida | Payment Standard | | \$303 | — | — |
| Georgia | Standard of Need | | \$424 | Family Maximum | \$280 |
| Hawaii | Standard of Assistance | | \$570 ² | — | — |
| Idaho | Work Incentive Payment | | \$389 | Maximum Benefit | \$309 |
| Illinois | Payment Standard | | \$396 | — | — |
| Indiana | Maximum Payment | | \$288 | — | — |
| Iowa | Payment Standard | | \$426 | — | — |
| Kansas | Budgetary Standards | | \$429 | — | — |
| Kentucky | Standard of Need | | \$526 | Maximum Benefit | \$262 |
| Louisiana | Flat Grant Amount | | \$240 | — | — |
| Maine | Standard of Need | | \$620 | Maximum Benefit | \$485 |
| Maryland | Allowable Payment | | \$472 | — | — |
| Massachusetts | | | | | |
| Exempt | Need Standard and Payment Standard | | \$633 | — | — |
| Nonexempt | Need Standard and Payment Standard | | \$618 | — | — |
| Michigan | Payment Standard | | \$459 | — | — |
| Minnesota | Transitional Standard | | \$831 (532) ³ | — | — |
| | Family Wage Level | | \$914 | — | — |
| | Food Portion of MFIP | | \$299 | — | — |
| Mississippi | Need Standard and Payment Standard | | \$368 | Maximum Benefit | \$170 |
| Missouri | Payment Standard | | \$292 | — | — |
| Montana | Benefit Standard | | \$507 | — | — |
| Nebraska | Standard of Need | | \$587 | Payment Maximum | \$364 |
| Nevada | Payment Allowance | | \$348 | — | — |
| New Hampshire | Payment Standard | | \$625 | — | — |
| New Jersey | Maximum Benefit Payment Schedule | | \$424 | — | — |
| New Mexico | Need Standard | | \$389 | — | — |
| New York | Need Standard | | \$577 | — | — |
| North Carolina | Need Standard | | \$544 | — | — |
| North Dakota | Standard of Need | | \$477 | — | — |
| Ohio | Payment Standard | | \$373 | — | — |

Table II.A.3 Benefit Standards, July 2002

| State | Payment Standard | | Statutory Maximum Benefit | |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| | State Name | Amount for Family of Three | State Name | Amount for Family of Three |
| Oklahoma | Payment Standard | \$292 | — | — |
| Oregon | | | | |
| All, except JOBS Plus | Adjusted Income/Payment Standard | \$460 | — | — |
| | Cooperative Incentive Payment ⁴ | \$43 | — | — |
| JOBS Plus | Adjusted Income/Payment Standard Food | — | — | — |
| Pennsylvania | Family Size Allowance | \$403 | — | — |
| Rhode Island | Cash Assistance Monthly Standard | \$554 | — | — |
| South Carolina | Need Standard | \$609 | Maximum Benefit | \$204 |
| South Dakota | Payment Standard | \$483 | — | — |
| Tennessee | | | | |
| Time-Limited Units | Consolidated Need Standard | \$859 | Maximum Benefit | \$185 |
| Time-Limited Exempt Units | Consolidated Need Standard | \$859 | Maximum Benefit | \$232 |
| Texas | Maximum Grant | \$208 | — | — |
| Utah | Maximum Financial Assistance Payment | \$474 | — | — |
| Vermont | Payment Standard | \$638 | — | — |
| Virginia | | | | |
| VIEW | Federal Poverty Level | \$1,252 | Maximum Benefit | \$320 |
| | Payment Standard | \$320 | — | — |
| All, except VIEW | Payment Standard | \$320 | Maximum Benefit | \$320 |
| Washington | Payment Standard | \$546 | — | — |
| West Virginia | Payment Standard | \$453 | — | — |
| Wisconsin | | | | |
| W-2 Transition | Benefit Amount | \$628 | — | — |
| Community Service Jobs | Benefit Amount | \$673 | — | — |
| Trial Jobs/Unsubsidized Employment | No Cash Benefit ⁵ | — | — | — |
| Wyoming | Maximum Benefit | \$340 | — | — |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Notes: This table provides information on the standards only. For information on how the standards are used, see Table II.A.2.

The amounts in the table are based on the following assumptions about the assistance unit: there is one adult and two children, the children are not subject to a family cap, the unit has no special needs, pays for shelter, and lives in the most populated area of the state.

¹ See the footnotes in Table II.A.2 for a description of the standard.

² Applies to units that have received assistance for at least two months in a lifetime. For units receiving their first and second months of benefits, the Standard of Assistance for a family of three is \$712.

³ Minnesota's Transitional Standard includes the food stamp allotment for each unit size. The food stamp and cash benefit are computed together for welfare recipients. The Food Stamp allotment is a flat benefit, based on family size, that is subtracted from the benefit amount. Any remaining benefit is given to the unit as cash. The value of the TANF benefit only is in parentheses.

⁴ If the unit is complying with all requirements, they receive the Cooperative Incentive Payment (most of the caseload does); however, if the unit isn't complying with requirements, they receive only the Adjusted Income/Payment Standard.

⁵ The benefits in these components are based on the wages earned by individual participants.

Table II.A.4 Maximum Monthly Benefit for a Family of Three with No Income, July 2002

| State | Maximum Benefit |
|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Alabama | \$164 |
| Alaska | \$923 |
| Arizona | \$347 |
| Arkansas | \$204 |
| California | |
| Nonexempt | \$679 |
| Exempt | \$758 |
| Colorado | \$356 |
| Connecticut | \$543 |
| Delaware | \$338 |
| D.C. | \$379 |
| Florida | \$303 |
| Georgia | \$280 |
| Hawaii | \$570 ¹ |
| Idaho | \$309 |
| Illinois | \$396 |
| Indiana | \$288 |
| Iowa | \$426 |
| Kansas | \$429 |
| Kentucky | \$262 |
| Louisiana | \$240 |
| Maine | \$485 |
| Maryland | \$472 |
| Massachusetts | |
| Exempt | \$633 |
| Nonexempt | \$618 |
| Michigan | \$459 |
| Minnesota | \$532 |
| Mississippi | \$170 |
| Missouri | \$292 |
| Montana | \$507 |
| Nebraska | \$364 |
| Nevada | \$348 |
| New Hampshire | \$625 |
| New Jersey | \$424 |
| New Mexico | \$389 |
| New York | \$577 |
| North Carolina | \$272 |
| North Dakota | \$477 |
| Ohio | \$373 |
| Oklahoma | \$292 |
| Oregon | \$503 |
| Pennsylvania | \$403 |
| Rhode Island | \$554 |
| South Carolina | \$204 |
| South Dakota | \$483 |
| Tennessee | |
| Time Limited Unit | \$185 |
| Time Limited Exempt Unit | \$232 |
| Texas | \$208 |
| Utah | \$474 |

Table II.A.4 Maximum Monthly Benefit for a Family of Three with No Income, July 2002

| State | Maximum Benefit |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| Vermont | \$638 |
| Virginia | \$320 |
| Washington | \$546 |
| West Virginia | \$453 |
| Wisconsin | |
| W-2 Transition | \$628 |
| Community Service Jobs | \$673 |
| Wyoming | \$340 |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: Maximum benefits are calculated assuming that the unit contains one adult and two children who are not subject to a family cap, has no special needs, pays for shelter, and lives in the most populated area of the state.

¹ Applies to units that have received assistance for at least two months in a lifetime. For units receiving their first and second months of benefits, the maximum benefit for a family of three is \$712.

Requirements

III. Requirements

The tables in this chapter of the *Databook* describe the requirements for the individual members of an assistance unit as of July 2002. Numerous requirements may be imposed on a family to become and/or remain eligible for TANF. In order to receive benefits, most states require recipients to negotiate and sign contracts that detail what is required of the individuals within the unit. These requirements vary considerably by state but can include requirements for dependent children, such as immunization and school attendance requirements, as well as requirements for the adult head of the household, such as work-related requirements.

The following two sections describe some of the requirements individuals within the unit must fulfill in order to become and remain eligible for assistance, including those related to behavior and work activities.

A. Once determined eligible, what must a recipient family do to maintain benefits?

Many types of behavioral requirements (requirements that attempt to influence or alter one's actions) may be imposed on individuals in the assistance unit. These requirements may affect adults and/or children in the unit and may include anything from requiring adult recipients to submit to drug testing to requiring dependent children to attain a minimum grade point average in school. Note that fulfilling behavioral requirements can be a condition of initial and/or continuing eligibility.

Behavioral requirements: Although behavioral requirements affect adults and minor parents in many states, this book only identifies requirements imposed on dependent children.

The typical requirements sometimes imposed on dependent children include school,

immunization, health screening, and other health requirements. The following describes these policies further:

- *School:*

School policies may require children to attend school or to achieve at least a minimal grade point average. Note that this book addresses only the school requirements imposed on dependent children, not those that may be imposed on minor parents (which are included in the WRD).

States may also offer a school bonus, which provides financial incentives for assistance units whose children meet specific attendance or achievement standards. Unless otherwise noted, the school bonuses apply to both dependent children and minor parents.

- *Immunization:*

These policies require parents to have their children immunized.

- *Health screening:*

Health screening requirements may include regular checkups for both children and adults, although the requirements usually only apply to children.

- *Other health requirements:*

Other types of health requirements primarily involve compliance with the rules of the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) program.

Table III.A.1 describes whether any of the requirements listed above are imposed on dependent children in the assistance unit for either initial and/or continuing eligibility.

Requirements are only included in this table if (1) they are either explicitly mentioned in the manual as a requirement for cash assistance or recipients must sign a contract including one of the requirements in order to receive benefits; and (2) a sanction results from noncompliance. The table also describes whether the state provides school bonuses. Note that the dollar amounts of bonuses, and the dollar amounts of sanctions for not complying with requirements, are not included in the table but are available in the WRD.

B. What work activities are required?

Under the TANF block grant, the federal government requires states to (1) meet the annual work participation rate determined by the federal government;¹⁵ and (2) ensure that every recipient is working (as defined by the state) as soon as the state determines they are able or after 24 months of benefit receipt, whichever is earlier. Therefore, states require most adult heads of an assistance unit to perform some type of work-related activity after a given period of time. The activities available and the timing of the requirement vary greatly by state. Who is required to participate can also vary considerably. States may, and most do, exempt (or excuse) groups of recipients from participating in activities based on some demographic or individual characteristic of the recipient. In cases where the recipient is not exempt but also not complying with activity requirements, the state may sanction the families by reducing or eliminating the unit's benefit. Below is a further discussion of these topics and the tables included in this section.

Work-related exemptions: States may, but are not required to, exempt certain types of individuals or groups from participating in work-related activities. Such an exemption does not, however, remove the individuals from the calculation of the state's federal work participation rate. The only category of recipient that may be removed from the denominator of the participation rate calculation is single parents of a child under 12 months of age; such parents may or may not be exempted from participation requirements.

Table III.B.1 describes each state's key rules for exempting the head of an assistance unit from work-related requirements. An individual may be exempt if he or she works a specified number of hours in an unsubsidized job, is ill or incapacitated, is caring for an ill or incapacitated

¹⁵ The work participation rate indicates what percentage of the state TANF caseload must be participating in work activities. The number of hours required to qualify for the rate and the percentage itself have both increased annually since 1996. According to ACF, as of 2002, 50 percent of the state's single parent caseload was required to participate a minimum of 30 hours a week. Two-parent families were required to participate at a rate of 90 percent for a minimum of 35 hours a week.

person, is elderly, is in a specified month of pregnancy, or is caring for a child under a specified age. These exemptions are the most common but are not an exhaustive list of work-related exemptions. For other types of exemption criteria, see the WRD.

Related tables: Table L6 provides the exemption for a parent caring for a young child for the years 1996 through 2002.

Work-related activities: Work programs vary widely from state to state based on several factors including whom, how much, and what is required. Table III.B.2 provides a very general overview of state activities requirements. The table describes when the recipient must begin participating, allowable activities the recipient could participate in, and how many hours the recipient must participate per week, including what share of those hours can be spent in education and training programs. Note that not all types of assistance units have the same work requirements. For simplicity, this table only includes the activities requirements for units headed by a single parent over age 20.

Users of this table should keep in mind that the caseworker manuals on which the WRD is based do not provide complete information about the ways that these work requirements are implemented. In particular, caseworker manuals do not generally indicate the likelihood that a recipient will be assigned to one particular activity or another. Thus, two states could have the same list of potential activities but have very different policies in terms of how often different types of activities are assigned. Alternatively, one state might include a potential activity that is not listed in another state but in practice rarely assign any recipients to that activity. Another complexity is that one state may explicitly say that a particular type of recipient (such as one without a high school diploma) will always be assigned to a certain activity (such as education and training), whereas another state might not include such a provision in the manual but

nevertheless very likely assign individuals without a diploma to educational activities. Despite these limitations, the table provides a starting point for understanding the range of work-related requirements across the states.

Work-related sanctions: If adults required to participate in activities do not comply with requirements, the state has the option to sanction the unit. States have discretion to define what constitutes noncompliance and what will result from the noncompliance. Typically, if a recipient does not participate in his or her assigned activities for the specified number of hours, he or she is not complying and could be sanctioned. A sanction generally results in the removal of the noncomplying individual from the unit for benefit computation purposes, a percent reduction in the entire unit's benefit, or a full benefit sanction.¹⁶ Often states increase the severity of the sanction based on the number of times and/or the amount of time the individual is noncompliant.

Table III.B.3 describes sanction policies for failing to comply with work requirements. The table provides both the initial sanction (for the first instance of noncompliance) and the most severe sanction (after multiple instances of noncompliance). For both the initial and most severe sanctions, the table describes the amount of the reduction in benefits and the duration of the sanction. When the sanction is described as "adult portion of the benefit," the state recomputes benefits using an assistance unit size that excludes the noncompliant adult. (If the adult has any income, some or all of it is deemed available to the children to prevent an increase in benefit.) The WRD includes more details on sanctions, including any sanctions that occur in between the initial and most severe sanctions.

Related tables: Table L7 describes the most severe sanction for the years 1996 through 2002.

¹⁶ The federal government requires that the minimum state sanction for noncompliance with work requirements be a pro rata reduction in benefits.

Table III.A.1 Behavioral Requirements, July 2002

| State | School Requirements ¹ | School Bonuses ² | Immunization Requirements ³ | Health Screening Requirements ⁴ | Other Health Requirements ⁵ |
|----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Alabama | No | No | No | No | No |
| Alaska | No | No | No | No | No |
| Arizona | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| Arkansas | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| California | Yes | Yes ⁶ | Yes | No | No |
| Colorado | Yes | Yes ⁷ | Yes | Yes | No |
| Connecticut | No | No | No | No | No |
| Delaware | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| D.C. | No | No | No | No | No |
| Florida | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| Georgia | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| Hawaii | No | No | No | No | No |
| Idaho | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| Illinois | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Indiana | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| Iowa | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Kansas | No | No | No | No | No |
| Kentucky | Yes | Yes | No | No | No |
| Louisiana | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| Maine | No | No | Yes | No | No |
| Maryland | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| Massachusetts | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| Michigan | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| Minnesota | No | No | No | No | No |
| Mississippi | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| Missouri | No | No | No | No | No |
| Montana | No | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| Nebraska | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Nevada | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| New Hampshire | No | No | No | No | No |
| New Jersey | Yes | No | Yes ⁸ | No | No |
| New Mexico | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| New York | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| North Carolina | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| North Dakota | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Ohio | No | Yes ⁷ | No | No | No |
| Oklahoma | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| Oregon | No | Yes ⁷ | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvania | No | No | No | No | No |
| Rhode Island | No | No | No | No | No |
| South Carolina | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| South Dakota | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |
| Tennessee | Yes | Yes ⁷ | Yes | Yes | No |
| Texas | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| Utah | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Vermont | No | Yes ⁷ | No | No | No |
| Virginia | Yes | No | Yes | No | No |

Table III.A.1 Behavioral Requirements, July 2002

| State | School Requirements ¹ | School Bonuses ² | Immunization Requirements ³ | Health Screening Requirements ⁴ | Other Health Requirements ⁵ |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Washington | No | No | No | No | No |
| West Virginia | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No |
| Wisconsin | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| Wyoming | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| States with Requirements: | 34 | 9 | 27 | 8 | 1 |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

¹ School requirements apply only to requirements for dependent children, not minor parents. A requirement is coded "Yes" only if the state explicitly mentions it as a requirement for cash assistance and/or as an item in a contract and a sanction results from noncompliance. These policies may require children to attend school, to achieve at least a minimal grade point average, and/or parents to be involved in their children's education in some way.

² This variable captures financial incentives for assistance units whose children meet specific attendance or achievement standards. Unless otherwise noted, school bonuses apply to both dependent children and minor parents.

³ Immunization requirements include information on standard immunizations for children. A requirement is coded "Yes" only if the state explicitly mentions it as a requirement for cash assistance and/or as an item in a contract and a sanction results from noncompliance.

⁴ Health screening requirements include information on regular checkups for both children and adults, although the requirements usually apply only to children. A requirement is coded "Yes" only if the state explicitly mentions it as a requirement for cash assistance and/or as an item in a contract and a sanction results from noncompliance.

⁵ Other health requirements include information on other health-related requirements such as early and periodic screening, diagnosis, and treatment (EPSDT). A requirement is coded "Yes" only if the state explicitly mentions it as a requirement for cash assistance and/or as an item in a contract and a sanction results from noncompliance.

⁶ School bonuses only apply to pregnant or parenting teens, ages 16 through 19, who maintain a "C" average in school or graduate from high school or its equivalent.

⁷ School bonuses only apply to teen parents, age 16 through 19.

⁸ New Jersey state law requires all dependent children to be immunized whether they receive TANF benefits or not. However, welfare recipients' benefits may be sanctioned if they do not immunize their dependent children. Recipients are required to sign an IRP contract to receive benefits; an immunization requirement may be included in the IRP. If the recipient fails to immunize his or her children, he or she breaches the contract and receives a financial sanction.

Table III.B.1 Work-Related Activity Exemptions for Single-Parent Head of Unit, July 2002 ¹

| State | Unit head exempt if: | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------------|--|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| | Working in unsubsidized job for (hours): | Ill or incapacitated: | Caring for an ill or incapacitated person: | Age (or older) (years): | In which month of pregnancy (or later): | Caring for child under age (months): |
| Alabama | No Exemption | Yes | Yes | No Exemption | 4 | 36 |
| Alaska | No Exemption | Yes | Yes ² | No Exemption | No Exemption | 12 ³ |
| Arizona | 40 ⁴ | No | No | No Exemption | No Exemption | No Exemption |
| Arkansas ⁵ | No Exemption | Yes | Yes | 60 | 7 | 3 |
| California ⁶ | No Exemption | Yes ⁷ | Yes | 60 ⁷ | No Exemption ⁸ | 12 ⁹ |
| Colorado ¹⁰ | No Exemption | No | No | No Exemption | No Exemption | 12 ¹¹ |
| Connecticut | No Exemption | Yes | Yes | 60 | No Exemption ¹² | 12 ¹³ |
| Delaware | No Exemption | Yes | Yes | No Exemption | No Exemption | 13 Weeks |
| D.C. | 30 ¹⁴ | Yes ¹⁵ | Yes | 60 | 6 | 12 |
| Florida | No Exemption | No | Yes ¹⁶ | No Exemption | No Exemption | 3 ¹⁷ |
| Georgia | No Exemption | No | No | No Exemption | No Exemption | 12 ¹⁸ |
| Hawaii | No Exemption | Yes ¹⁹ | Yes | 60 | No Exemption | 6 |
| Idaho | No Exemption | No | No | No Exemption | No Exemption | No Exemption |
| Illinois | No Exemption | No | Yes ²⁰ | 60 | No Exemption | 12 |
| Indiana | No Exemption | Yes | Yes | 60 | 4 | 3 |
| Iowa | No Exemption | No | No | No Exemption | No Exemption | No Exemption ²¹ |
| Kansas | No Exemption | No | Yes | 60 | No Exemption | 12 |
| Kentucky | No Exemption | Yes ²² | Yes ²² | 60 ²² | No Exemption | 12 ²³ |
| Louisiana | No Exemption | No | No | No Exemption | No Exemption | 12 ²³ |
| Maine | No Exemption | No | Yes ²⁴ | No Exemption | No Exemption | 12 ²³ |
| Maryland | 30 ²⁵ | Yes ²⁶ | Yes | No Exemption | No Exemption | 12 ²⁷ |
| Massachusetts | | | | | | |
| Exempt ²⁸ | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Nonexempt | 20 | No | No | No Exemption | No Exemption | No Exemption |
| Michigan | No Exemption | Yes | Yes ²⁹ | 60 | No Exemption | 3 |
| Minnesota | No Exemption | Yes | Yes | 60 | — ³⁰ | 12 |
| Mississippi | No Exemption | Yes | Yes | 60 | 7 | 12 ²³ |
| Missouri | No Exemption | Yes | Yes ²² | 60 | 7 ²² | 12 |
| Montana | No Exemption | No | No | No Exemption | No Exemption | No Exemption |
| Nebraska | | | | | | |
| Time Limited Assistance | No Exemption | Yes | Yes | 60 | 6 | 3 |
| Non-Time Limited-Assistance ²⁸ | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Nevada | No Exemption | Yes ³¹ | Yes | 60 | 1 ³² | 12 ²³ |
| New Hampshire | | | | | | |
| New Hampshire Employment Program | No Exemption | Yes ³³ | Yes | — ³⁴ | 4 ³⁵ | 24 ³⁶ |
| Family Assistance Program ²⁸ | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| New Jersey | No Exemption | Yes | Yes | 60 | 7 ³⁷ | 3 ³⁸ |
| New Mexico | No Exemption | Yes | Yes | 60 | 7 ³⁹ | 12 ²³ |
| New York | No Exemption | Yes | Yes | 60 | 9 | 12 ⁴⁰ |
| North Carolina | No Exemption | No | No | No Exemption | No Exemption | 12 ²³ |
| North Dakota | 30 | Yes | Yes | 60 | 4 | 4 |

Table III.B.1 Work-Related Activity Exemptions for Single-Parent Head of Unit, July 2002¹

| State | Unit head exempt if: | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| | Working in unsubsidized job for (hours): | Ill or incapacitated: | Caring for an ill or incapacitated person: | Age (or older) (years): | In which month of pregnancy (or later): | Caring for child under age (months): |
| Ohio | 30 | Yes | Yes | 60 | 3 | 12 |
| Oklahoma | No Exemption | No | No | No Exemption | No Exemption | 3 ²² |
| Oregon | No Exemption | No | No | 60 | 9 | 3 |
| Pennsylvania | No Exemption | Yes | Yes ²² | * ²² | * ²² | 12 ²³ |
| Rhode Island | No Exemption | Yes | Yes | 60 | 7 | 12 |
| South Carolina | No Exemption | Yes | Yes | No Exemption | 7 | 12 ⁴¹ |
| South Dakota | No Exemption | Yes ²² | Yes ²² | No Exemption | No Exemption | 3 |
| Tennessee | No Exemption | Yes | Yes ⁴² | 60 | No Exemption | 4 |
| Texas | 30 ⁴³ | Yes | Yes | 60 | 3 | 12 |
| Utah | No Exemption | No | No | No Exemption | No Exemption | No Exemption |
| Vermont | No Exemption | Yes | Yes | 60 | No Exemption | 24 ⁴⁴ |
| Virginia | | | | | | |
| VIEW | No Exemption | Yes ⁴⁵ | Yes | 60 | 4 | 18 ⁴⁶ |
| All except for VIEW ²⁸ | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Washington | No Exemption | Yes | Yes | 55 | No Exemption | 4 ²³ |
| West Virginia | No Exemption | Yes | Yes | 60 | 7 ⁴⁷ | 12 ⁴⁸ |
| Wisconsin | No Exemption | No | No ⁴⁹ | No Exemption | No Exemption | 3 |
| Wyoming | No Exemption | No | No | 65 | No Exemption | 3 ²³ |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

* Data not obtained.

¹ This table refers to single-parent unit heads over 21 years of age.

² If the incapacitated person is an adult, the caretaker is only exempt if he or she is related to the person and providing 24 hour care.

³ The caretaker loses this exemption after retaining it for 12 cumulative months. While the caretaker is exempt, he or she may be required to participate in job-readiness activities.

⁴ To be exempt, the job must pay at least the minimum wage and be expected to last at least 30 days.

⁵ The parent may only receive exemptions for a total of 12 months in his or her lifetime. He or she may use any combination of exemptions in which he or she is eligible to accumulate these 12 months.

⁶ Counties have the option to vary some activities exemptions. Statewide exemptions are noted; all other exemptions apply only to Los Angeles County.

⁷ Statewide exemption.

⁸ The woman is only exempt if the pregnancy is disabling and prohibits her from participating in work or training programs.

⁹ The recipient may only receive this exemption one time; however, he or she may also receive a limited exemption for a second or subsequent child under six months old.

¹⁰ Counties have the option to vary activities exemptions. These policies refer to Denver County.

¹¹ The exemption does not apply to recipients that have received benefits for 24 or more cumulative months.

¹² Although there is no exemption, a pregnant or postpartum recipient may be exempt if her physician indicates that she is unable to work.

¹³ The exemption only applies if the child under 12 months old is not subject to a family cap.

¹⁴ The hours apply to recipients with children age six or older. Recipients with children under six years of age are required to work 20 hours to be exempt.

¹⁵ Recipients are screened during assessment for physical and/or mental incapacities that interfere with their ability to participate in countable work activities. After a medical evaluation confirms incapacity, the client is moved from TANF to district-funded POWER. POWER requires limited work activities.

¹⁶ The exemption applies only if no alternative care is available.

¹⁷ Recipients may be required to attend classes or other activities.

¹⁸ The exemption is limited to once in the recipient's lifetime.

¹⁹ To be exempt, the recipient must have had the condition for at least 30 days.

²⁰ A client who is approved to provide full-time care due to the medical condition of a related child under age 18 (not a waiver child) or spouse living in the home is exempt.

- ²¹ If the participant has a newborn child, absence from activities is determined using the standards of the Family Leave Act of 1993. The maximum time available for one parent is 12 workweeks during any 12-month period and for two parents is the aggregate of 12 workweeks of leave for both parents.
- ²² The state does not consider these groups technically exempt; however, they may meet the state's criteria for good cause for non-compliance or deferral.
- ²³ The exemption is limited to 12 months in the recipient's lifetime.
- ²⁴ To be exempt, the head of household must be caring for a spouse who is receiving SSI.
- ²⁵ To be exempt, the job must pay minimum wage or higher.
- ²⁶ The exemption is limited to 12 months unless the individual has applied for SSI and the application is approved, pending, or in appeal.
- ²⁷ This is a one-time exemption for the first child only.
- ²⁸ Recipients who are in this component are automatically exempt from activities requirements. The criteria for inclusion in this component may include some exemptions listed in this table; see Appendix 1 for more information on the composition of the component.
- ²⁹ The caretaker is exempt only when caring for a child who is an SSI recipient, an SSI applicant, or suffers from a physical or mental impairment that meets federal SSI disability standards.
- ³⁰ Pregnant women are exempt if the pregnancy has resulted in a professionally certified disability that prevents the woman from obtaining or retaining employment.
- ³¹ The recipient is only exempt from job training requirements.
- ³² To be exempt, a physician must have determined that the recipient is unable to work, and then the woman is only exempt from job training requirements.
- ³³ If a recipient is permanently unable to work, he or she is placed in the FAP component. People who are temporarily ill or incapacitated are exempt from NHEP until their illness or incapacity improves or passes.
- ³⁴ Recipients age 60 and older are placed in the FAP component.
- ³⁵ Recipients who have received 39 or more months of assistance will not receive an exemption for pregnancy.
- ³⁶ Recipients who have received 39 or more months of assistance will not receive a child care exemption. Recipients that conceive a child while on assistance are exempt only until the child is 12 months old.
- ³⁷ Recipients in their fourth month of pregnancy may be exempt if a physician certifies a medical reason exists.
- ³⁸ The exemption may be extended if a physician certifies it is medically necessary for the parent or child.
- ³⁹ The exemption can be extended for up to six weeks beyond the end of the pregnancy.
- ⁴⁰ The exemption may last for no more than 12 months in a recipient's lifetime and no more than three months for any one child unless the social services official makes a determination to extend the exemption for up to the total 12 months.
- ⁴¹ The exemption does not apply to individuals under the age of 25 with no high school diploma or GED.
- ⁴² To qualify for the exemption, the caretaker must prove that he or she is needed in the home full-time to care for a related disabled child or adult relative who lives in the home.
- ⁴³ To be exempt, the recipient must be earning at least \$700 per month.
- ⁴⁴ If the recipient cares for a child less than 24 months of age, work requirements may be modified or deferred. A participant's work requirement cannot be deferred for this reason for more than 24 months during a lifetime. If the participant has exhausted the 24 months of deferment and has a child is under 13 weeks of age, then the caretaker is automatically exempt from all work requirements.
- ⁴⁵ To be exempt, the recipient must provide a physician's note certifying that he or she is incapacitated, the nature and scope of incapacity, and the abilities and limitations of the individual, as well as the duration of the incapacity. If the individual can participate in employment or training, but is limited in the types of activities that he or she may participate in, the individual must participate in work activities that are determined suitable. If a doctor determines that an individual is temporarily incapacitated, a caseworker must reevaluate the case after the prescribed duration of incapacitation or every 60 days, whichever comes first.
- ⁴⁶ Recipients caring for a child subject to a family cap are only exempt while the child is under six weeks of age.
- ⁴⁷ The exemption applies only to a woman giving birth to a second or subsequent child. She can be exempt for a total of six months for this child and may include the last trimester of pregnancy. Fathers cannot claim this exemption during pregnancy but can claim a six month exemption after the birth. For the first child, a recipient is only exempt due to pregnancy if there are complications with the pregnancy.
- ⁴⁸ The exemption applies only to the birth of a first child. The recipient is exempted for only six months after the birth of any additional child (the six months include any time the recipient chooses to be exempt during pregnancy).
- ⁴⁹ A recipient's care for an ill or incapacitated family member may be assigned as his or her participation requirement.

Table III.B.2 Work-Related Activity Requirements for Single-Parent Head of Unit, July 2002

| State | Timing of Requirement in Relation to Benefit Receipt | Allowable Activities Listed | Minimum Hour Requirement | Share of Hours Allowed for Education and Training |
|-----------------------|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Alabama | Immediately | All | 32 ¹ | 7 |
| Alaska | Immediately | All | 30 | — |
| Arizona | Immediately | Job-Related, E&T and CWEP | Case-by-Case Basis | — |
| Arkansas | Immediately | All | 30 | — |
| California | Immediately | All except Postsecondary Education ² | 32 | — |
| Colorado ³ | * | All ² | 22 | — |
| Connecticut | Immediately | All except Postsecondary Education | Case-by-Case Basis | — |
| Delaware | Immediately | Job-Related and CWEP ⁴ | Case-by-Case Basis ⁴ | — |
| D.C. | Immediately | All | 30 ¹ | 10 |
| Florida | Immediately | All | 30 ⁵ | 10 |
| Georgia | Immediately | All | 30 ⁶ | 10 |
| Hawaii | Immediately | All except Postsecondary Education | 32 | — |
| Idaho | Immediately | All except Postsecondary Education ⁷ | 30 | — |
| Illinois | After Assessment | All | 30 | — |
| Indiana | Immediately | All except Postsecondary Education | Case-by-Case Basis | — |
| Iowa | Immediately | All except Subsidized Employment | Full-Time Employment ⁸ | — |
| Kansas | Immediately | All | 30 | 10 |
| Kentucky | Immediately | All | 30 | 10 |
| Louisiana | Immediately | All | 30 ¹ | 10 |
| Maine | Immediately | All | 30 ¹ | 10 |
| Maryland | 24 Months | Job-Related and Employment | Depends on Activity | In Excess of 20 Hours |
| Massachusetts | 60 Days | All | 20 ⁹ | — |
| Michigan | Immediately | All | 40 ¹⁰ | 10 |
| Minnesota | Immediately | All | 30 ¹ | — |
| Mississippi | 24 Months | All | 30 ¹¹ | 5 |
| Missouri | 24 Months | All | 30 ¹ | — |
| Montana | Immediately | All | 30 | — |
| Nebraska | Immediately | All | 30 | — |
| Nevada | Immediately | All | 30 | 5 |
| New Hampshire | Immediately | All ² | 30 ¹ | — |
| New Jersey | Immediately | All | 35 | — |
| New Mexico | 3 Months after Approval | All | 34 ¹² | — |
| New York | 30 Days after Orientation | High School Not Complete: E&T | Full-Time as Defined by School | — |
| | 30 Days after Orientation | High School Complete: All | 30 ¹ | 5 |
| North Carolina | 12 Weeks | All | 30 ¹ | 10 |
| North Dakota | Immediately | All | Case-by-Case Basis | — |
| Ohio | Immediately | All | 20 | — |
| Oklahoma | Immediately | All | 30 | — |
| Oregon | Immediately | All except Unsubsidized Employment ² | Case-by-Case Basis | — |
| Pennsylvania | Immediately | All ² | 20 | — |
| Rhode Island | At Application | All ² | 30 ¹ | 30 ¹³ |
| South Carolina | Immediately | All ² | 30 ¹ | — |
| South Dakota | Immediately | All | 30 ¹ | 10 |
| Tennessee | Immediately | All except Subsidized Employment | 40 | 20 ¹⁴ |

Table III.B.2 Work-Related Activity Requirements for Single-Parent Head of Unit, July 2002

| State | Timing of Requirement in Relation to Benefit Receipt | Allowable Activities Listed | Minimum Hour Requirement | Share of Hours Allowed for Education and Training |
|----------------|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Texas | After Work Orientation | Job-Related, E&T, and CWEP | 30 | — |
| Utah | Immediately | All except Subsidized Employment | Case-by-Case Basis | — |
| Vermont | Immediately | All | 30 ¹ | — |
| Virginia | Immediately | All | 30 | — |
| Washington | Immediately | Job-Related and Employment | 30 ¹⁵ | — |
| West Virginia | 24 Months | All | 30 ¹ | 10 |
| Wisconsin | | | | |
| W-2 Transition | After Assessment | All | 40 | 12 |
| Unsubsidized | After Assessment | Job-Related and Employment | 40 | — |
| Trial Jobs | After Assessment | Subsidized Employment | 40 | — |
| Community | After Assessment | Job-Related and E&T | 40 | 10 |
| Wyoming | Immediately | All | 30 ¹⁶ | — |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Notes: The table contains the activity requirements for single-parent recipients 21 years of age or older

All possible activities include:

- (a) Job-related activities include one or more of the following: job skills training, job readiness activities, job development and placement, job search
- (b) Education and training (E&T) activities include one or more of the following: basic or remedial education, high school/GED, English as a second language, postsecondary education, on-the-job training
- (c) Employment activities include one or more of the following: unsubsidized job, work supplement/subsidized job, CWEP/AWEP, community service

* Data not obtained.

¹ The hours apply to recipients with children age six or older. Recipients with children under six years of age are required to work 20 hours.

² According to the state manuals, recipients move from one set of activities to another after a set period of time. Generally, they begin with job-related activities and end with employment; see the WRD for details.

³ Counties have the option to vary their activity requirements. These policies refer to Denver County.

⁴ The hours requirement is 10 hours per week of job search plus hours equal to the benefit amount divided by the minimum wage. If working 20 hours or more a week in an unsubsidized job, no additional work requirements apply. For recipients who began receiving assistance prior to January 1, 2000, education and training is also an allowable activity, and the minimum hours requirement is 20 hours.

⁵ On-the-job training and work supplementation require a full-time (32 to 40 hour) commitment.

⁶ When the agency determines it possible, the recipient must participate for a minimum of 40 hours a week.

⁷ Recipients with children under the age of 12 weeks are only required to participate in life skills training.

⁸ Participation must be either equivalent to the level of commitment required for full-time employment or must be deemed significant enough to move the recipient toward the level of full-time employment.

⁹ The hours apply to recipients with children age six or older. Recipients with children under age six generally have no work requirements.

¹⁰ The hours apply to recipients with children age six or older. Recipients with children under age six are required to work 20 hours. On-the-job training requires a minimum of 35 hours per week.

¹¹ The hours apply to recipients with children age six or older. Recipients with children under age six are required to work 20 hours. An individual must participate in educational programs (including vocational training) full-time as defined by the school, and in job search/job readiness (combined) for 40 hours a week.

¹² Recipients with children under age six may not be required to work more than 24 hours per week.

¹³ For the first 24 months of benefit receipt, recipients may spend all required activity hours in education and/or training. For parents with children under the age of six, this is 20 hours.

¹⁴ Recipients in postsecondary education and training programs (programs in which participants must have a GED or high school diploma to enroll) may attend education and training activities for up to 20 hours per week.

¹⁵ The state stressed that recipients are required to work 40 hours per week, but in cases where the recipient is unable to work the full 40 hours, caseworkers have the option to scale back the number of hours (not to go below 30).

¹⁶ The state stressed that recipients are required to work 40 hours per week, but in cases where the recipient is unable to work the full 40 hours, caseworkers have the option to scale back the number of hours (not to go below 30). The 30 hours apply to recipients with children over age six. Recipients with children under age six are required to work 20 hours.

Table III.B.3 Sanction Policies for Noncompliance with Work Requirements for Single-Parent Head of Unit, July 2002

| State | Initial Sanction | | Most Severe Sanction | |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (In Months) | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (In Months) |
| Alabama | 25% | 3 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 6 Months |
| Alaska | 40% | 4 Months ⁺ | Case Is Closed | Must Reapply |
| Arizona | 25% | 1 Month | Entire Benefit | 1 Month ⁺ |
| Arkansas | 25% | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks | Case Is Closed ¹ | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks |
| California | Adult Portion of Benefit | Until Compliance | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ |
| Colorado ² | 25% | 1 Month | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺ |
| Connecticut | 25% | 3 Months ⁺ | Case Is Closed | 3 Months and Must Reapply |
| Delaware | 33.3% | Until Compliance or 2 Months (Whichever Is Shorter) | Entire Benefit | Permanent |
| D.C. | Adult Portion of Benefit | Until Compliance | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ |
| Florida | Entire Benefit | 10 Days ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺³ |
| Georgia | 25% | Until Compliance up to 3 Months | Entire Benefit | Permanent |
| Hawaii | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺ |
| Idaho | Entire Benefit | 1 Month ⁺ | Entire Benefit | Permanent |
| Illinois | 50% ⁴ | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺ |
| Indiana | Adult Portion of Benefit | 2 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 36 Months ⁺ |
| Iowa | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁵ |
| Kansas | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | 2 Months ⁺ |
| Kentucky | Pro Rata Portion of Benefit ⁶ | Until Compliance ⁷ | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance |
| Louisiana | Adult Portion of Benefit | 3 Months | Case Is Closed | Until Compliance |
| Maine | Adult Portion of Benefit | Until Compliance | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ |
| Maryland | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | Until in Compliance for 30 Days |
| Massachusetts | | | | |
| Exempt ⁸ | — | — | — | — |
| Non-exempt | None ⁹ | None ⁹ | Entire Benefit | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks |
| Michigan | Entire Benefit | 1 Month | Entire Benefit | 1 Month |
| Minnesota | 10% | 1 Month ⁺ | Vendor Payment and 30% ¹⁰ | 1 Month ⁺ |
| Mississippi | Entire Benefit | 2 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | Permanent |
| Missouri | 25% | Until Compliance | 25% | 3 Months ⁺ |
| Montana | Adult Portion of Benefit ¹¹ | 1 Month | Case Is Closed ¹² | 1 Month |
| Nebraska | | | | |
| Time-Limited Assistance | Entire Benefit | 1 Month ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 12 Months or the Remainder of 48 Months, Whichever Is Shorter |
| Non-Time-Limited Assistance ⁸ | — | — | — | — |
| Nevada | 33.3% or Pro Rata Share, Whichever Is Greater ¹³ | 1 Month ⁺ | Entire Benefit | Permanent |

Table III.B.3 Sanction Policies for Noncompliance with Work Requirements for Single-Parent Head of Unit, July 2002

| State | Initial Sanction | | Most Severe Sanction | |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (In Months) | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (In Months) |
| New Hampshire | | | | |
| New Hampshire Employment Program | Adult Portion of Benefit | 1 Payment Period ⁺ | 66% of Adjusted Payment Standard ¹⁴ | 1 Payment Period ⁺ |
| Family Assistance Program ⁸ | — | — | — | — |
| New Jersey | Adult Portion of Benefit ¹⁵ | 1 Month ⁺ | Case Is Closed ¹⁶ | 3 Months |
| New Mexico | 25% | Until Compliance | Case Is Closed | 6 Months ⁺ |
| New York | Adult Portion of Benefit | Until Compliance | Pro Rata Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ |
| North Carolina | | | | |
| Work First Active | 25% | 3 Months | Entire Benefit | Permanent |
| Pre-Work First and Work First Preparatory ⁸ | — | — | — | — |
| North Dakota | Adult Portion of Benefit ¹⁷ | 1 Month ⁺ | Entire Benefit ¹⁸ | 3 Months ⁺ |
| Ohio | Adult Portion of Benefit | 1 Month ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ |
| Oklahoma | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance |
| Oregon | \$50 | Until Compliance or 2 Months (Whichever Is Shorter) | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance |
| Pennsylvania | Adult Portion of Benefit ¹⁹ | 30 Days ⁺ | Entire Benefit ¹⁹ | Permanent |
| Rhode Island | Adult Portion of Benefit | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks | 140% of Adult Portion of Benefit ²⁰ | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks |
| South Carolina | Entire Benefit | Until Reapplication and in Compliance for 1 Month | Case Is Closed | Must Reapply and Comply for 1 Month |
| South Dakota | None ⁹ | None ⁹ | Case Is Closed | 1 Month ⁺ and Must Reapply |
| Tennessee | Entire Benefit | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺ |
| Texas | Adult Portion of Benefit | 1 Month ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ |
| Utah | \$100 ²¹ | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit ²² | Until Compliance |
| Vermont | \$75 ²³ | Until Compliance | \$225 | Until Compliance |
| Virginia | | | | |
| VIEW | Entire Benefit | 1 Month ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ |
| All except VIEW ⁸ | — | — | — | — |
| Washington | Adult Portion of Benefit | Until Compliance up to 1 Month ²⁴ | Adult Portion of Benefit or 40%, Whichever Is Greater | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks ²⁴ |
| West Virginia | 33.3% | 3 Months | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ |
| Wisconsin | | | | |
| W-2 Transition and Community Service Jobs | Minimum Wage Times the Number of Hours of Nonparticipation | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | Permanent ²⁵ |
| Unsubsidized Employment Trial Jobs | — | — | — | — |
| Trial Jobs | Decrease In Wages ²⁶ | Until Compliance ²⁶ | Entire Earnings | Permanent ²⁵ |

Table III.B.3 Sanction Policies for Noncompliance with Work Requirements for Single-Parent Head of Unit, July 2002

| State | Initial Sanction | | Most Severe Sanction | |
|---------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (In Months) | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (In Months) |
| Wyoming | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: "Adult Portion of Benefit" describes the portion of the benefit the sanctioned individual would have received. Since the table only represents sanctions for single-parent adults, in all cases the sanctioned individual is an adult.

+ The unit is sanctioned for the specified number of months or until the sanctioned individual complies with the activity requirements, whichever is longer.

¹ For the seventh and subsequent months of noncompliance, the caseworker has discretion to either reduce the unit's benefits by 50 percent or close the case. If the case is closed, the unit may reapply for their full benefits, but the application will be pending until the unit has complied with requirements for two weeks.

² Counties have the option to determine the amount and duration of sanctions. These policies refer to Denver County.

³ Cash assistance may still be provided to the children in the unit who are under age 16; these benefits are issued to a protective payee.

⁴ If noncompliance continues after three months of reduced benefits, the entire unit is ineligible for benefits until compliance.

⁵ The sanction continues after six months until the sanctioned parent signs a family investment agreement and completes 20 hours of eligible education or work activities.

⁶ The pro rata portion of the benefit is equal to the total monthly benefit divided by the number of members in the unit.

⁷ At the discretion of a caseworker, the unit may be required to be in compliance for two weeks before receiving benefits.

⁸ Recipients in this component are not required to participate in work activities; therefore, they have no sanctions.

⁹ The initial sanction does not reduce benefits. Recipients are given a written warning detailing the consequences of subsequent failures to comply.

¹⁰ The shelter costs are paid directly to the vendor; any remaining benefit amount is reduced by 30 percent of the Transitional Standard. Vendor payments continue for six months after the month in which the parent complies.

¹¹ To end the sanction, all eligibility requirements must be met, including the renegotiation of the Family Independence Agreement. If a new FIA has not been negotiated within one month after the end of the sanction period, the case is closed for noncompliance. The unit may reapply for benefits after one month of ineligibility.

¹² If eligibility requirements are not met and a new FIA has not been negotiated within one month after the end of the sanction period, the case is closed and the unit must reapply for benefits. If requirements are met and a new FIA has been negotiated, the case remains open and only the adult portion of the benefit is removed for the duration of the sanction or until compliance.

¹³ During first month, the benefit is reduced by 1/3 or a pro rata share, whichever is greater. If the unit does not comply during the first month, the benefit is reduced by 2/3 or a pro rata share, whichever is greater for one month. If the unit does not comply within the month, the entire benefit is removed for three months or until compliance, whichever is longer.

¹⁴ The adjusted payment standard refers to the new benefit amount once the adult portion is removed.

¹⁵ If noncompliance continues after three months of reduced benefits, the case is closed and the unit must reapply for further assistance.

¹⁶ If noncompliance continues after three months, the case is closed and the unit must reapply for further assistance and satisfy an intent to comply before receiving subsequent benefits. If recipient is noncompliant for fewer than three months, only the adult portion of the benefit is removed.

¹⁷ If noncompliance continues after six months of reduced benefits, the entire unit is ineligible for benefits until compliance.

¹⁸ If the adult is noncompliant for less than four months, only the adult portion of the benefit is removed. If noncompliance continues after four months of reduced benefits, the entire unit is ineligible for benefits.

¹⁹ This applies to noncompliance that occurs after the first 24 months of assistance. For noncompliances that occur within the first 24 months of assistance, the needs of the sanctioned individual are permanently excluded for benefit calculation.

²⁰ If the individual is noncompliant for 1-6 months, 110 percent of the parent's benefits is reduced from the unit's benefit. For 7-12 months of noncompliance, 120 percent of the parent's benefits is reduced from the unit's benefit. Months 13-18, 130 percent reduction. Months 19-24, 140 percent reduction. Following 24 months of noncompliance, the reduction is decreased to 100 percent of the parent's benefit, but the entire remaining benefit must be made to a protective payee. The individual is sanctioned until her or she is in compliance for two weeks.

²¹ If noncompliance continues after two months of reduced benefits, the entire unit is ineligible for benefits until compliance.

²² The entire unit is ineligible if the adult is in noncompliance for two or more months. If the adult is noncompliant for less than two months, only \$100 of the benefit is removed.

²³ If the individual fails to comply by the fourth month, the \$75 sanction increases to \$150. However, if the individual has received assistance for more than 60 months and already has 12 or more cumulative months of sanctions, then the \$150 sanction amount increases to \$225. During the first six cumulative months that the unit is sanctioned, the amount of the sanction may be limited to protect the family's ability to pay its housing costs. This may be extended for another six months if the family had previously received assistance for 36 months without sanctions.

²⁴ The sanction remains in effect until the individual is compliant for two weeks; after two weeks of compliance, benefits are restored to their presanction level and the individual is paid retroactively for the two weeks of compliance.

²⁵ If a recipient refuses to participate in an activity for the third time, the unit is ineligible to receive benefits in that component for life. The unit may receive benefits again if they become eligible for a different component (see Appendix 1 for a description of components).

²⁶ If a recipient has an unplanned or unexcused absence, the Trial Jobs employer has the discretion to decrease the recipient's wages. If a Trial Jobs recipient completely refuses to participate in the Trial Jobs component, he or she receives two warnings from the TANF agency and then becomes ineligible for the Trial Jobs component for life.

Ongoing Eligibility

IV. Ongoing Eligibility

The tables in this chapter of the *Databook* describe key aspects of the rules that affect recipients' ongoing eligibility as of July 2002. After a family applies for assistance and passes all the eligibility tests, its members become recipients and a benefit is calculated. However, the recipient unit still faces eligibility requirements that affect its ability to continue receiving benefits. Most states impose income and asset tests on recipients, which generally differ from the initial eligibility tests for applicants. When the requirements differ, states typically allow recipients more generous eligibility thresholds.

Recipients' reproductive choices and the number of months they have received assistance may also affect eligibility and benefits. Some states impose family cap policies on recipients, which restrict benefits from increasing when a child is born to a family while on assistance. Almost all states now impose time limits, which reduce or eliminate benefits to recipients based on their accumulated total months of benefit receipt.

The following three sections describe the eligibility requirements that affect the ongoing eligibility of recipients.

A. What eligibility tests must recipient families pass for continuing eligibility?

Like applicants, recipients must pass both nonfinancial and financial tests to remain eligible for assistance each month. The nonfinancial rules generally do not vary for applicants and recipients; however, for some rules, such as two-parent eligibility, they may. Unlike nonfinancial rules, the financial rules often differ for applicants and recipients. The following provides more information on those eligibility rules that tend to differ for applicants and recipients, including two-parent hours tests, treatment of child support income, asset tests, and income eligibility tests.

Two-parent eligibility for recipients: For states providing benefits to two-parent families, Table IV.A.1 describes special eligibility rules imposed on two-parent recipients where neither parent is disabled (“UP,” or unemployed-parent families, in the former AFDC program).¹⁷ In addition to the standard eligibility tests that all recipient units must pass, some states also impose “hours tests” on two-parent units. Under an hours test, the unit is not eligible if the principal wage earner is working more than a specified number of hours per month. An hours test has the effect of denying eligibility to some two-parent units where a parent works a substantial number of hours but would nevertheless be financially eligible for assistance, due to a low-wage rate or a large family size. Note that states may apply this rule when determining the initial and/or continuing eligibility of two-parent families.

Related tables: See Table I.B.2 for details on the hours test for applicants and Table L2 for information on the rules for two-parent units in the years 1996 through 2002.

Treatment of child support income: Table IV.A.2 describes each state’s treatment of child support income for recipients. TANF recipients are required to assign their child support income to the state. The state then decides what portion, if any, of the child support collected is returned to the family as unearned income and how much of that income counts as income for eligibility and benefit computation purposes.¹⁸

The first column of the table displays the amount of collected child support that is transferred to the family as unearned income. The second column shows what portion of the amount in the first column is disregarded for eligibility and benefit computation purposes. Note

¹⁷ North Dakota no longer provides TANF benefits to two-parent, nondisabled units. Also note that in some states, benefits are provided to two-parent units under a “state-separate” program funded by state monies rather than the TANF grant. However, the table includes those states as providing benefits to two-parent families regardless of the funding source.

¹⁸ States are required to pay a share (equal to the state’s Medicaid match rate) of all child support collected on behalf of TANF recipients to the federal government. States may still provide all of the child support collected to the recipient; however, in that case, the state must use other funds to pay the federal share.

that the traditional \$50 “pass-through” that states allowed under AFDC would be represented in this table with a “\$50” coded in both the first and second columns; \$50 is transferred to the unit as unearned income and of that amount, all \$50 is disregarded for eligibility and benefit computation.

Asset limits for recipients: Table IV.A.3 describes each state’s asset tests for recipients. States determine the maximum amount of assets, including vehicles and restricted assets that a family may hold and still remain eligible for benefits.

The first column of this table provides the limit on the value of unrestricted assets a family may hold and still be eligible for assistance. Unrestricted assets include the cash value of any asset the state counts toward the limit, regardless of the asset’s purpose. Note that the limits may vary for determining the initial eligibility of applicants versus the continuing eligibility of recipients.

The second column describes whether some or all of the value of a vehicle(s) is excluded in determining the amount of a family’s assets for purposes of eligibility. When a portion of the vehicle’s value is exempted, that value may be given in terms of equity or fair market value. The fair market value is the amount for which the vehicle could be sold, while the equity value is the fair market value minus any amount still owed on the vehicle. When a family still owes money on a vehicle, the equity value will be less than the fair market value, so this distinction is important when comparing vehicle exemption amounts across states.

The last three columns of the table describe whether the state excludes a portion of assets deposited into savings accounts when earmarked for specific purposes and whether the state deposits additional matching funds into these accounts. For instance, a unit may be allowed to save money toward education or the purchase of a home without having that money count

toward their overall asset limit. Some, but not all, of the restricted accounts are federally-defined “Individual Development Accounts” (IDAs). In the table, those accounts that states specify as IDAs are distinguished from other restricted accounts.

Restricted accounts may or may not include a match, under which a third party, generally the state, contributes additional funds to the amount the family has saved. The match rate is typically defined by the state.

Related tables: See Tables L8 and L9 for information on asset rules in effect in 1996 through 2002. See Table I.C.1 for the asset tests applied at application.

Income eligibility tests for recipients: Table IV.A.4 describes states’ rules for the income eligibility tests that determine whether a recipient (whose income may have increased since initial eligibility) is eligible to continue receiving benefits. The table indicates which state income threshold is used for each test. To determine the value of the particular threshold for a family size of three, see Table I.E.3.

Note that this table describes the income tests that are imposed in addition to the implicit income test imposed by the benefit computation procedure. Even if a family passes all eligibility tests, it is possible in some states that the family will not qualify for a positive benefit under the state’s benefit computation formula. In those cases, the family will not receive a benefit. In some cases, states have streamlined their eligibility policies and do not perform any income tests other than the implicit test imposed by the benefit computation procedure. In these states, the table indicates “No Explicit Tests.”

Related tables: As mentioned above, Table I.E.3 provides the eligibility standard(s) used to determine eligibility for a three-person family. Tables I.D.1, I.D.2, and IV.A.2 describe policies concerning the deeming of income from grandparents and stepparents, and child

support income that may be used in determining gross income for purposes of income eligibility tests. Table I.E.2 describes the earned income disregards that may be used for net income tests.

In addition, the tables in sections I.B, I.D, I.E, and II are relevant to ongoing eligibility. In most states, recipients are also required to pass both nonfinancial and financial tests to continue receiving benefits.

B. Are children eligible if born while the family receives benefits?

Benefits to recipients who give birth to a child while receiving aid may or may not be affected by the addition of the child to the assistance unit. Under AFDC, when a child was born to a member of an assistance unit, the benefit increased to meet the needs of the new child; however, many states have changed this policy. Family cap policies, as most states refer to them, prevent or limit an increase in a family's benefit when an additional child is born. In these states, the benefit increase that an assistance unit would otherwise receive for adding another member to the unit will be limited. Some states provide a percentage of the increase to the unit, while others provide no additional funds to the unit for the addition of a child.

Family caps: Table IV.B.1 describes the states' family cap policies. The table first indicates whether the state imposes a family cap, and then provides the number of months following the case opening after which a newborn child is excluded from the assistance unit. The table also describes the impact on the benefit when an additional child is born (whether there is no increase in benefit, or some increase smaller than what would occur in the absence of a family cap). In some cases, the amount of cash paid directly to the family does not increase, but the increment that would have been paid in the absence of the policy is instead paid to a third party or provided in the form of a voucher. That information is noted in the table as "third-party

payment” or “voucher” and is explained further in the footnotes. States with the notation “disregard” increase the earned income disregards for families that have a capped child; again, more details are provided in the footnotes. The table also indicates how long a cap, once applied, endures. The table indicates “always capped” if a family is never able to regain benefits for a capped child, even after the case has been closed for a period of time. Otherwise, the table provides the number of months a family must remain off the rolls for the cap to be removed, that is, for the child to be included in the benefit computation should the family apply for assistance again. States conducting demonstration projects that subject units to a family cap in a few counties, but not statewide, are footnoted.

Related tables: Table L10 indicates the presence of family cap policies in the years 1996 through 2002.

C. How long can a family receive benefits?

Since the passage of PRWORA, almost all states have limited the number of months an assistance unit may receive benefits. The type and length of these limits vary from state to state. There are two basic types of limits that states impose on recipients: “lifetime time limits”, which eliminate part of or the entire benefit permanently and “other time limits”, which interrupt or limit benefits for a period of time but do not eliminate them permanently. Both types of limits may terminate benefits to either the entire unit or just the adults in the unit. In addition, some states only impose lifetime limits or other time limits; other states impose a combination of the two types of limits.

Not all assistance units are subject to time limits, however. States may continue to provide benefits to up to 20 percent of their caseload (referred to as the hardship exemption)

beyond the federal 60-month time limit. The types of individuals receiving these exemptions (“stops the time limit clock” for a month) or extensions (adds a month of assistance after reaching the time limit) are determined on a state-by-state basis and are eligible to receive federal TANF funds as long as the circumstances that caused their exemption or extension exists.

Lifetime time limit: Under TANF, the federal government imposed a maximum 60-month lifetime time limit on receipt of TANF funds. Therefore, after 60 months of receiving federally funded TANF benefits, either consecutively or nonconsecutively, an assistance unit is generally no longer eligible for federal cash assistance.¹⁹ Some states have adopted shorter lifetime limits, while others have chosen to fund recipients after the 60 months with state dollars. A few states have also chosen to only terminate benefits to the adults in the unit, in which case all children in the assistance unit remain eligible for benefits after the lifetime limit expires.

Table IV.C.1 describes state’s lifetime time limit policies. The first column indicates the total number of months in which the state allows benefits, while the second and third columns identify whose benefits are terminated.

Other time limits: States have developed several other time limits that interrupt or limit benefits. These limits are imposed instead of or in addition to the lifetime time limits, and include periodic limits and benefit waiting periods. Under a periodic limit, a unit (or the head of the unit) may receive benefits for only a specified number of months in a given period of time. For example, a state may impose a 12- out of 24-month periodic limit on the unit, in which the unit is eligible to receive only 12 months of benefits in any 24-month period. Under a benefit waiting period, an assistance unit (or the head of the unit) is ineligible for benefits for a specified

¹⁹ The TANF regulations indicate that the federal 60-month time limit does not count against “child-only” units (units that include no adults). However, a few states count any months against the 60-month limit in which units are child-only due to the ineligibility of their parents based on immigrant status or illegal activity.

number of months after the unit has received benefits for another specified number of months. To use the 12 and 24 example again, in this case, the unit may receive 12 months of assistance and is then ineligible for 24 months. This means that the unit may receive 12 months of benefits over any period of time but after they receive their 12th month of assistance, they will be ineligible for benefits for the next 24 months. Both the periodic limit and the benefit waiting period limit may apply to the entire unit or just the adult head of the unit.

Table IV.C.2 describes other state time limit policies.²⁰ The first column describes the type of other time limit that is imposed, while the second and third columns identify whose benefits are terminated.

Exemptions and extensions: Exemption and extension policies are important for understanding the time limits in the states. Exemptions and extensions could significantly increase the number of months, beyond the state and/or federal time limit, that an assistance unit may receive benefits and, depending on the criteria, a substantial portion of the caseload could be exempted or extended.

Tables IV.C.3 and IV.C.4 describe time limit exemption and extension policies, respectively. The exemption and extension policies for the lifetime limits and the other limits are displayed in the table. Note that if the policies do not apply to both types of limits, the policies for the lifetime limit will be displayed in the table and the policies for the other limit will be footnoted.

²⁰ The table includes only those time limits that affect the majority of units. For a description of time limits affecting other groups, see the WRD.

Table IV.A.1 Eligibility Rules for Two-Parent, Nondisabled Recipient Units, July 2002

| State | Limit on Hours Worked per Month |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Alabama | No Limit |
| Alaska | No Limit |
| Arizona | No Limit |
| Arkansas | No Limit |
| California | No Limit |
| Colorado | No Limit |
| Connecticut | No Limit |
| Delaware | No Limit |
| D.C. | 100 |
| Florida | No Limit |
| Georgia | No Limit |
| Hawaii | No Limit |
| Idaho | No Limit |
| Illinois | No Limit |
| Indiana | No Limit |
| Iowa | No Limit |
| Kansas | No Limit |
| Kentucky | No Limit |
| Louisiana | No Limit |
| Maine | 130 |
| Maryland | No Limit |
| Massachusetts | No Limit |
| Michigan | No Limit |
| Minnesota | No Limit |
| Mississippi | 100 |
| Missouri | No Limit |
| Montana | No Limit |
| Nebraska | No Limit |
| Nevada | No Limit |
| New Hampshire | 100 |
| New Jersey | No Limit |
| New Mexico | No Limit |
| New York | No Limit |
| North Carolina | No Limit |
| North Dakota ¹ | — |
| Ohio | No Limit |
| Oklahoma | No Limit |
| Oregon | No Limit |
| Pennsylvania | No Limit |
| Rhode Island | No Limit |
| South Carolina | No Limit |
| South Dakota | 100 |
| Tennessee | 100 |
| Texas | No Limit |
| Utah | No Limit |
| Vermont | No Limit |
| Virginia | No Limit |
| Washington | No Limit |
| West Virginia | No Limit |
| Wisconsin | No Limit |
| Wyoming | No Limit |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: In some states, benefits are provided to two-parent units under a state-funded program instead of through federal TANF. The table, however, includes the treatment of two-parent units regardless of the funding source.

¹ North Dakota does not provide benefits to two-parent, nondisabled units.

Table IV.A.2 Treatment of Child Support Income for Recipients, July 2002¹

| State | Amount of Collected Child Support Transferred to Family as Unearned Income | Amount of Transfer Disregarded for Eligibility and Benefit Computation Determination |
|----------------|--|--|
| Alabama | \$50 | 0 |
| Alaska | \$50 | \$50 |
| Arizona | — | — |
| Arkansas | — | — |
| California | \$50 ² | \$50 |
| Colorado | — | — |
| Connecticut | All | \$50 |
| Delaware | \$50 Plus Child Support Supplement ³ | \$50 ³ |
| D.C. | — | — |
| Florida | — | — |
| Georgia | Amount of Unmet Need ⁴ | All ⁴ |
| Hawaii | — | — |
| Idaho | — | — |
| Illinois | \$50 | \$50 |
| Indiana | — | — |
| Iowa | — | — |
| Kansas | — | — |
| Kentucky | — | — |
| Louisiana | — | — |
| Maine | \$50 plus Amount of Unmet Need ⁵ | \$50 ⁶ |
| Maryland | — | — |
| Massachusetts | \$50 ⁷ | \$50 ⁸ |
| Michigan | \$50 | \$50 |
| Minnesota | All | 0 |
| Mississippi | — | All |
| Missouri | — | — |
| Montana | — | — |
| Nebraska | — | — |
| Nevada | \$75 | \$75 |
| New Hampshire | — | — |
| New Jersey | \$50 | \$50 |
| New Mexico | \$50 | \$50 |
| New York | \$50 | \$50 |
| North Carolina | — | — |
| North Dakota | — | — |
| Ohio | — | — |
| Oklahoma | — | — |
| Oregon | — | — |
| Pennsylvania | \$50 | \$50 |
| Rhode Island | \$50 | \$50 |
| South Carolina | Gap Payment ⁹ | All |
| South Dakota | — | — |
| Tennessee | Amount of Unmet Need ⁴ | All ⁴ |
| Texas | Up to \$50 ¹⁰ | Up to \$50 ¹⁰ |
| Utah | — | — |
| Vermont | All | \$50 |
| Virginia | \$50 | \$50 |
| Washington | — | — |
| West Virginia | \$50 | \$50 ¹¹ |
| Wisconsin | All | All |
| Wyoming | — | — |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

¹ This table describes the treatment of child support that is given (“transferred”) to the family by the state regardless of how much child support the state has collected on behalf of the family. Though many states have created unique child support policies, some states still provide families with the traditional \$50 “pass-through” used under AFDC. The traditional pass-through is represented in this table with a “\$50” coded in both columns; \$50 is transferred to the unit as unearned income and of that amount, all \$50 is disregarded for eligibility and benefit computation.

² Any child support collected on behalf of a child subject to the family cap is treated as exempt income.

³ In addition to the \$50 pass-through payment, Delaware provides a supplemental child support payment. This payment is calculated by subtracting a recipient’s current disposable income from their disposable income as it would have been calculated in 1975.

⁴ The amount of child support collected or the amount of unmet need (also known as the gap payment), whichever is smaller, is transferred to the family as unearned income and disregarded for purposes of eligibility and benefit determination. The unmet need or gap is calculated by subtracting the maximum benefit a unit is able to receive from its Standard of Need; the unit’s net income is then subtracted from this amount. The amount left is the amount of unmet need for that unit.

⁵ In addition to the pass-through, the amount of unmet need (also known as the gap payment) is also transferred. The unmet need or gap is calculated by subtracting the unit’s Maximum Benefit (the maximum benefit a unit is able to receive) from their Standard of Need; the unit’s net income is then subtracted from this amount. The amount left is the amount of unmet need for that unit. After the pass-through, the state will transfer child support in the amount of unmet need for the family.

⁶ The \$50 pass-through is disregarded for eligibility and benefit computation. All other transferred support (e.g., gap payment and amount in excess of the monthly benefit) is counted for purposes of eligibility but disregarded for benefit computation.

⁷ All child support collected on behalf of a child subject to the family cap is transferred to the family.

⁸ For children subject to the family cap, the first \$90 of unearned income, including child support, is disregarded for purposes of eligibility and benefit computation; the rest is counted.

⁹ The gap payment is calculated by multiplying the current month’s child support collection by (1 minus the unit’s ratable reduction), or [child support*(1 - .363)].

¹⁰ The state will add to the TANF payment the least of (1) the court-ordered payment amount, (2) the amount the Office of the Attorney General received during that month, or (3) \$50. This additional money is considered an addition to the TANF benefit, not a pass-through of child support income. This money is disregarded for eligibility purposes.

¹¹ All child support payments (including the \$50 pass-through) are considered income when testing the unit’s gross income against 100 percent of need during application.

Table IV.A.3 Asset Limits for Recipients, July 2002

| State | Asset Limit | Vehicle Exemption | Restricted Asset Accounts | | Matching Rate |
|-------------|---------------------------------|--|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| | | | Amount | Description | |
| Alabama | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ | All Vehicles Owned by | — | — | — |
| Alaska | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ | One Vehicle per Household ² | — | — | — |
| Arizona | \$2,000 | One Vehicle per Household | \$9,000 | Educational or training costs, purchase of first home, business capitalization costs | None |
| Arkansas | \$3,000 | One Vehicle per Household | — | — | — |
| California | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ | \$4,650 ^E | \$5,000 | Postsecondary education, purchase of home, start a new business | None |
| Colorado | \$2,000 | \$4,500 ^{3F} | Amount Determined by County ⁴ | IDA accounts: Postsecondary education, purchase of home, start a new business | * |
| Connecticut | \$3,000 | \$9,500 ^{5E} | No Limit | Postsecondary education of a dependent child, IRAs, Keoghs, 401k plans | None |
| Delaware | \$1,000 | \$4,650 ^E | \$5,000 | Dependent care expenses, security deposit for a home, purchase or repair of a vehicle, educational expenses, business expenses or investments | None |
| D.C. | \$2,000/3,000 ⁶ | All Vehicles Owned by Household | — | — | — |
| Florida | \$2,000 | \$8,500 ^E | Varies ⁷ | IDA accounts: Postsecondary education, purchase of first home, business capitalization | Up to \$1,500 per year ⁸ |
| Georgia | \$1,000 | \$1,500/4,650 ^{9E} | \$5,000 | Postsecondary educational expenses, purchase of first home, business capitalization | * |
| Hawaii | \$5,000 | All Vehicles Owned by Household | * | Postsecondary education, purchase of first home, business capitalization | None |
| Idaho | \$2,000 | \$4,650 ^{10F} | — | — | — |
| Illinois | \$2,000/3,000/+50 ¹¹ | One Vehicle per Household | No Limit | Postsecondary education, purchase of home, start a new business, purchase a vehicle ¹² | 2 to 1 |
| Indiana | \$1,500 | \$5,000 ^E | — | — | — |
| Iowa | \$5,000 | \$4,115 ^E per Vehicle for Each Adult and Working Teenager | All Deposits and Interest | Postsecondary education, job training, purchase of home, home improvement, starting a small business, medical emergencies | 1 to 1 ¹³ |
| Kansas | \$2,000 | All Vehicles Owned by Household | * | Postsecondary education, purchase of first home, business capitalization | * |
| Kentucky | \$2,000 ¹⁴ | All Vehicles Owned by Household | \$5,000 | IDA accounts: Postsecondary education, purchase of home, start a new business | None |
| Louisiana | \$2,000 | All Vehicles Owned by Household ¹⁵ | \$6,000 | Postsecondary education or training expenses, or payments for work-related clothing, tools, or equipment | None |

Table IV.A.3 Asset Limits for Recipients, July 2002

| State | Asset Limit | Vehicle Exemption | Restricted Asset Accounts | | Matching Rate |
|------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| | | | Amount | Description | |
| Maine | \$2,000 | One Vehicle per Household | \$10,000 ¹⁶ | Family Development Accounts, educational expenses, purchase of home, repairs to vehicle or home, or for a business startup | 2 to 1 ¹⁷ |
| Maryland | \$2,000 | All Vehicles Owned by Household | No Limit | Education, purchase of home, start a new business | * |
| Massachusetts | \$2,500 | \$10,000 ^F /5,000 ^{18E} | — | — | — |
| Michigan | \$3,000 | All Vehicles Owned by Household | No Limit | IDA accounts: Post-secondary educational expenses, purchase of first home, or business capitalization. | * |
| Minnesota | \$5,000 | \$7,500 ^E | — | — | — |
| Mississippi | \$2,000 | One Vehicle per Household ¹⁹ | — | — | — |
| Missouri | \$5,000 | One Vehicle per Household ²⁰ | No Limit | IDA account | * |
| Montana | \$3,000 | One Vehicle per Household ²¹ | — | — | — |
| Nebraska | \$4,000/6,000 ²² | One Vehicle per Household ²³ | No Limit | Postsecondary education, purchase of home, start a new business | None |
| Nevada | \$2,000 | One Vehicle per Household | * ²⁴ | Postsecondary education, purchase of first home, or business capitalization | * |
| New Hampshire | \$2,000 | One Vehicle per Licensed Driver | \$2,000 | Postsecondary education, purchase of first home, start a new business | 3 to 1 |
| New Jersey | \$2,000 | \$9,500 ^{25F} | — | — | — |
| New Mexico | \$3,500 ²⁶ | One Vehicle per Household ²⁷ | No Limit ²⁸ | Postsecondary education for dependent child, purchase of first home, business capitalization | None |
| New York | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ | \$4,650 ^{29F} | No Limit | Postsecondary educational expenses, purchase of first home, business capitalization | None |
| North Carolina | \$3,000 | One Vehicle per Licensed Driver | — | — | — |
| North Dakota | \$3,000/6,000/+25 ³⁰ | One Vehicle per Household | — | — | — |
| Ohio | No Limit ³¹ | All Vehicles Owned by Household | \$10,000 | Postsecondary education, purchase of first home, establishment of a business | 2 to 1 |
| Oklahoma | \$1,000 | \$5,000 ^E | \$2,000 | Education, purchase of home, start a new business | 1 to 1 ³² |
| Oregon | | | | | |
| All, except JOBS | \$10,000 ³³ | \$10,000 ^E | — | — | — |
| JOBS/JOBS Plus | \$10,000 ³³ | \$10,000 ^E | No Limit | Education account | 1 to hr. worked ³⁴ |
| Pennsylvania | \$1,000 | One Vehicle per Household | No Limit | Postsecondary educational savings account, IDA Accounts | None |
| Rhode Island | \$1,000 | \$4,650 ^F /1,500 ^{35E} | — | — | — |

Table IV.A.3 Asset Limits for Recipients, July 2002

| State | Asset Limit | Vehicle Exemption | Restricted Asset Accounts | | Matching Rate |
|------------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|----------------------|
| | | | Amount | Description | |
| South Carolina | \$2,500 | One Vehicle per Licensed Driver ³⁶ | \$10,000 | IDA accounts, including lump-sum income deposited within 30 days of receipt | None |
| South Dakota | \$2,000 | One Vehicle per Household ³⁷ | — | — | — |
| Tennessee | \$2,000 | \$4,600 ^E | \$5,000 | IDA accounts and profits from a business enterprise in escrow in a Low Income Entrepreneurial Escrow | None |
| Texas | \$2,000/3,000 ⁶ | \$4,650/15,000 ^{38F} | No Limit | IDA accounts | 1 to 1 ³⁹ |
| Utah | \$2,000 | \$8,000 ^{40E} | — | — | — |
| Vermont | \$1,000 ⁴¹ | One Vehicle per Licensed Driver | No Limit | Education, purchase of home, start a new business | * |
| Virginia | | | | | |
| VIEW | \$1,000 | \$7,500 ^{42F/E} | \$2,000 | Education, purchase of home, start a new business | 2 to 1 |
| All, except VIEW | \$1,000 | \$1,500 ^E | \$2,000 | Education, purchase of home, start a new business | 2 to 1 |
| Washington | \$1,000 ⁴³ | \$5,000 ^{44E} | No Limit | Education, purchase of home, start a new business | * |
| West Virginia | \$2,000 | One Vehicle per Household | — | — | — |
| Wisconsin | \$2,500 | \$10,000 ^E | \$3,000 | IDA Accounts: Purchase of a home, start a business, post-secondary education ⁴⁵ | 2 to 1 |
| Wyoming | \$2,500 | \$12,000 ^{46F} | — | — | — |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

^E Equity value of the vehicle.

^F Fair market value of the vehicle.

* Data not obtained.

¹ Units including a member age 60 years and over may exempt \$3,000; all other units exempt \$2,000.

² Vehicles used as a home, to produce self-employment income, to transport a disabled family member, or to participate in an approved work activity are also exempt.

³ One vehicle per household is exempt if equipped for a handicapped person, used to obtain medical treatment, or used for employment.

⁴ Counties have the option to determine the amount of IDA accounts.

⁵ The unit may exempt \$9,500 of the equity value of a vehicle or the entire value of one vehicle used to transport a handicapped person.

⁶ Households including an elderly or disabled person may exempt \$3,000. All other units exempt \$2,000.

⁷ Amount depends upon the savings goal agreed upon by the participant and the Regional Work Board.

⁸ The match rate is determined on a case-by-case basis and cannot exceed \$1,500 per year.

⁹ If the vehicle is used to look for work or in travel to work or education and training, the unit may exclude \$4,650 of the value. If the vehicle is not used for these purposes, \$1,500 of the equity value will be excluded. If the vehicle is used more than 50 percent of the time to produce income or as a dwelling, it is totally excluded.

¹⁰ The value of one specially equipped vehicle used to transport a disabled family member is also exempt and vehicles with a fair market value under \$1,500 are exempt.

¹¹ The asset limit is based on unit size: one person receives \$2,000, two persons receive \$3,000, and three or more receive another \$50 for every additional person.

¹² Deposits must come from earned income and all deposits must be matched by state or local government or through contributions made by a nonprofit entity.

¹³ The state matches \$0.50 for every dollar of a recipient's assets. In addition, \$0.50 of federal funds are added to the recipient's IDA account.

¹⁴ Only liquid resources will be considered for eligibility determinations. Liquid resources include cash, checking and savings accounts, CDs, stocks and bonds, and money market accounts.

¹⁵ Recreational vehicles are not exempt.

¹⁶ Up to \$10,000 of nonrecurring lump-sum income may be disregarded if used within 30 days.

¹⁷ Community agencies will contribute matching funds up to \$2,000 a year.

¹⁸ The state compares the value of the vehicle to two standards: \$10,000 of the fair market value and \$5,000 of the equity value. If the value of the vehicle exceeds either limit, the excess counts towards the asset limit; however, if the value of the vehicle exceeds both limits, only the excess of the greater amount shall count towards the asset limit.

¹⁹ \$4,650 of the fair market value of the unit's second vehicle is exempt.

²⁰ \$1,500 of the equity of the unit's second vehicle is exempt.

²¹ All income-producing vehicles are also exempt.

²² The asset limit is based on unit size: one person receives \$4,000, two or more persons receive \$6,000.

²³ The entire vehicle is exempt only if used for employment, training, or medical transportation; any motor vehicle used as a home is also exempt.

²⁴ Individuals can only deposit earned income into the IDA; the amount of earned income will be considered an earned income disregard in determining eligibility and benefit amounts.

²⁵ Units with two adults may exempt up to \$4,650 of the fair market value of a second vehicle if it is essential for work, training, or transportation of a handicapped individual.

²⁶ The total limit is \$3,500; however, only \$1,500 of that amount can be in liquid resources and only \$2,000 can be in nonliquid resources. Liquid resources include the (convertible) cash value of life insurance policies, cash, stocks, bonds, negotiable notes, purchase contracts, and other similar assets. Nonliquid resources include a second vehicle, equipment, tools, livestock (with the exception of nonsalable domestic pets), one-time sale asset conversion, and lump sum payments.

²⁷ When public transportation is available, the value of one vehicle is exempt. When public transportation is not available, the value of one vehicle per participant involved in work activity is exempt.

²⁸ The state does not limit the amount of money a unit may save for postsecondary education or business capitalization; however, the unit may only save \$1,500 toward the purchase of a new home.

²⁹ If the vehicle is needed to seek or retain employment, \$9,300 of the vehicle is exempt.

³⁰ The asset limit is based on unit size: one person receives \$3,000, two people receive \$6,000, and an additional \$25 is allowed for each additional person thereafter.

³¹ Ohio has eliminated the asset test.

³² This applies to IDA account holders whose gross household income for the preceding calendar year was less than or equal to 100 percent of the federal poverty limit. For account holders whose income was between 100 and 150 percent of the federal poverty limit, the match rate is \$0.75 to \$1. For those with income between 150 and 200 percent of the federal poverty limit, the match rate is \$.50 to \$1.

³³ The limit is reduced to \$2,500 if the recipient does not cooperate with his or her case plan.

³⁴ The participant's employer contributes \$1 for every hour the participant works.

³⁵ A unit may exempt \$4,650 of the equity value of a vehicle. If after this exemption, the remaining amount of the value of the vehicle disqualifies the unit from assistance, \$1,500 of the equity value of the vehicle is exempted. The entire value of a vehicle to provide necessary transportation of a disabled family member may be exempted.

³⁶ Vehicles owned by or used to transport disabled individuals, vehicles essential to self-employment, income-producing vehicles, and vehicles used as a home are also exempt.

³⁷ In addition to one primary vehicle, an assistance unit may totally exclude a vehicle used to transport water or fuel to the home when it is not piped in, a vehicle used to transport a disabled member or SSI recipient in household, a vehicle used in producing income or as a home; an assistance unit may also exclude \$4,650 of the fair market value of a vehicle used to transport members of the unit for education or employment.

³⁸ \$4,650 is exempt for each vehicle owned by a TANF certified or disqualified household member and up to \$15,000 is exempt for one vehicle owned by a two-parent family. All licensed vehicles used for income-producing purposes are exempt.

³⁹ IDAs are generally matched dollar-for-dollar with funds from private citizens, corporations, banks, communities, or charitable organizations.

⁴⁰ The entire equity value of a vehicle used to transport a disabled household member is also exempt.

⁴¹ In addition to the \$1,000 asset limit, up to 100 percent of the unit's total gross earnings from the previous month, if placed in a savings account, will not count toward its asset limit.

⁴² If the fair market value of the vehicle is greater than \$7,500, any equity value greater than \$1,500 is counted toward the resource limit.

⁴³ \$3,000 in a savings account or certificate of deposit may also be excluded.

⁴⁴ The entire vehicle used to transport a disabled household member is also exempt.

⁴⁵ The information in the table refers to the WISCAP IDA program. There are two other IDA programs in Wisconsin for which data on limits, uses, and matching was unavailable.

⁴⁶ The \$12,000 exemption applies to one vehicle for a single-parent unit and to two vehicles for a married couple.

Table IV.A.4 Income Eligibility Tests for Recipients, July 2002

| State | Type of Test | Income Must be Less Than |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| Alabama | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Alaska | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard |
| Arizona | | |
| All, except JOBSTART | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| JOBSTART | Net Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| Arkansas | Net Income | 100% of Income Eligibility Standard |
| California | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Colorado | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard ¹ |
| Connecticut | Gross Earnings | 100% of the Federal Poverty Level |
| | Unearned Income | 100% of Need Standard and 100% of Payment Standard |
| Delaware | Gross Income | 185% of Standard of Need |
| | Net Income | 100% of Standard of Need |
| D.C. | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Florida | Gross Income | 185% of Federal Poverty Level |
| Georgia | Gross Income | 185% of Standard of Need |
| Hawaii | Gross Income | 185% of Standard of Need |
| | Net Income | 100% of Standard of Need |
| Idaho | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Illinois | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Indiana | Net Income | 100% of Federal Poverty Level |
| Iowa | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| Kansas | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Kentucky | Gross Income | 185% of Standard of Need ² |
| Louisiana | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Maine | Gross Income | 100% of Gross Income Test |
| Maryland | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Massachusetts | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard and Payment Standard |
| Michigan | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Minnesota | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Mississippi | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard and Payment Standard ² |
| Missouri | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| Montana | Gross Income | 185% of Benefit Standard |
| Nebraska | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Nevada | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard ² |
| New Hampshire | No Explicit Tests | — |
| New Jersey | No Explicit Tests | — |
| New Mexico | Gross Income | 85% of Federal Poverty Level |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard |
| New York | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard and 100% of 1996 Federal Poverty Level |
| North Carolina | No Explicit Tests | — |
| North Dakota | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Ohio | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Oklahoma | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| Oregon | | |
| All, except JOBS Plus | Gross Income | 100% of Countable Income Limit |
| JOBS Plus | Gross Income | 100% of Food Stamp Countable Income Limit |
| Pennsylvania | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Rhode Island | No Explicit Tests | — |
| South Carolina | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |

Table IV.A.4 Income Eligibility Tests for Recipients, July 2002

| State | Type of Test | Income Must be Less Than |
|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| South Dakota | No Explicit Tests | — |
| Tennessee | Gross Income | 185% of Consolidated Need Standard |
| Texas | Net Income | 100% of Recognizable Needs |
| Utah | Gross Income | 185% of Adjusted Standard Needs Budget |
| | Net Income | 100% of Adjusted Standard Needs Budget |
| Vermont | Gross Income | 185% of Need Standard |
| | Net Income | 100% of Need Standard |
| Virginia | | |
| VIEW ³ | Gross Earnings | 100% of Federal Poverty Level |
| | Unearned Income | 100% of Standard of Need |
| All, except VIEW | Gross Income | 185% of Standard of Need |
| Washington | Gross Earnings | 100% of Maximum Earned Income Limit |
| West Virginia | Gross Income | 100% of Standard of Need |
| Wisconsin | Gross Income | 115% of Federal Poverty Level |
| Wyoming | No Explicit Tests | — |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: "No Explicit Test" indicates that either the state imposes no income tests on recipients or the state does impose an income test, but the calculation of the test and disregards allowed for the test are not different from those used to calculate the benefit. See Table I.E.3 for information on eligibility standards.

¹ The gross income test does not apply to earnings for recipients receiving the 66.7 percent disregard.

² The gross income test does not apply to earnings for recipients receiving the 100 percent disregard.

³ For two-parent units to be eligible their gross earned income must be below 150 percent of the federal poverty level and unearned income must be below 100 percent of the Standard of Need.

Table IV.B.1 Family Cap Policies, July 2002

| State | Special Treatment of Additional Children | Special Treatment If Child Born More Than X Months after Case Opening | Increase in Cash Benefit for an Additional Child (and Special Provisions) | Special Treatment Discontinued If Case Closed X Months ¹ |
|----------------|--|---|---|---|
| Alabama | No | — | — | — |
| Alaska | No | — | — | — |
| Arizona | Yes | 10 | None (Disregard) ² | Always Capped |
| Arkansas | Yes | Any Month after Case Opening | None | 6 |
| California | Yes | 10 ³ | None ⁴ | 24 |
| Colorado | No | — | — | — |
| Connecticut | Yes | 10 | \$50 | Always Capped |
| Delaware | Yes ⁵ | 10 | None | Always Capped |
| D.C. | No | — | — | — |
| Florida | Yes | 10 | Half of Normal Increment for First Child Subject to Cap ⁶ | Always Capped |
| Georgia | Yes | 10 | Varies ⁷ | Always Capped |
| Hawaii | No | — | — | — |
| Idaho | No ⁸ | — | — | — |
| Illinois | Yes | 10 | None | 9 |
| Indiana | Yes | 10 | None | Always Capped |
| Iowa | No | — | — | — |
| Kansas | No | — | — | — |
| Kentucky | No | — | — | — |
| Louisiana | No | — | — | — |
| Maine | No | — | — | — |
| Maryland | Yes | 10 | None (Third-Party Payment) ⁹ | Always Third-Party Payment |
| Massachusetts | Yes | 10 | None (Disregard) ¹⁰ | Always Capped |
| Michigan | No | — | — | — |
| Minnesota | No | — | — | — |
| Mississippi | Yes | 10 | None | Always Capped |
| Missouri | No | — | — | — |
| Montana | No | — | — | — |
| Nebraska | Yes | 10 | None | 6 |
| Nevada | No | — | — | — |
| New Hampshire | No | — | — | — |
| New Jersey | Yes | 10 | None (Earner Exemption) ¹¹ | 12 ¹² |
| New Mexico | No | — | — | — |
| New York | No | — | — | — |
| North Carolina | Yes | 10 | None | Always Capped |
| North Dakota | Yes | 8 | None | 12 |
| Ohio | No | — | — | — |
| Oklahoma | Yes | 10 | None (Voucher) ¹³ | Always Capped |
| Oregon | No | — | — | — |
| Pennsylvania | No | — | — | — |
| Rhode Island | No | — | — | — |
| South Carolina | Yes | 10 | None (Voucher) ¹⁴ | Always Capped |
| South Dakota | No | — | — | — |
| Tennessee | Yes | 10 | None | 1 ¹⁵ |
| Texas | No | — | — | — |
| Utah | No | — | — | — |
| Vermont | No | — | — | — |
| Virginia | Yes | 10 | None | Always Capped |
| Washington | No | — | — | — |

Table IV.B.1 Family Cap Policies, July 2002

| State | Special Treatment of Additional Children | Special Treatment If Child Born More Than X Months after Case Opening | Increase in Cash Benefit for an Additional Child (and Special Provisions) | Special Treatment Discontinued If Case Closed X Months ¹ |
|---------------|--|---|---|---|
| West Virginia | No | — | — | — |
| Wisconsin | No ¹⁶ | — | — | — |
| Wyoming | Yes | 10 | No | Always Capped |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: Some units may be exempt from family cap policies. See WRD for more details on specific state family cap exemption policies.

¹ This column describes the number of months a unit must remain off of assistance in order to regain eligibility for a previously capped child. Some states permanently exclude capped children even if the unit cycles on and off assistance, whereas other states may include previously capped children in benefit and eligibility calculations if the unit has not received assistance for a specified period of time.

² Units subjected to the family cap receive an additional disregard equal to the lost benefit amount. This additional disregard is allowed for each month the member is excluded due to a cap.

³ The unit is exempt from the family cap if it leaves assistance for two months during the 10-month period leading up to the birth.

⁴ Any child support received for a child subject to the family cap is treated as exempt income.

⁵ In addition to the family cap policy, any child born after December 31, 1998 to an unmarried minor parent is ineligible for cash assistance, regardless of whether the minor was receiving aid at the time of the birth. If the minor received benefits within 10 months of the birth of the child, the child will always be capped. If the minor did not receive benefits within 10 months of the birth of the child, the child will be eligible for assistance once the minor turns 18. Units in which the child is not permanently capped, may receive non-cash assistance services in the form of vouchers upon request, but they will not be automatically given each month. Receipt is based on need.

⁶ The normal increment is the additional amount a unit receives for adding a person to the unit. For instance, a two-person unit that adds an additional child may receive another \$30 each month since they are now a three-person unit. There is no increase in cash benefits for the second child or subsequent children subject to the cap.

⁷ The additional child increases the standard of need but not the family maximum. If the family has no income, the cash benefit will not increase. However, if the family has income, the benefit may increase but cannot increase higher than the maximum payment for the family size excluding the capped child.

⁸ The state provides a flat maximum benefit, regardless of family size. However, the payment standard increases with family size, so the benefit for a unit with income may increase with an additional child, but never beyond the maximum benefit level.

⁹ The money that the unit would have received for the additional child will instead go to a third party (e.g., church, charitable organization, relative) to purchase necessary care requirements for the affected child.

¹⁰ Units subject to the family cap receive an additional disregard equal to the first \$90 of income received by or on behalf of a capped child in any month.

¹¹ Units in which at least one adult member of the unit is working (any number of hours) are not subject to the family cap.

¹² After case closure, if the recipient is employed for three months and loses the job by no fault of his or her own, the previously capped child is included in the unit. These units do not receive a new 10-month grace period for any subsequent pregnancies.

¹³ The unit will not receive cash for an additional child; however, the unit will receive a voucher for the amount they would have received during the first 36 months to pay for expenses associated with the child. Vouchers are similar to cash. The capped portion of the benefit is distributed every month, divided into two vouchers that can be used at any store to purchase things necessary for the capped child.

¹⁴ Benefits will be provided in the form of vouchers up to the amount of increase in cash benefits the unit would have received for the child.

¹⁵ The family cap remains in effect if the case was closed for non-cooperation with Families First policies, without good cause, or because of sanction. If the case was closed for non-cooperation, the family cap will remain in effect if the assistance group reapplies within three month of case closure. If the case was closed because of sanction, the family cap remains in effect whenever the assistance group reapplies.

¹⁶ The state provides a flat benefit, regardless of family size.

Table IV.C.1 State Lifetime Time Limit Policies, July 2002

| State | Lifetime Limit | Whose Benefits Are Terminated: | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| | | Entire Unit | Adult Only |
| Alabama | 60 Months | X | — |
| Alaska | 60 Months | X | — |
| Arizona | | | |
| All, except JOBSTART | — | — | — |
| JOBSTART | 6 Months ¹ | X | — |
| Arkansas | 24 Months | X | — |
| California | 60 Months ² | — | X |
| Colorado | 60 Months | X | — |
| Connecticut | 21 Months ³ | X | — |
| Delaware | 36 Months ⁴ | X | — |
| D.C. | — ⁵ | — | — |
| Florida | 48 Months | X | — |
| Georgia | 48 Months | X | — |
| Hawaii | 60 Months | X | — |
| Idaho | 24 Months | X | — |
| Illinois | 60 Months | X ⁶ | — |
| Indiana | 24 Months | — | X |
| Iowa | 60 Months ⁷ | X | — |
| Kansas | 60 Months | X | — |
| Kentucky | 60 Months | X | — |
| Louisiana | 60 Months | X | — |
| Maine | — ⁸ | — | — |
| Maryland | 60 Months | — | X |
| Massachusetts | — | — | — |
| Michigan | — | — | — |
| Minnesota | 60 Months | X | — |
| Mississippi | 60 Months | X | — |
| Missouri | 60 Months | X | — |
| Montana | 60 Months | X | — |
| Nebraska | 60 Months ⁹ | X | — |
| Nevada | 60 Months | X | — |
| New Hampshire | | | |
| Employment Program | 60 Months | X | — |
| Family Assistance Program | — | — | — |
| New Jersey | 60 Months | X | — |
| New Mexico | 60 Months | X | — |
| New York | — ¹⁰ | — | — |
| North Carolina | 60 Months ¹¹ | X | — |
| North Dakota | 60 Months | X | — |
| Ohio | 60 Months ¹² | X | — |
| Oklahoma | 60 Months | X | — |
| Oregon | — | — | — |
| Pennsylvania | 60 Months | X | — |
| Rhode Island | 60 Months | — | X |
| South Carolina | 60 Months | X | — |
| South Dakota | 60 Months | X | — |
| Tennessee | 60 Months | X | — |
| Texas | 60 Months | X | — |

Table IV.C.1 State Lifetime Time Limit Policies, July 2002

| State | Lifetime Limit | Whose Benefits Are Terminated: | |
|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| | | Entire Unit | Adult Only |
| Utah | 36 Months | X | — |
| Vermont | — | — | — |
| Virginia | | | |
| VIEW | 60 Months | X | — |
| All, except VIEW | — | — | — |
| Washington | 60 Months | X | — |
| West Virginia | 60 Months | X | — |
| Wisconsin | 60 Months ¹³ | X | — |
| Wyoming | 60 Months | X | — |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

¹ Recipients may only participate in JOBSTART for a total of six months. If they want to continue receiving assistance after that time, they are placed in the All, except JOBSTART component.

² California's TANF funding began December 1996; however, recipients' benefit months did not begin to count against the units' 60-month limit until January 1998. Using state funds, California will extend recipients' benefits beyond 60 months if the units received assistance between December 1996 and January 1998. The length of the extension is equal to the number of months the unit received benefits during this time period.

³ Recipients may apply for an extension to the time limit once their 21 months of assistance have expired. Recipients must reapply for extensions every six months. Recipients may only receive three extensions unless they meet specific criteria (see WRD for information on extension criteria). If a recipient is sanctioned during the extension period, he or she is ineligible to receive benefits again. Units may only receive a total of 60 months, including extensions.

⁴ The 36-month time limit applies to assistance units that apply for benefits on or after January 1, 2000. Units that have received benefits prior to this date are eligible for 48 months of assistance.

⁵ The District of Columbia uses local money to fund assistance units that have reached the 60-month lifetime time limit.

⁶ Children who do not live with a parent can still receive cash after their caretaker reaches the 60-month limit.

⁷ In addition to the 60-month lifetime limit, units must establish a time frame, with a specific ending date, during which the recipient expects to become self-sufficient (i.e., when income is above eligibility limits). Recipients may select an ending date by laying out plans for a training goal and calculating the time that will be needed to fulfill the activities needed to reach that goal, or select the desired ending date and choose activities that will lead to self-sufficiency by that date. The ending date should remain fixed; it should not be regularly revised or updated. However, the time frame can be extended if funding for activities or supportive services is not available. Recipients that fail to demonstrate satisfactory progress or choose not to develop a time frame plan will have their unit's benefits eliminated indefinitely until they sign a time frame plan. A recipient that fails again will have his or her unit's benefits eliminated for a minimum of six months and thereafter until the recipient signs a time frame plan and completes 20 hours of work or training activity.

⁸ Units who are in compliance with TANF program rules may continue to receive benefits beyond 60 months. If members of the unit have been sanctioned three or more times during their 60 months of assistance, the adult's needs are not considered for benefit computation for an amount of time equal to the length of the adult's last sanction period.

⁹ Due to the implementation of a state time limit under a waiver, Nebraska assumed that months in which an individual received assistance did not count toward the federal 60-month time limit. However, the state was notified by the federal government on August 1, 2002 that months of assistance do (did) count against the 60-month limit. Therefore, the state retroactively applied the 60-month time limit to all recipients as of the date TANF began or the first month of receipt under the waiver, whichever was later.

¹⁰ After 60 months, the unit is still eligible to receive non-cash assistance through the state's Safety Net Assistance program.

¹¹ In certain circumstances, a child may be able to continue receiving benefits after the 60-month time limit has expired. Since the time limit follows the adult, a child may enter a new household and become eligible in a new assistance unit.

¹² After receiving 36 months of assistance, the case is closed; however, it is possible to receive 24 additional months of benefits if the unit has not received benefits for at least 24 months and can demonstrate good cause for reapplying.

¹³ In addition to the total 60-month time limit, individuals are subject to a 24-month time limit in each of the following components: W-2 Transitions, Community Service Jobs (CSJ), and Trial Jobs.

Table IV.C.2 Other State Time Limit Policies, July 2002

| State | Time Limit | Whose Benefits Are Terminated: | |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------------------------|------------|
| | | Entire Unit | Adult Only |
| Alabama | — | — | — |
| Alaska | — | — | — |
| Arizona | | | |
| All, except JOBSTART | 24 Out of 60 Months ¹ | — | X |
| JOBSTART | — ² | — | — |
| Arkansas | — | — | — |
| California | — | — | — |
| Colorado | — | — | — |
| Connecticut | — | — | — |
| Delaware | — | — | — |
| D.C. | — | — | — |
| Florida | 24 Out of 60 Months or 36 Out of 72 Months ³ | X | — |
| Georgia | — | — | — |
| Hawaii | — | — | — |
| Idaho | — | — | — |
| Illinois | — | — | — |
| Indiana | — | — | — |
| Iowa | — | — | — |
| Kansas | — | — | — |
| Kentucky | — | — | — |
| Louisiana | 24 Out of 60 Months | X | — |
| Maine | — | — | — |
| Maryland | — | — | — |
| Massachusetts | | | |
| Exempt | — | — | — |
| Nonexempt | 24 Out of 60 Months | X | — |
| Michigan | — | — | — |
| Minnesota | — | — | — |
| Mississippi | — | — | — |
| Missouri | — | — | — |
| Montana | — | — | — |
| Nebraska | — | — | — |
| Time-Limited Assistance | 24 Out of 48 Months | X | — |
| Non-Time-Limited Assistance | — | — | — |
| Nevada | 24 Months; Followed by 12 Months of Ineligibility | X | — |
| New Hampshire | — | — | — |
| New Jersey | — | — | — |
| New Mexico | — | — | — |
| New York | — | — | — |
| North Carolina | 24 Months; Followed by 36 Months of Ineligibility | X | — |
| North Dakota | — | — | — |
| Ohio | 36 Months; Followed by 24 Months of Ineligibility | X | — |
| Oklahoma | — | — | — |
| Oregon | 24 Out of 86 Months | X | — |
| Pennsylvania | — | — | — |
| Rhode Island | — | — | — |
| South Carolina | 24 Out of 120 Months | X | — |
| South Dakota | — | — | — |

Table IV.C.2 Other State Time Limit Policies, July 2002

| State | Time Limit | Whose Benefits Are Terminated: | |
|------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------|
| | | Entire Unit | Adult Only |
| Tennessee | 18 Months; Followed by 3 Months of Ineligibility | X | — |
| Texas | 12, 24, or 36 Months; Followed by 60 Months of Ineligibility ⁴ | — | X |
| Utah | — ⁵ | — | — |
| Vermont | — | — | — |
| Virginia | | | |
| VIEW | 24 Months; Followed by 24 Months of Ineligibility ⁶ | X | — |
| All, except VIEW | — | — | — |
| Washington | — | — | — |
| West Virginia | — | — | — |
| Wisconsin | — | — | — |
| Wyoming | — | — | — |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

¹ If a recipient reaches the 24-month limit, he or she loses eligibility, but his or her children are still eligible for benefits. The full resources and income of the ineligible individual are counted when determining the benefit for the eligible members of the unit; however, the needs of the ineligible individual are not included. In addition, the unit gets another disregard equal to the benefit amount lost due to the removal of the ineligible member. Note the ineligible member may still receive support services such as medical assistance, child care, and JOBS services. Also, for two parent families a periodic time limit applies: six months of eligibility in any 12-month period.

² Two-parent families are only eligible for: six months of eligibility in any 12-month period.

³ The 24 out of 60 months limit applies to nonexempt recipients who have received less than 36 months of assistance during the previous 60 months and are (1) over age 24, or (2) under 24 with a high school diploma/GED. The 36 out of 72 months limit applies to nonexempt recipients that (1) have received benefits for 36 of the previous 60 months or (2) are under age 24, have not completed high school/GED, are not enrolled in a high school equivalency program, and have little or no work experience.

⁴ The 12-month limit applies to nonexempt recipients who (1) did not complete the 11th grade and have 18 months or more of recent work experience, or (2) have either a high school diploma/GED, certificate from post-secondary school, or certificate or degree from vocational/technical school, and any work experience. The 24-month limit applies to nonexempt recipients who (1) have not completed the 11th grade and have six through 17 months of recent work experience, or (2) have completed the 11th grade but not the 12th grade or have a GED, and have completed 17 or fewer months of work experience. The 36-month limit applies to nonexempt recipients who (1) have less than six months of recent work experience and (2) have not completed the 11th grade. Also, when determining the benefit for the remaining eligible individuals in the unit, the following procedure is used: Calculate the countable gross monthly earned income of the disqualified parent/caretaker. Subtract the standard \$120 work-related deduction from the earned income. Add the disqualified parent/caretaker's unearned income. Subtract the following: payments made to other dependents outside the home, amount paid in alimony and/or child support, and the Budgetary Needs Standard for the disqualified parent/caretaker plus the caretaker's dependents who live in the home but are excluded from the unit. The remaining income is deemed available to the unit.

⁵ Two-parent families in which the principle wage earner is unemployed are only eligible for seven months of assistance in a 13-month period.

⁶ After receiving 24 months of assistance, the unit may receive up to 12 months of transitional benefits. The 24 months of ineligibility begins with the month in which the case was closed or the month in which transitional benefits were terminated, whichever is later.

Table IV.C.3 State Time Limit Exemption Policies, July 2002

| State | Unit exempt for months in which the head was: | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|---|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | Working (min. wk hrs) or had earned income (min. dollars) | Cooperating but unable to find employment | Ill or incapacitated | Caring for an ill or incapacitated person | Caring for child under age (months) | Pregnant (month or later) | Minor parent | Age (or older) (years) | Victim of Domestic Violence | Other | |
| Alabama | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | — | |
| Alaska | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Receiving diversion payments | |
| Arizona | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All, except Jobstart | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | Receiving diversion payments Not required to participate in activities Receiving less than a \$10 benefit | |
| Jobstart | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | Receiving diversion payments | |
| Arkansas | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | 3 ¹ | 7 | No | 60 | Yes | Receiving diversion payments ² Receiving assistance in another state ³ Not receiving support services Not required to participate in activities | |
| California ⁴ | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes ⁵ | 60 | Yes | Receiving diversion payments ⁶ Sanctioned for noncompliance Months in which the full amount of assistance is reimbursed by child support | |
| Colorado | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | — | |
| Connecticut | No | No | Yes | Yes | 12 ⁷ | 1 ⁸ | Yes ⁹ | 60 | No | An unemployable adult ¹⁰ | |
| Delaware | 20 Hrs ¹¹ | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | Receiving diversion payments Unemployed due to high local unemployment ¹² Not job ready | |
| D.C. | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | Receiving diversion payments Participating in the POWER program ¹³ | |
| Florida ¹⁴ | No | No | No | Yes | 3 ¹⁵ | No | Yes | No | No | Receiving diversion payments Not job ready Unemployed due to high local unemployment Not receiving support services Receiving less than a \$10 benefit | |
| Georgia | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | — | |
| Hawaii | No | No | Yes ¹⁶ | Yes | 6 | No | No | 60 | Yes ¹⁷ | Receiving diversion payments Volunteering for VISTA | |

Table IV.C.3 State Time Limit Exemption Policies, July 2002

| State | Unit exempt for months in which the head was: | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|---|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| | Working (min. wk hrs) or had earned income (min. dollars) | Cooperating but unable to find employment | Ill or incapacitated | Caring for an ill or incapacitated person | Caring for child under age (months) | Pregnant (month or later) | Minor parent | Age (or older) (years) | Victim of Domestic Violence | Other |
| Idaho | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Sanctioned for noncompliance |
| Illinois | 30 Hrs ¹⁸ | No | No | Yes ¹⁹ | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | In a post-secondary education program ²⁰ Living with a disabled child in the home ²¹ Participating in ERA experimental groups ²² |
| Indiana | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | — |
| Iowa | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Receiving assistance in another state Receiving diversion payments |
| Kansas | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Receiving assistance in another state |
| Kentucky | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes ²³ | No | No | Receiving diversion payments |
| Louisiana | Any Earned Income ²⁴ | No | No ²⁵ | No | No | No ²⁶ | No | No | No | — ²⁷ Receiving diversion payments |
| Maine | No | No | No | No ²⁸ | No | No | No | No | No | Receiving diversion payments |
| Maryland | Any Earned Income ²⁹ | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | Receiving diversion payments |
| Massachusetts | | | | | | | | | | |
| Exempt ³⁰ | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Nonexempt | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | Sanctioned for noncompliance ³¹ |
| Michigan | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Minnesota | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes ³² | 60 ³³ | Yes ³⁴ | Choosing to opt out of receiving the cash portion of the grant or receiving only the food portion of the grant Receiving diversion payments |
| Mississippi | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | — |
| Missouri | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | 60 | No | Participating in a wage supplementation program |
| Montana | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes ³⁵ | No | No | — |

Table IV.C.3 State Time Limit Exemption Policies, July 2002

| State | Unit exempt for months in which the head was: | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | Working (min. wk hrs) or had earned income (min. dollars) | Cooperating but unable to find employment | Ill or incapacitated | Caring for an ill or incapacitated person | Caring for child under age (months) | Pregnant (month or later) | Minor parent | Age (or older) (years) | Victim of Domestic Violence | Other | |
| Nebraska | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Time Limited Assistance | No | No | No | No | 3 | No | Yes ³⁶ | No | Yes | Receiving assistance in another state Sanctioned for noncompliance | |
| Non-Time Limited Assistance ³⁰ | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Nevada | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Receiving diversion payments ³⁷ Sanctioned for noncompliance ³⁸ | |
| New Hampshire | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NH Employment Program | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | — | |
| Family Assistance Program ³⁰ | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| New Jersey | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | 60 | Yes | Receiving diversion payments Not job ready | |
| New Mexico | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Receiving diversion payments In the Educational Works Program (a post-secondary education program) ³⁹ | |
| New York | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | — | |
| North Carolina | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Work First Active | No | No | No ⁴⁰ | No ⁴¹ | No ⁴² | No | No | No ⁴³ | No ⁴¹ | Receiving diversion payments | |
| Work First Prepatory | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | — ⁴⁴ | |
| North Dakota | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | — | |
| Ohio | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Receiving diversion payments | |
| Oklahoma | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Receiving diversion payments | |
| Oregon | Any Earned Income ⁴⁵ | No | Yes | Yes ⁴⁶ | No | No | No | No | No | In the JOBS program, or failed to participate but with good cause Not required to participate in activities | |

Table IV.C.3 State Time Limit Exemption Policies, July 2002

| Unit exempt for months in which the head was: | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| State | Working (min. wk hrs) or had earned income (min. dollars) | Cooperating but unable to find employment | Ill or incapacitated | Caring for an ill or incapacitated person | Caring for child under age (months) | Pregnant (month or later) | Minor parent | Age (or older) (years) | Victim of Domestic Violence | Other | |
| Pennsylvania ⁴⁷ | 30 Hrs ⁴⁸ | Yes ⁴⁹ | Yes ⁵⁰ | No | No | No | No | No | Yes ⁵¹ | Receiving no cash benefit Sanctioned for noncompliance | |
| Rhode Island | 30 Hrs | No | Yes ⁵² | Yes | No | No | No | 60 | Yes | — | |
| South Carolina ¹⁴ | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | No | — | |
| South Dakota | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Receiving diversion payments | |
| Tennessee ⁵³ | No | No | Yes | Yes ⁵⁴ | 4 | No | Yes | 60 | Yes ⁵⁵ | Not job ready ⁵⁶ Not receiving support services Not required to participate in activities In drug treatment | |
| Texas | Earnings up to \$168 ⁵⁷ | No | Yes ⁵⁷ | Yes ⁵⁷ | No | No | No | No | No | Receiving diversion payments ³⁷ Unemployed due to high local unemployment ⁵⁸ | |
| Utah | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | — | |
| Vermont | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Virginia | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VIEW | No | No | No ⁴¹ | No ⁴¹ | No ⁵⁹ | No ⁶⁰ | No ⁶¹ | No ⁶² | No | Receiving diversion payments | |
| All except for VIEW ³⁰ | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Washington | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | Yes ⁶³ | Receiving diversion payments Suffering from a hardship Participating in the Community Jobs program and received no cash benefit | |
| West Virginia | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Receiving diversion payments ⁶⁴ | |
| Wisconsin | No | No | No | No | 3 | No | No | No | No | Receiving diversion payments | |
| Wyoming | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes ⁶⁵ | No | No | In a post-secondary education program ⁶⁶ | |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Notes: Exemption policies apply to months in which the state does not count a month of assistance toward the state’s time limit (or “stops the clock”). Also, note that these policies are potential exemptions and may not be granted to all that are eligible. In most states, caseworkers have discretion in applying the exemptions.

If a state has multiple time limits (for example a periodic limit and a lifetime limits) and applies the exemption policies differently to the time limits, the exemptions in the table apply to the lifetime limit and the exemptions for the periodic limit are footnoted.

The federal government requires that states disregard months during which the adult lived in Indian country or in an Alaskan Native village with an adult unemployment rate of at least 50 percent. Additionally, because time-limit calculations apply only to families that include adults (or minor heads-of-household), time limits do not apply to child-only units or to any month in which an adult received assistance as a dependent child.

¹ Three months applies to parents who have child care. If no child care is available, parents caring for children under 12 months of age are exempt.

² The diversion payment is considered a loan; therefore the recipient must pay back any amount borrowed. Any amount that is paid back will not count toward the time limit; however, if all or a portion of the amount has not been repaid, the months will count.

³ If the adult received more than 36 months of payments in another state, all payments received in the other state count toward the time limit. If the adult received less than 36 months of assistance, none of the payments count toward the time limit.

⁴ Counties have the option to vary their time limit exemption policies. These policies refer to Los Angeles County.

⁵ Minor parents are exempt in months in which they are eligible for, participating in, or exempt from the Cal-Learn program.

⁶ If the unit applies for monthly TANF benefits after the diversion period (diversion amount divided by monthly benefit) ends, the state counts one month toward the time limit. If the unit applies during the diversion period, it can choose to count the diversion payment toward the time limit or repay the diversion amount at a rate of 10 percent of the monthly benefit each month until the diversion is repaid.

⁷ The exemption does not apply to children that are subject to a family cap.

⁸ Pregnant or postpartum women are exempt if a physician certifies the woman’s inability to work. In addition, a woman whose pregnancy ended within six weeks is also exempt.

⁹ All minor parents are exempt (whether they head the unit or not), provided the minor parent is in high school or earning his or her GED.

¹⁰ To be “Unemployable,” an adult must: (1) be age 40 or older, (2) be unemployed, (3) be fully cooperating with program requirements, (4) have not completed grade 6, and (5) have not been employed over 6 months in the past five years.

¹¹ To be exempt, the family’s countable income must be below the need standard for the family size.

¹² High unemployment occurs when the state unemployment rate exceeds the national average by two percent or the state unemployment rate is 7.5 percent or higher.

¹³ Participants who have physical, mental health, substance abuse, learning disabilities or other such incapacities that make them unable to participate in work activities, are eligible for D.C.’s locally-funded POWER program. Recipients in POWER are exempt from time limits.

¹⁴ The exemptions apply to both the periodic time limit and the lifetime limit.

¹⁵ Three months applies to parents who have child care. If no child care is available, parents caring for children less than 6 years of age are exempt.

¹⁶ To be exempt, the individual must have been ill or incapacitated for at least 30 days.

¹⁷ To be exempt, the individual must be unemployed or employed less than 20 hours per week, have had a relationship to the perpetrator of the violence, and have taken action as a result of the domestic violence (such as getting a court order protecting the individual from the perpetrator, or living in a domestic violence shelter within the past 12 months). After the first six-month exemption period has ended, the individual may be allowed an additional six-month exemption if he or she has maintained active participation with a domestic violence agency and the agency recommends the additional period. The additional period will not be granted if the perpetrator resides in the same household as the victim.

¹⁸ The head of a one-parent unit must work 30 hours per week, while both parents of a two-parent unit must work a combined total of 35 hours per week to receive the exemption.

¹⁹ The ill/incapacitated/disabled person must be a related child under age 18 or a spouse.

²⁰ To be exempt, the caretaker relative must attend the post-secondary education program full-time and retain a cumulative GPA of at least 2.5.

²¹ To be exempt, the disabled child must be approved for a waiver under the Home and Community-Based Care Program.

²² An exemption is given for a month in which a unit head is participating in the Employment Retention & Advancement (ERA) project and is part of the experimental group participating in education and training-focused activities. These participants must spend at least 10 hours per week in education and training-focused activities and work at least 20 hours per week.

²³ To be exempt, the minor parent must live in an adult-supervised setting.

²⁴ Only those months in which the individual is eligible for the state’s \$900 earned income deduction are exempt (the deduction is allowed for up to six months). This exemption applies to the periodic time limit but not the lifetime limit.

²⁵ This group is exempt from the periodic time limit but not the lifetime limit.

²⁶ Women in their last three months of pregnancy and first month after the birth of the child are exempt from the periodic time limit but not the lifetime limit.

²⁷ Months in which the individual received assistance in another state and months in which he or she was sanctioned are exempt from the periodic time limit but not the lifetime limit.

²⁸ Families that received benefits based on incapacity because the second parent or caretaker relative was a SSI recipient are exempt.

- ²⁹ An applicant who passes the 20 percent income test is allowed a 35 percent income disregard as a recipient. Recipients eligible for the 35 percent disregard are exempt from federal and state time limits.
- ³⁰ Recipients in this component are exempt from time limits. The criteria for inclusion in this component may include some of the exemptions listed in this table; see Appendix 1 for more information on the composition of the component.
- ³¹ Only those months in which the entire unit is ineligible for a benefit are exempt.
- ³² To be exempt, minor parents must be living in a supervised setting and complying with a social service plan, or if they are 18 or 19 years of age, they must be complying with education requirements.
- ³³ If the elderly person has reached the 60 month lifetime limit before turning 60, he or she is not eligible for this exemption.
- ³⁴ To be exempt, the family must be complying with a safety plan.
- ³⁵ To be exempt, the minor parent must be complying with activities requirements.
- ³⁶ Any month that a minor parent, under age 19 spends in high school or actively working toward a GED is exempt.
- ³⁷ The exemption applies to both the benefit waiting period time limit and the lifetime limit.
- ³⁸ This exemption only applies to months in which sanctions reduce the amount of the benefit to \$0.
- ³⁹ Participation in the Education Works Program is limited to 24 months, whether consecutive or not.
- ⁴⁰ Months in which the individual is ill or incapacitated for at least 30 days are exempt from the benefit waiting period time limit but not the lifetime limit.
- ⁴¹ This group is exempt from the benefit waiting period time limit but not the lifetime limit.
- ⁴² Individuals caring for a child under 12 months of age are exempt from the benefit waiting period time limit but not the lifetime limit.
- ⁴³ Individuals 65 years old and older are exempt from the benefit waiting period time limit but not the lifetime limit.
- ⁴⁴ Months in which the family does not have access to support services are exempt from the benefit waiting period time limit but not the lifetime limit.
- ⁴⁵ Any month in which the family's countable earned income equals or exceeds 173 percent of the Oregon minimum wage counts as two-fifths of a month toward the time limit.
- ⁴⁶ The exemption is limited to three months in a two-year period.
- ⁴⁷ The exemptions for working recipients, cooperating but unemployed recipients, and ill or incapacitated recipients may be used on multiple occasions under multiple criteria, but the total time exempted cannot exceed 12 months.
- ⁴⁸ Two-parent families in which one or both parents are working 55 hours a week in paid employment also qualify. Additionally, a recipient may qualify if he or she is working at least 20 hours a week in paid employment and is also engaged in approved employment and training activities so that the total work plus education/training hours per week equals or exceeds 30 hours.
- ⁴⁹ An individual who has completed the required eight-week job search and, in the first 12 months of cash assistance, has begun a contracted or otherwise DPW-approved Employment and Training program for 30 hours a week or a full-time post-secondary educational activity may receive this exemption.
- ⁵⁰ A recipient who is exempt from work requirements due to a verified physical or mental disability may receive this exemption if he or she is receiving services that move him or her toward maximum workforce participation.
- ⁵¹ A victim of domestic violence is exempted for an initial six-month period. An additional six-month period may be granted if a Domestic Violence Counselor verifies the need.
- ⁵² To be exempt, a recipient must be unable to work more than 30 hours per week because of a documented physical or mental incapacity.
- ⁵³ Unless otherwise noted, these exemptions apply to both the benefit waiting period time limit and the lifetime limit.
- ⁵⁴ The relative or child must live in the home with the caretaker and require full-time care as determined by a physician or other health care professional.
- ⁵⁵ The exemption is only allowed once in a 12-month period, then a Family Services counselor must assess the situation before extending the exemption. If the unit is residing in a domestic violence shelter, the exemption lasts throughout their stay.
- ⁵⁶ An individual is defined as "not job-ready" when he or she functions at or below an 8.9 grade level and is participating in a basic or remedial education program.
- ⁵⁷ The exemption applies only to the benefit waiting period time limit.
- ⁵⁸ This exemption can continue as long as the recipient contacts an average of 40 employers per month (job search). This applies only to the benefit waiting period time limit.
- ⁵⁹ Individuals caring for a child under 18 months of age are exempt from the benefit waiting period time limit but not the lifetime limit.
- ⁶⁰ Women beyond their fourth month of pregnancy are exempt from the benefit waiting period time limit but not the lifetime limit.
- ⁶¹ Minor parents under the age of 19 who are heading their own unit are exempt from the benefit waiting period time limit (but not the lifetime limit) if they are enrolled in school.
- ⁶² Individuals 60 years of age and older are exempt from the benefit waiting period time limit but not the lifetime limit.
- ⁶³ This exemption is only available to adults who have received 52 countable months of assistance.
- ⁶⁴ For units who received diversion assistance prior to July 2000, three months are counted toward the lifetime limit.
- ⁶⁵ Up to one year of assistance will count against minor parents who received assistance before reaching their 18th birthday. All months beyond 12 will not count toward the lifetime limit.
- ⁶⁶ This applies to individuals who participate in the state's "State Adult Student Financial Aid (SASFA)" program, meet the attendance requirements of their educational institution, and receive a passing grade (no incompletes or "F"s") in all classes each semester.

Table IV.C.4 State Time Limit Extension Policies, July 2002

| Assistance extended to unit for months in which the head is: | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| State | Working (min. weekly hrs) or had earned income (min. dollars) | Cooperating but unable to find employment | Ill or incapacitated | Caring for an ill or incapacitated person | Caring for child under age (months) | Pregnant (month or later) | Age (or older) (years) | Victim of Domestic Violence | Other |
| Alabama | No | Yes ¹ | Yes ² | Yes | No | No | 60 | Yes | In drug treatment Unemployed due to high local unemployment ³ Unemployed due to significant personal barrier ⁴ |
| Alaska | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Suffering from a hardship ⁵ |
| Arizona | All, except Jobstart | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | In an education or training program ⁶ |
| Jobstart | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | — |
| Arkansas | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | 3 ⁷ | 7 | 60 | Yes | In an education or training program ⁸ Not receiving support services Not required to participate in activities Likely to neglect his/her children due to loss of benefit |
| California ⁹ | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | 60 | Yes | — |
| Colorado | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Unemployed due to high local unemployment Not receiving support services ¹⁰ Family instability ¹¹ Involved in the judicial system (or if any family member is involved) |
| Connecticut ¹² | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | — |
| Delaware | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Not receiving support services ¹³ |
| D.C. | 30 hrs | Yes | Yes | Yes | 12 | 6 | 60 | Yes | — |
| Florida ¹⁴ | Yes ¹⁵ | Yes | Yes | No | 3 ¹⁶ | No | No | Yes | Not job ready Not receiving support services Unemployed due to high local unemployment Likely to place children in foster care or emergency shelter due to loss of benefit |

Table IV.C.4 State Time Limit Extension Policies, July 2002

| Assistance extended to unit for months in which the head is: | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| State | Working (min. weekly hrs) or had earned income (min. dollars) | Cooperating but unable to find employment | Ill or incapacitated | Caring for an ill or incapacitated person | Caring for child under age (months) | Pregnant (month or later) | Age (or older) (years) | Victim of Domestic Violence | Other |
| Georgia | No | Yes | Yes ¹⁷ | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | In drug treatment Unemployed due to high local unemployment Not self-sufficient due to a natural disaster |
| Hawaii | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | — |
| Idaho | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | — |
| Illinois | No | No | Yes ¹⁸ | Yes | No | No | No | Yes ¹⁸ | In treatment program for barriers to work ¹⁹ In an approved education or training program ²⁰ Living with a disabled child in the home ²¹ Participating in social services, which prevents full-time employment ²² Not receiving support services |
| Indiana | 1 Month for Every 6 Months Worked | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | |
| Iowa | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | In drug treatment Not job ready Suffering from a hardship ²³ Not receiving support services |
| Kansas | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | 60 | Yes | Participating in family services which prevents full-time employment Financially eligible for benefits and cooperating with program requirements in the 60th month |

Table IV.C.4 State Time Limit Extension Policies, July 2002

| Assistance extended to unit for months in which the head is: | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| State | Working (min. weekly hrs) or had earned income (min. dollars) | Cooperating but unable to find employment | Ill or incapacitated | Caring for an ill or incapacitated person | Caring for child under age (months) | Pregnant (month or later) | Age (or older) (years) | Victim of Domestic Violence | Other |
| Kentucky | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | In a unit in which a member lost their job within 30 days of reaching the 60 month time limit Unemployed due to high local unemployment ²⁴ |
| Louisiana ¹⁴ | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Non-parent caretaker relative receiving benefits In drug treatment Not job ready Not receiving support services Experiencing a temporary family crisis ²⁵ Unemployed due to high local unemployment Unemployed through no fault of their own ²⁶ |
| Maine | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | — |
| Maryland | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | — |
| Massachusetts | | | | | | | | | |
| Exempt ²⁷ | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Nonexempt | 35 Hrs | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Participating in an approved education or training program |
| Michigan | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Minnesota | 30 Hrs ²⁸ | No | Yes ²⁹ | Yes | No | No | No | Yes ³⁰ | Choosing to opt out of receiving the cash portion of the grant or receiving only the food portion of the grant Not job ready Hard to employ due to mental illness, mental retardation, learning disabilities, or low IQ ³¹ Unemployed due to high local unemployment |

Table IV.C.4 State Time Limit Extension Policies, July 2002

| Assistance extended to unit for months in which the head is: | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| State | Working (min. weekly hrs) or had earned income (min. dollars) | Cooperating but unable to find employment | Ill or incapacitated | Caring for an ill or incapacitated person | Caring for child under age (months) | Pregnant (month or later) | Age (or older) (years) | Victim of Domestic Violence | Other |
| Mississippi | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | 2 | 7 | 60 | Yes ³² | In drug treatment Not receiving support services Unemployed due to high local unemployment |
| Missouri | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | In drug or mental health treatment Experiencing a family crisis ³³ Participating in children's services |
| Montana | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | — |
| Nebraska | | | | | | | | | |
| Time Limited Assistance | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Not earning sufficient income to avoid extreme hardship ³⁴ Unable to obtain a job that would raise income above the TANF benefit |
| Non-Time Limited-Assistance ²⁷ | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Nevada ³⁵ | No | No | Yes | Yes | 12 ³⁶ | No | 60 | Yes | In drug or mental health treatment ³⁷ |
| New Hampshire | | | | | | | | | |
| NH Employment Program | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Suffering from a hardship ³⁸ |
| Family Assistance Program ²⁷ | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| New Jersey | 40 Hrs | Yes | Yes | Yes | 12 | 1 | No | Yes | Suffering from a hardship ³⁹ Unemployed through no fault of their own Employed full-time but eligible for benefits due to earned income disregards |
| New Mexico | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | 60 | Yes ⁴⁰ | — |
| New York | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | In drug treatment Not job ready |

Table IV.C.4 State Time Limit Extension Policies, July 2002

| Assistance extended to unit for months in which the head is: | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| State | Working (min. weekly hrs) or had earned income (min. dollars) | Cooperating but unable to find employment | Ill or incapacitated | Caring for an ill or incapacitated person | Caring for child under age (months) | Pregnant (month or later) | Age (or older) (years) | Victim of Domestic Violence | Other |
| North Carolina | No | No ⁴¹ | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Suffering from a hardship ⁴² — ⁴³ |
| North Dakota | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | 65 | Yes | — |
| Ohio | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | Suffering from a hardship |
| Oklahoma | 30 Hrs | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | In drug treatment Underemployed for an extended period due to documented barriers Mentally ill and receiving treatment |
| Oregon | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | No | In a training or education program in the 60th month that could be completed within 12 months Pending SSI/SSA disability application A minor parent |
| Pennsylvania | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | — |
| Rhode Island | No | No | Yes ⁴⁴ | No | No | No | No | Yes | In drug treatment Not job ready In an educational activity and working 20 to 30 hours per week In an ESL or literacy skills program for 20 hours per week Homeless |
| South Carolina | 30 Hrs ⁴⁶ | No ⁴⁷ | Yes ¹⁴ | Yes ¹⁴ | No | No | No | Yes ⁴⁶ | Not receiving support services ⁴⁵ In drug treatment ⁴⁶ A minor parent ⁴⁸ — ⁴⁹ |
| South Dakota | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Unable to work due to low intellectual function Unable to work due to a family safety issue ⁵⁰ |
| Tennessee | No | Yes ⁵¹ | No | No | No | No | No | No | — ⁵² |
| Texas | Earnings up to \$168 ³⁵ | Yes ⁵³ | Yes ³⁵ | Yes ³⁵ | No | No | No | Yes ⁵⁴ | Unemployed due to high local unemployment ⁵⁵ Not receiving support services ⁵⁶ |

Table IV.C.4 State Time Limit Extension Policies, July 2002

| Assistance extended to unit for months in which the head is: | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| State | Working (min. weekly hrs) or had earned income (min. dollars) | Cooperating but unable to find employment | Ill or incapacitated | Caring for an ill or incapacitated person | Caring for child under age (months) | Pregnant (month or later) | Age (or older) (years) | Victim of Domestic Violence | Other | |
| Utah | 80 hours per month ⁵⁷ | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Suffering from a hardship A minor parent | |
| Vermont | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Virginia | | | | | | | | | | |
| VIEW | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | — ⁵⁸ | |
| All except for VIEW ²⁷ | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Washington | No | No | Yes | Yes | 4 | No | No | No | Not required to participate in activities Participating in the WorkFirst program | |
| West Virginia | No | Yes | Yes ⁵⁹ | Yes | 6 | 7 ⁶⁰ | No | Yes | Unemployed due to high local unemployment | |
| | | | | | | | | | In a training or education program in the 55th month that could be completed within 12 months | |
| Wisconsin | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Not receiving support services ⁶¹ Significant barriers to employment ⁶² Unemployed due to high local unemployment | |
| Wyoming | No | No | Yes ⁶³ | Yes ⁶³ | No | No | No | Yes | In a post-secondary education program ⁶⁴ | |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Notes: Extension policies apply to months in which the state provides additional benefits to families after they have reached their time limit. These policies are potential extensions and may not be granted to all that are eligible. In most states, caseworkers have discretion in applying the extensions.

If a state has multiple time limits (for example a periodic limit and a lifetime limit) and applies the extension policies differently to the time limits, the extensions in the table apply to the lifetime limit and the extensions for the periodic limit are footnoted.

The federal government requires that states disregard months during which the adult lived in Indian country or in an Alaskan Native village with an adult unemployment rate of at least 50 percent . Additionally, because time limit calculations apply only to families that include adults (or minor heads-of-household), time limits do not apply to child-only units or to any month in which an adult received assistance as a dependent child.

¹ To receive an extension, the individual must have received at least 12 of the last 24 months of assistance under a basic hardship, be compliant with JOBS, have not been disqualified for noncompliance, and be certified as not currently employable by a JOBS caseworker.

² To receive the extension, the individual must have a temporary physical or mental incapacity that must be reevaluated every 30 days, or a physical or mental incapacity as determined by a physician or licensed mental health practitioner.

³ The extension applies to individuals who live in a Food Stamp ABAWD waiver county, are compliant with JOBS, are not currently employed, and have not been disqualified from receiving assistance due to noncompliance.

⁴ To receive the extension, the individual must be engaged in activities to overcome his or her personal barrier(s). Examples of barriers may include, but are not limited to the inability to speak English, needing extensive dental work, or having a learning disability, such as Attention Deficit Disorder.

⁵ Benefits are extended if the family experiences circumstances outside of its control that prevent the caretaker from participating in work activities or becoming self-sufficient, and the loss of benefits would result in conditions that threaten the health and safety of the family.

⁶ Education and training programs include: vocational training, technical training, JOBS programs, and postsecondary education. The extension is limited to eight months and the individual must reapply for the extension every four months.

⁷ Three months applies to parents who have child care. If no child care is available, parents caring for children under 12 months of age receive the extension.

⁸ The individual must be within six months of completing his or her education or training program.

⁹ Counties have the option to vary their time limit exemption policies. These policies refer to Los Angeles County.

¹⁰ The extension is provided to families that have inadequate or unavailable transportation, child care, or housing.

¹¹ Family instability may be caused by a caretaker's inability to maintain stable employment or his or her inability to care for the children in his or her own home or in the home of a relative.

¹² Extensions are only granted if the total family income is below the state's payment standard. Units must also make a good faith effort to comply with employment services.

¹³ Support services include, but are not limited to, domestic violence counseling, substance abuse treatment, family planning, and employment training.

¹⁴ The extensions apply to both the periodic time limit and the lifetime limit.

¹⁵ This extension can be used a maximum of 12 months.

¹⁶ Three months applies to parents who have child care. If no child care is available, parents caring for children under six years of age receive an extension.

¹⁷ This extension applies to individuals with mental illness, emotional trauma, mental retardation, and physical disability.

¹⁸ The extension is granted if treatment prevents the individual from working full-time.

¹⁹ The extension is granted if the treatment prevents the individual from working at least 30 hours per week. Barriers to work include treatment for mental health, substance abuse, homeless services, domestic violence, DCFS (child protective services), and vocational rehabilitation.

²⁰ To receive the extension, the recipient must be able to complete the program within six months after the time limit ends.

²¹ To receive the extension, the disabled child must be approved for a waiver under the Home and Community-Based Care Program.

²² The services could include family services, homelessness services, or vocational rehabilitation.

²³ Benefits will be extended if the individual suffers from a hardship that keeps him or her from becoming self-sufficient. Examples of hardships may include but are not limited to: domestic violence, physical or mental health problems, substance abuse problems, the inability to find or keep a job, lack of suitable child care, difficult housing situations, or caring for a child with special needs.

²⁴ To receive this extension, recipients must participate in activities requirements for 30 hours per week.

²⁵ A temporary family crisis may include, a death of a family member, eviction, a serious illness, or an accident.

²⁶ The family may receive an extension for months in which the family was sanctioned. This extension applies to the periodic time limit but not the lifetime limit.

²⁷ Recipients in this component are exempt from time limits. The criteria for inclusion in this component may include some exemptions listed in this table; see Appendix I for more information on the composition of the component.

²⁸ The 30 hours per week applies to one-parent families. Two-parent families must participate in activities for 55 hours per week. For one-parent families, 25 of the 30 hours must be in employment and 45 of the 55 hours must be in employment for two-parent families. Participants must also be in compliance in the 60th month as well as for 10 out of the last 12 months preceding the 61st month to be eligible for the extension.

²⁹ To receive the extension, the recipient must have a medical condition for more than 30 days that either prevents or limits him or her from obtaining or retaining employment. If a physician indicates that the incapacity limits but doesn't prevent work, the recipient may receive the extension as long as he or she works the number of hours specified by his or her health care provider.

³⁰ To receive the extension, the family must be complying with a safety plan.

³¹ To receive the extension, the recipient must be assessed by a vocational specialist or licensed physician as being unable to obtain or retain available employment.

³² The domestic violence extension applies to months in which the assistance unit is fleeing from or receiving treatment for domestic violence or abuse, which includes sexual, mental, or physical abuse or battery and applies if the child or the adult is the one being subject to the abuse.

³³ A family crisis may include, but is not limited to, a home destroyed by fire, a temporary disability of the payee, the accidental injury of family member, job loss due to a poor economy, or being the victim of a crime.

³⁴ A family is considered to have insufficient income if its gross income is less than 185 percent of the TANF benefit it would receive. A family is suffering from extreme hardship if it does not have enough money to meet the costs of basic needs (i.e. food, clothing, and housing).

³⁵ The extensions apply to both the benefit waiting period time limit and the lifetime limit.

³⁶ Months in which the parent was exempt from activities requirements because he or she was caring for a young child are deducted from the 12-month extension period. For example, if the unit head was previously exempted from activities requirements for three months and then reaches the 60 month time limit, he or she may only receive an additional nine months extension of benefits, provided one of his or her children is under 12 months of age.

- ³⁷ To receive the extension, a caseworker must determine that the recipient is experiencing a drug, alcohol, or mental health treatment related hardship.
- ³⁸ The hardship extensions are available to all open and reapplication cases. Hardships include lack of adequate child care, loss of employment, life-threatening circumstance or emergency situation, medical condition, medical condition of another household member, learning disability, participation in a substance abuse or mental health program, family/domestic violence. The following criteria is only available to cases open at 60 months: in compliance with NHEP participation requirements. The following criteria is only available to TANF reapplicants who have received at least 60 months of TANF and are in compliance with NHEP requirements: working 30 hours a week, or do not meet any other hardship criteria. An across-the-board hardship extension may be granted if New Hampshire Employment Security has determined that the state is eligible for the federal/state cooperative extended benefit program or has a statewide unemployment rate of 7 percent.
- ³⁹ Benefits are extended to families if termination would subject the family to extreme hardship. Extreme hardship is defined as one of the following (some of these are included above): (1) Periods of temporary incapacity resulting in work deferrals of more than 12 consecutive months that did not allow the recipient sufficient time to gain self-sufficiency; (2) A current temporary deferral, such as for incapacity due to pregnancy, or the need to care for a child under 12 weeks old; (3) A current temporary deferral due to circumstances such as a lack of transportation or available child care to support work; (4) If participant has been fully compliant with program requirements with no more than one sanction in the previous 12 months, and he or she is still unable to obtain sufficient employment; or (5) A domestic violence situation renders a recipient temporarily incapable of sustaining the family without continued support.
- ⁴⁰ In addition, individuals who are considered battered or subjected to extreme cruelty also receive an extension. Battery and extreme cruelty occur when an individual has been physically attacked, sexually abused, raped, threatened with physical or sexual abuse, exposed to mental abuse, or deprived of medical care.
- ⁴¹ Individuals who are substantially complying with their Personal Responsibility Contract and are unable to obtain or maintain employment that provides a basic level of subsistence (defined as less than the state's maximum Work First payment minus \$90 from each worker's income) receive an extension from the benefit waiting period time limit but not the lifetime limit.
- ⁴² A family may receive a hardship extension if one of the following occurs: (1) battered or abused family members, (2) severe illness of children or parents, (3) inhibiting education level, (4) severe unemployment, (5) lack of child care, (6) substance abuse treatment participation, (7) inhibiting criminal record, (8) homelessness or substandard housing, (9) one or more children in the home are receiving child welfare services, (10) inadequate access to employment services during the 60 months, (11) lack of transportation, (12) any other situation which makes employment unattainable. Also, benefits are extended for months in which the unit head participates in postsecondary education. This applies to the benefit waiting period time limit but not the lifetime limit.
- ⁴³ Participants in postsecondary education programs may receive an extension to the benefit waiting period time limit but not the lifetime limit.
- ⁴⁴ To receive the extension, the recipient must work less than 30 hours per week because of a documented physical or mental incapacity.
- ⁴⁵ The extension is provided to families that are homeless or have inadequate or unavailable child care.
- ⁴⁶ The extensions apply to the lifetime limit but not the periodic time limit.
- ⁴⁷ The extension applies to the periodic time limit but not the lifetime limit.
- ⁴⁸ The extension applies to the periodic and the lifetime limit of minor parents who have not yet completed high school.
- ⁴⁹ Individuals who are participating in a county-approved training program may receive an extension from the periodic time limit but not the lifetime limit.
- ⁵⁰ Examples of family safety issues are homelessness or domestic violence.
- ⁵¹ The assistance unit must be in compliance with its personal responsibility plan for the most recent 18 months and have income that is less than the maximum grant for its assistance group size plus the earned income disregard. This applies to both the benefit waiting period time limit and the lifetime limit.
- ⁵² Individuals who are unemployed due to high local unemployment may receive an extension from benefit waiting period time limit but not the lifetime limit.
- ⁵³ To be eligible for this extension, the recipient must be unable to obtain sufficient employment during last 12 consecutive months before end of 60 month limit, and can have no more than one sanction since November 1, 1996. The inability to obtain sufficient employment cannot be the result of voluntarily quitting a job. This applies to the lifetime limit but not the benefit waiting period.
- ⁵⁴ This extension applies to the lifetime time limit but not to the benefit waiting period.
- ⁵⁵ This extension can continue as long as the recipient contacts an average of 40 employers per month (job search). This applies to both the benefit waiting period time limit and the lifetime limit.
- ⁵⁶ To be eligible for this extension, the recipient must reside in a county in which he or she receives minimum or mid-level services during the 60th month or at any time during the 11 countable months immediately preceding the 60th month of benefit receipt. This applies to the lifetime limit but not the benefit waiting period.
- ⁵⁷ An extension is granted if the following three conditions are met: (1) During the previous month, the parent was employed for no less than 80 hours; (2) During six of the previous 24 months the parent received assistance, he or she was employed for no less than 80 hours a month; and (3) The parent is expected to be employed for no less than 80 hours in the month financial assistance is being authorized for.
- ⁵⁸ Individuals who are suffering from a hardship may receive an extension from the benefit waiting period time limit but not the lifetime limit.
- ⁵⁹ Clients who experience the onset of a temporary incapacity after the 55th month of assistance may qualify for a one-time extension while undergoing treatment.
- ⁶⁰ Pregnant women (including emancipated minor parents under 18 years of age) who will be in their third trimester or have a child under the age of six months during their 60th month of assistance may receive an extension until their child is six months old.
- ⁶¹ This extension is provided to families who have inadequate or unavailable child care.
- ⁶² Significant barriers include, but are not limited to, low achievement ability, learning disability, severe emotional problems, or family problems, which include legal problems, family crises, homelessness, domestic abuse, or children's school or medical activities that affect one of the members of the W-2 group.
- ⁶³ The disability, illness, or incapacity must be permanent conditions.
- ⁶⁴ To receive the extension, the individual must be within one year of completing the degree.

Policies across Time, 1996–2002

V. Policies across Time

This chapter of the *Databook* includes longitudinal tables for selected areas of policy from the years 1996, 1998, 2000, and 2002 (as of July of each year).²¹ Although not every policy from the previous sections has a companion table here, data for every year from 1996 through 2002 for each policy can be found in the WRD.

The following discussion provides more information on the policies included in this section and the specific policies discussed in the tables.

Formal Diversion: Table L1 indicates which states have a formal diversion program that diverts eligible applicants or recipients from ongoing TANF receipt by providing a one-time cash payment directly to the family or a vendor for expenses incurred by the family. Other strategies that states may use to divert applicants from ongoing receipt of cash benefits (such as requiring an applicant to participate in job search or resource and referral services) are not identified as diversion programs in the table.

States did not have the option to divert units under AFDC. However, a few states did experiment with diversion through waivers. Generally, diversion programs began as demonstration projects in a few counties and, after TANF, the states expanded the programs statewide.²²

Two-parent eligibility: Table L2 describes states' deviation from the prior federal AFDC rules for two-parent, nondisabled units over time. The key AFDC policies were: the 100-hour rule for both applicants and recipients, a 6-out-of-13-quarter work history test, and a 30-day

²¹ The data for years 1997, 1999, and 2001 can be obtained from the ANF publication, *Welfare Rules Databook: State TANF Policies as of 2001* by Gretchen Rowe, Tracy Roberts, and Kevin McManus, or from the online WRD database.

²² Years in which the state implemented a diversion program as a demonstration project in only a few counties are footnoted.

waiting period. In the table, “Standard AFDC” describes the states that imposed the AFDC rules. “Modified” describes the states that no longer impose all of the former AFDC requirements on units but still impose some additional requirements. The specific combination of modified rules is footnoted. States that no longer impose any special requirements on two-parent units are denoted by “None.”

Many states began modifying or removing special requirements for two-parent units under waivers. This process continued under TANF, which does not require states to impose any special requirements on two-parent units.

Initial eligibility at application: Table L3 calculates the amount of earned income a three-person unit can retain and still be technically eligible for assistance. “Technically eligible” means that the unit is eligible for assistance but may not actually receive a cash benefit. Most states will not pay out a benefit for less than a specified amount (usually \$10), but as long as the unit’s potential benefit is positive, they are technically eligible. The calculations in this table are based on the states’ income eligibility tests, earned income disregards, benefit computation, and eligibility and payment standards.

Earned income disregards for benefit computation: Table L4 describes the earned income disregards that are allowed in determining the net income used for benefit computation. The disregards in this table apply to recipients.²³

Earned income disregards for benefit computation under AFDC were a standard \$120 and 33.3 percent for the first four months, \$120 for the next eight months, and \$90 thereafter. Through waivers, many states began changing their disregard policies, which often allowed units

²³ If units in the first month of receipt (applicants) receive different disregards, they are footnoted. Also, this table does not include disregards related to child care or any other special disregards for units affected by family caps or time limits.

to keep more of their income and still remain eligible for aid. This broadening of disregards continued under TANF, which allows states to determine their own disregard policies.

Maximum monthly benefit for a family of three with no income: Table L5 indicates the benefit that a family of three will receive if they have no income. The benefits are calculated assuming that the assistance unit includes one parent and two children, contains no children subject to a family cap, has no special needs, pays for all shelter costs with no subsidies, and is subject to the benefit standard that applies to the majority of the state's caseload.

Maximum benefits have always varied across states. Benefit computation formulas and payment standards were two policies that states were able to determine under AFDC. The wide variation in states' benefits still exists under TANF, but benefits have changed relatively infrequently across time.

Work-related exemption when caring for a child under X months: Table L6 indicates what age a child must be under in order for the unit head to be exempt from work-related requirements. The unit head is assumed to be a single parent age 20 or older with a high school diploma or GED.

Under AFDC, parents were exempt from the Job Opportunities and Basic Skills Training (JOBS) program if they had children less than 36 months of age.²⁴ Under waivers, many states began reducing the age of the child exemption. Then, with the passage of TANF, the federal government reduced the maximum age for the exemption to 12 months for purposes of the state's participation rate calculation. States have the option to exempt units with children younger or older than 12 months, but units with children over 12 months old will be included in

²⁴ States had the option to require JOBS participation of parents with children as young as 12 months of age.

their work participation rate denominator. States with waivers also have the option of continuing their previous exemption policy under TANF, until the waivers expire.

Most severe sanction policy for noncompliance with work requirements for single-parent adults: Table L7 describes the most severe sanction policy for noncompliance with work requirements.

Under AFDC, the worst-case sanction for not complying with work requirements was the removal of the adult for benefit computation purposes. The unit was sanctioned for six months or until compliance. By 1996, a few states had begun to impose more severe sanctions on noncompliant units. These types of policies continued and expanded under TANF. The federal government requires that all states sanction individuals for not complying with work requirements, but the states are allowed to determine the severity of the sanction.

Asset tests: Tables L8 and L9 describe the asset limits and vehicle exemptions for recipients, respectively. If the tests are different for applicants, they are footnoted.

States have liberalized asset tests over the last several years. Initially under AFDC rules, the federal government set the maximum amount of assets a unit could retain and still remain eligible at \$1,000 of countable assets and \$1,500 of the equity value of a vehicle. During the early 1990s, states began experimenting with higher asset limits and vehicle exemptions through waivers. Under TANF, states determine the maximum allowable level of assets.

Family caps: Table L10 indicates which states have implemented family cap policies.

States did not have the option to cap additional children under AFDC. However, a few states did experiment with family caps through waivers.²⁵ TANF neither requires nor prohibits family cap policies.

²⁵Years in which the state imposed a family cap as a demonstration project in only a few counties are footnoted.

Table L1 Formal Diversion Payments, 1996-2002 (July)

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Alabama | No | No | No | No |
| Alaska | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Arizona | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Arkansas | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| California ¹ | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Colorado ² | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Connecticut | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Delaware | No | No | Yes ³ | Yes ³ |
| D.C. | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Florida | No | Yes | Yes ⁴ | Yes ⁵ |
| Georgia | No | No | No | No |
| Hawaii | No | No | No | Yes |
| Idaho | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Illinois | No | No | No | No |
| Indiana | No | No | No | No |
| Iowa | No | No ⁶ | No ⁶ | No ⁶ |
| Kansas | No | No | No | No |
| Kentucky | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Louisiana | No | No | No | Yes |
| Maine | No | Yes ⁷ | Yes ⁷ | Yes ⁷ |
| Maryland | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Massachusetts | No | No | No | No |
| Michigan | No | No | No | No |
| Minnesota | No | Yes ⁸ | Yes ⁸ | Yes ⁸ |
| Mississippi | No | No | No | No |
| Missouri | No | No | No | No |
| Montana | No ⁹ | Yes ¹⁰ | Yes ¹⁰ | No |
| Nebraska | No | No | No | No |
| Nevada | No | No | No | No |
| New Hampshire | No | No | No | No |
| New Jersey | No | No | Yes ¹¹ | Yes ¹¹ |
| New Mexico | No | No | Yes ¹² | Yes ¹² |
| New York | No | No | Yes ¹³ | Yes ¹⁴ |
| North Carolina | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| North Dakota | No | No | No | No |
| Ohio ¹⁵ | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Oklahoma | No | No | Yes ¹⁶ | Yes ¹⁶ |
| Oregon | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvania | No | No | No | No |
| Rhode Island | No | No | No | No |
| South Carolina | No | No | No | No |
| South Dakota | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Tennessee | No | No | No | No |
| Texas | No | Yes | Yes ¹⁷ | Yes ¹⁷ |
| Utah | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vermont | No | No | No | No |
| Virginia | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Washington | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| West Virginia | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Wisconsin | No | Yes ¹⁸ | Yes ¹⁸ | Yes ¹⁸ |
| Wyoming | No | No | No | No |
| Totals: | 3 | 19 | 28 | 29 |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

¹ Counties have the option to vary their diversion programs. These policies refer to Los Angeles County.

² Counties have the option to vary their diversion programs. These policies refer to Denver County.

³ The state's diversion program is related to retaining or obtaining employment, and is only for parents living with natural or adopted children.

⁴ Florida has two separate diversion programs. Up-front diversion is for individuals in need of assistance due to unexpected circumstances or emergency situations. Relocation assistance is available for individuals who reside in an area with limited employment opportunities.

⁵ Florida has three separate diversion programs. An assistance unit may receive a one-time payment of up to \$1,000 in Up-Front Diversion or Relocation Assistance, up to the amount needed to relocate, or a one-time \$1,000 payment of Cash Severance Diversion. The unit is ineligible to receive assistance for three months after receiving Up-Front Diversion and for six months after receiving Relocation Assistance or Cash Severance Diversion. Up-Front Assistance is for individuals in need of assistance due to unexpected circumstances or emergency situations. Relocation Assistance is available for individuals who reside in an area with limited employment opportunities and experience one of the following: geographic isolation, formidable transportation barriers, isolation from extended family, or domestic violence that threatens the ability of a parent to maintain self-sufficiency. Cash Severance Diversion is available to TANF recipients if they meet the following criteria: are employed and receiving earnings; are able to verify their earnings; will remain employed for at least six months; have received cash assistance for at least six consecutive months since October 1996; and are eligible for at least one more month of TANF. Up-Front Diversion and Relocation Assistance do not count toward time limits. Cash Severance Diversion does not count toward time limits if the payment is made in a month in which the unit receives a TANF payment as well. If the payment is made in a month in which the unit does not receive a TANF payment, the Cash Severance Diversion payment counts as a month toward the time limit.

⁶ Iowa is conducting a demonstration project that provides diversion assistance to its clients.

⁷ Diversion payments are only provided to caretaker relatives or parents who are employed or looking for work.

⁸ To be eligible for the diversion program, the assistance unit must meet all of the following criteria: (1) At least one family member has lived in Minnesota for at least 30 days; (2) The caregiver has lost a job, is unable to obtain a job, or has a temporary loss of income, and this loss of income is not due to refusing suitable employment without good cause; (3) The family is at risk of MFIP eligibility if DA is not provided; and (4) The family is not in a period of eligibility for Emergency Assistance.

⁹ Montana is conducting a demonstration project in eight counties that provides diversion assistance to its clients.

¹⁰ Diversion payments are only provided for employment-related expenses at the discretion of the welfare department.

¹¹ Applicants for WFNJ/TANF must participate in New Jersey's diversion program, Early Employment Initiative, if they (1) have a work history that equals or exceeds four months of full-time employment in the last 12 months, (2) have at least one child, (3) appear to meet TANF eligibility requirements, (4) are not in immediate need, and (5) do not meet criteria for a deferral from work requirements. Participants receive a one-time, lump-sum payment and are required to pursue an intensive job search for 15 to 30 days while their WFNJ/TANF application is being processed. If participants obtain employment and withdraw their application, they are eligible to receive a second lump-sum payment to assist in the transition to employment. If no employment is secured, the applicant is referred back to the WFNJ/TANF agency for cash assistance.

¹² Diversion payments are only available to assist applicants in keeping a job or accepting a bona fide offer of employment.

¹³ New York has two types of diversion payments available: Diversion Payments (for crisis items such as moving expenses, storage fees, or household structural or equipment repairs) and Diversion Transportation Payments (for employment-related transportation expenses).

¹⁴ New York has three types of diversion payments available: Diversion Payments (for crisis items such as moving expenses, storage fees, or household structural or equipment repairs); Diversion Transportation Payments (for employment-related transportation expenses); and Diversion Rental Payments (for rental housing).

¹⁵ Counties have the option to vary their diversion programs. These policies refer to Cuyahoga County.

¹⁶ Individuals must be employed or have a bona fide offer of employment in order to qualify for diversion assistance.

¹⁷ To qualify for the state's diversion program, the assistance unit must meet one of the "Crisis Criteria": (1) The caretaker or second parent has had a loss of employment in the process month, application month, or two months prior to application; (2) A single parent has experienced a loss of financial support from a spouse within the last 12 months due to death, divorce, separation, or abandonment and has been employed within 12 months prior to the application or process month; (3) The caretaker or second parent has graduated from a university, college, junior college, or technical training school within 12 months prior to the application or process month and is under/unemployed; or (4) The caretaker and/or second parent is employed but faces the loss or potential loss of transportation/shelter or faces a medical emergency temporarily preventing them from continuing to work.

¹⁸ The diversion payment is considered a loan to assist with expenses related to obtaining or maintaining employment and it must be repaid. Repayments are expected within 12 months but may be extended to 24 months. The loan may be paid back in cash or through a combination of cash and volunteer community service (valued at the higher of the state or federal minimum wage).

Table L2 Types of Special Restrictions on Two-Parent, Nondisabled Units' Eligibility, 1996-2002¹(July)

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|----------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Alabama | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| Alaska | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| Arizona | Modified ² | Modified ² | Modified ² | Modified ² |
| Arkansas | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| California | Modified ³ | Modified ⁴ | Modified ⁴ | Modified ⁴ |
| Colorado | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| Connecticut | None | None | None | None |
| Delaware | None | None | None | None |
| D.C. | Standard AFDC | Standard AFDC | Standard AFDC | Standard AFDC |
| Florida | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| Georgia | Standard AFDC | Modified ⁵ | Modified ⁵ | Modified ⁵ |
| Hawaii | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| Idaho | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| Illinois | None | None | None | None |
| Indiana | Modified ³ | Modified ³ | Modified ³ | Modified ³ |
| Iowa | Modified ⁶ | Modified ⁷ | Modified ⁷ | None |
| Kansas | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| Kentucky | Standard AFDC | Standard AFDC | Modified ⁸ | Modified ⁸ |
| Louisiana | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| Maine | Standard AFDC | Modified ⁹ | Modified ⁹ | Modified ⁹ |
| Maryland | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| Massachusetts | Modified ¹⁰ | Modified ¹⁰ | None | None |
| Michigan | None | None | None | None |
| Minnesota | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| Mississippi | Standard AFDC | Standard AFDC | Standard AFDC | Standard AFDC |
| Missouri | Standard AFDC | Standard AFDC | None | None |
| Montana | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| Nebraska | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| Nevada | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| New Hampshire | Standard AFDC | Standard AFDC | Standard AFDC | Standard AFDC |
| New Jersey | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| New Mexico | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| New York | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| North Carolina | None | None | None | None |
| North Dakota | Standard AFDC | Not Eligible ¹¹ | Not Eligible ¹¹ | Not Eligible ¹¹ |
| Ohio | None | None | None | None |
| Oklahoma | Standard AFDC | Modified ¹⁰ | Modified ¹⁰ | Modified ¹⁰ |
| Oregon | None | None | None | None |
| Pennsylvania | Standard AFDC | Standard AFDC | Modified ² | Modified ² |
| Rhode Island | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| South Carolina | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| South Dakota | Standard AFDC | Modified ¹² | Modified ¹² | Modified ¹² |
| Tennessee | Standard AFDC | Standard AFDC | Standard AFDC | Standard AFDC |
| Texas | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| Utah | Modified ⁶ | None | None | None |
| Vermont | None | None | None | None |
| Virginia | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| Washington | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |

Table L2 Types of Special Restrictions on Two-Parent, Nondisabled Units' Eligibility, 1996-2002¹(July)

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|---------------|-----------------------|------|------|------|
| West Virginia | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |
| Wisconsin | Modified ³ | None | None | None |
| Wyoming | Standard AFDC | None | None | None |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: Standard AFDC rules for two-parent units: a 100-hour limit on work for both applicants and recipients; applicants must have worked in at least six of the last 13 calendar quarters before application; and applicants must have been unemployed for a minimum of 30 days before application.

¹ The special restrictions considered in this table include limits on work hours for applicants and recipients, work history requirements, and waiting period restrictions. The combination of restrictions does not, however, include any variation in state programs due to special time limits that apply only to two-parent units.

² Modified rules for two-parent units: six out of the last 13 quarters work history.

³ Modified rules for two-parent units: 100-hour work limit for applicants, six out of the last 13 quarters work history, and 30-day waiting period.

⁴ Modified rules for two-parent units: 100-hour work limit for applicants and four-week waiting period.

⁵ Modified rules for two-parent units: Must be connected to the workforce, which includes one of the following: (1) currently working at least 20 hours per week, (2) receiving Unemployment Compensation, (3) unemployed or working less than 20 hours per week and has earned \$500 within the six months prior to application, (4) receiving retirement benefits, (5) has received disability benefits based on 100 percent disability in any of the last six months.

⁶ Modified rules for two-parent units: 30-day waiting period.

⁷ Modified rules for two-parent units: seven-day waiting period.

⁸ Modified rules for two-parent units: 100-hour work limit for applicants, applicant must have earned at least \$1,000 during the 24-month period before the month of application, and 30-day waiting period.

⁹ Modified rules for two-parent units: 100-hour work limit for applicants, 130-hour work limit for recipients, six out of the last 13 quarters work history, and 30-day waiting period.

¹⁰ Modified rules for two-parent units: six out of the last 13 quarters work history and 30-day waiting period.

¹¹ North Dakota does not provide benefits to two-parent, nondisabled units.

¹² Modified rules for two-parent units: 100-hour work limit for applicants, 100-hour work limit for recipients, and applicants must have a combined (both parents) gross income over the past six months equal to at least \$1,500. Parents must not have terminated employment, reduced hours worked, or refused a job offer within the previous six months (without good cause).

Table L3 Maximum Income for Initial Eligibility for a Family of Three¹, 1996-2002 (July)

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|----------------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Alabama | \$366 | \$205 | \$205 | \$205 |
| Alaska | \$1,118 | \$1,168 | \$1,208 | \$1,276 |
| Arizona | \$639 | \$586 | \$586 | \$586 |
| Arkansas | \$426 | \$279 | \$279 | \$279 |
| California | \$820 | \$844 | \$883 | \$949 |
| Colorado | \$511 | \$511 | \$511 | \$511 |
| Connecticut | \$835 | \$835 | \$835 | \$835 |
| Delaware | \$428 | \$428 | \$428 | \$428 |
| D.C. | \$742 | \$688 | \$479 | \$539 |
| Florida | \$574 | \$393 | \$393 | \$393 |
| Georgia | \$514 | \$514 | \$514 | \$514 |
| Hawaii | \$1,187 | \$1,641 ² | \$1,641 ² | \$1,641 ² |
| Idaho | \$1,081 | \$625 | \$637 | \$648 |
| Illinois | \$467 | \$467 | \$467 | \$486 |
| Indiana | \$378 | \$378 | \$378 | \$378 |
| Iowa | \$1,061 | \$1,061 | \$1,061 | \$1,061 |
| Kansas | \$519 | \$519 | \$519 | \$519 |
| Kentucky | \$616 | \$616 | \$909 | \$909 |
| Louisiana | \$405 | \$310 | \$360 | \$360 |
| Maine | \$643 | \$687 | \$1,023 | \$1,023 |
| Maryland | \$607 | \$485 | \$521 | \$590 |
| Massachusetts | | | | |
| Exempt | \$669 | \$669 | \$723 | \$723 |
| Nonexempt | \$655 | \$655 | \$708 | \$708 |
| Michigan | \$774 | \$774 | \$774 | \$774 |
| Minnesota | \$622 | \$930 | \$962 | \$1,013 |
| Mississippi | \$458 | \$458 | \$458 | \$458 |
| Missouri | \$558 | \$558 | \$558 | \$558 |
| Montana | \$631 | | | \$876 |
| Pathways and | — | \$773 | \$808 | — |
| JSP | | | | |
| CSP | — | \$550 | \$577 | — |
| Nebraska | \$454 | \$667 | \$667 | \$732 |
| Nevada | \$642 | \$961 | \$1,055 | \$1,120 |
| New Hampshire | \$943 | \$688 | \$750 | \$781 |
| New Jersey | \$783 | \$636 | \$636 | \$636 |
| New Mexico | \$479 | \$720 ³ | \$720 ³ | \$1,061 ³ |
| New York | \$667 | \$667 | \$667 | \$667 |
| North Carolina | \$936 | \$936 | \$750 | \$750 |
| North Dakota | \$521 | \$784 | \$784 | \$1,252 |
| Ohio | \$631 | \$972 | \$980 | \$980 |
| Oklahoma | \$580 | \$704 | \$704 | \$704 |
| Oregon | \$550 | \$616 | \$616 | \$616 |
| Pennsylvania | \$677 | \$677 | \$677 | \$677 |
| Rhode Island | \$644 | \$1,278 | \$1,278 | \$1,278 |
| South Carolina | \$614 | \$555 | \$578 | \$609 |
| South Dakota | \$597 | \$626 | \$626 | \$693 |
| Tennessee | \$767 | \$922 | \$989 | \$1,008 |

Table L3 Maximum Income for Initial Eligibility for a Family of Three¹, 1996-2002 (July)

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Texas | \$400 | \$400 | \$401 | \$401 |
| Utah | \$525 | \$550 | \$550 | \$573 |
| Vermont | \$945 | \$964 | \$979 | \$1,000 |
| Virginia | | | | |
| VIEW | \$1,082 ⁴ | \$1,138 | \$1,179 | \$1,252 |
| All, except | \$380 | \$380 | \$380 | \$380 |
| Washington | \$937 | \$1,090 | \$1,092 | \$1,092 |
| West Virginia | \$498 | \$420 | \$880 | \$753 |
| Wisconsin | \$895 | — ⁵ | — ⁶ | — ⁷ |
| Wyoming | \$680 | \$340 | \$540 | \$540 |
| Mean⁸ | \$669 | \$706 | \$737 | \$768 |
| Median⁸ | \$631 | \$668 | \$677 | \$704 |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: Initial eligibility is calculated assuming that the unit is employed at application, has only earned income, has no child care expenses, contains one adult and no children subject to a family cap, has no special needs, pays for shelter, and lives in the most populated area of the state.

¹ The amounts in this table represent the maximum amount of earnings an applicant can have and still be “technically” eligible for assistance in each state. Technical eligibility does not mean that the unit will necessarily receive a cash benefit, but that it will have passed all of the eligibility tests and is eligible for some positive amount. The amounts have been rounded to the nearest dollar, so in some cases the family may be able to earn slightly less than the amount in the table and in other cases they may be able to earn slightly more. Note that most states only distribute a cash benefit if it is over \$10.

² Applies to units that have received assistance for no more than two months in a lifetime. For units applying for their third and subsequent months of benefits, the eligibility threshold for a family of three is \$1,362.

³ For purposes of the state’s earned income disregard, the adult head is assumed to be working 40 hours a week.

⁴ The All, except VIEW units comprised the majority of the caseload in this year.

⁵ Units with full-time employment (generally greater than 30 hours per week) at application will not receive a cash benefit in the state. However, applicants may earn up to \$1,308 and still be eligible for nonfinancial assistance.

⁶ Units with full-time employment (generally greater than 30 hours per week) will not receive a cash benefit in the state. There are provisions to provide prorated benefits to Community Service Jobs participants who are also employed part-time at an unsubsidized job. These eligibility determinations are made on a case-by-case basis. Recipients may earn up to \$1,356 and still be eligible for nonfinancial assistance, however.

⁷ Units with full-time employment (generally greater than 30 hours per week) will not receive a cash benefit in the state. There are provisions to provide prorated benefits to Community Service Jobs participants who are also employed part-time at an unsubsidized job. These eligibility determinations are made on a case-by-case basis. Recipients may earn up to \$1,440 and still be eligible for nonfinancial assistance, however.

⁸ The values only include one amount per state (the policy affecting the largest percent of the caseload), and the eligibility threshold for Wisconsin is included in the calculation.

Table L4 Earned Income Disregards for Benefit Computation, 1996-2002 (July)

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Alabama | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 100% first 3 consecutive months, 20% thereafter | 100% first 3 consecutive months, 20% thereafter | 100% first 3 consecutive months, 20% thereafter |
| Alaska | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$150 and 33.3% of remainder in first 12 months, \$150 and 25% of remainder in months 13-24, \$150 and 20% of remainder in months 25-36, \$150 and 15% of remainder in months 37-48, \$150 and 10% of remainder in months 49-60, \$150 thereafter ¹ | \$150 and 33.3% of remainder in first 12 months, \$150 and 25% of remainder in months 13-24, \$150 and 20% of remainder in months 25-36, \$150 and 15% of remainder in months 37-48, \$150 and 10% of remainder in months 49-60, \$150 thereafter ¹ | \$150 and 33.3% of remainder in first 12 months, \$150 and 25% of remainder in months 13-24, \$150 and 20% of remainder in months 25-36, \$150 and 15% of remainder in months 37-48, \$150 and 10% of remainder in months 49-60, \$150 thereafter ¹ |
| Arizona | | | | |
| All, except JOBSTART | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$90 and 30% of remainder | \$90 and 30% of remainder | — |
| JOBSTART | No Disregards | No Disregards | No Disregards | — |
| Arkansas | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | No disregards- flat grant amount | No disregards- flat grant amount | No disregards- flat grant amount |
| California | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder | \$225 dollars and 50% of remainder | \$225 dollars and 50% of remainder | \$225 dollars and 50% of remainder |
| Colorado | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 66.7% first 12 months; \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in next 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter |
| Connecticut | 100% of the federal poverty level | 100% of the federal poverty level | 100% of the federal poverty level | 100% of the federal poverty level |
| Delaware | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter |
| D.C. | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$100 and 50% of remainder | \$160 and 66.7% of remainder |
| Florida | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$200 and 50% of remainder | \$200 and 50% of remainder | \$200 and 50% of remainder |
| Georgia | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter |

Table L4 Earned Income Disregards for Benefit Computation, 1996-2002 (July)

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|---------------|--|---|---|---|
| Hawaii | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 20%, \$200, and 36% of remainder | 20%, \$200, and 36% of remainder | 20%, \$200, and 36% of remainder |
| Idaho | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 40% | 40% | 40% |
| Illinois | 66.7% | 66.7% | 66.7% | 66.7% |
| Indiana | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | No disregards- flat grant amount | No disregards- flat grant amount |
| Iowa | 20% and 50% of remainder | 20% and 50% of remainder | 20% and 50% of remainder | 20% and 50% of remainder |
| Kansas | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$90 and 40% of remainder | \$90 and 40% of remainder | \$90 and 40% of remainder |
| Kentucky | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 100% first two months, ² \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in next 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 100% first two months, ² \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in next 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 100% first 2 months, ² \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in next 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter |
| Louisiana | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$1,020 in first 6 months, \$120 thereafter | \$1,020 in first 6 months, \$120 thereafter | \$1,020 in first 6 months, \$120 thereafter |
| Maine | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$108 and 50% of remainder | \$108 and 50% of remainder | \$108 and 50% of remainder |
| Maryland | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 26% | 35% | 35% |
| Massachusetts | | | | |
| Exempt | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder |
| Nonexempt | \$120 and 50% of remainder | \$120 and 50% of remainder | \$120 and 50% of remainder | \$120 and 50% of remainder |
| Michigan | \$200 and 20% of remainder | \$200 and 20% of remainder | \$200 and 20% of remainder | \$200 and 20% of remainder |
| Minnesota | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 36% | 38% | 38% |
| Mississippi | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 100% first 6 months, \$90 thereafter ³ | 100% first 6 months, \$90 thereafter ³ | 100% first 6 months, \$90 thereafter ³ |

Table L4 Earned Income Disregards for Benefit Computation, 1996-2002 (July)

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Missouri | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 66.7% and \$90 of remainder in first 12 months, \$90 thereafter ⁴ | 66.7% and \$90 of remainder in first 12 months, \$90 thereafter ⁴ |
| Montana | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | | | \$200 and 25% of remainder |
| Pathways | — | \$200 and 25% of remainder | \$200 and 25% of remainder | — |
| Community Service Program | — | \$100 | \$100 | — |
| Job Supplement Program | — | No Disregards Allowed | No Disregards Allowed | — |
| Nebraska | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 20% | 20% | 20% |
| Nevada | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 100% first 3 months, 50% in months 4-12, \$90 or 20% (whichever is greater) thereafter | 100% first 3 months, 50% in months 4-12, \$90 or 20% (whichever is greater) thereafter | 100% first 3 months, 50% in months 4-12, \$90 or 20% (whichever is greater) thereafter |
| New Hampshire | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 50% | 50% | 50% |
| New Jersey | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 100% first month, 50% thereafter ⁵ | 100% first month, 50% thereafter ⁵ | 100% first month, 50% thereafter ⁵ |
| New Mexico | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | All earnings in excess of 24 hours per week, \$150 and 50% of remainder in first 24 months, \$150 and 50% of remainder thereafter ⁶ | All earnings in excess of 29 hours per week, \$150 and 50% of remainder in first 24 months, \$150 and 50% of remainder thereafter ⁶ | All earnings in excess of 34 hours per week, \$125 and 50% of remainder first 24 months, \$125 and 50% of remainder thereafter ⁷ |
| New York | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$90 and 45% of remainder | \$90 and 47% of remainder | \$90 and 50% of remainder |
| North Carolina | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 100% in first three months of employment, ⁸ 27.5% thereafter | 100% in first three months of employment, ⁸ 27.5% thereafter |

Table L4 Earned Income Disregards for Benefit Computation, 1996-2002 (July)

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|----------------|--|---|---|--|
| North Dakota | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$90 or 27%, whichever is greater, and additional amount computed from formula ⁹ | \$90 or 27%, whichever is greater, and additional amount computed from formula ⁹ | \$180 or 27% (whichever is greater) and 50% of remainder in first 6 months, \$180 or 27% (whichever is greater) and 35% of remainder in months 7-9, \$180 or 27% (whichever is greater) and 25% of remainder in months 10-13, and \$180 or 27% (whichever is greater) thereafter |
| Ohio | \$250 and 50% of remainder in first 12 months, \$90 thereafter | \$250 and 50% of remainder in first 18 months | \$250 and 50% of remainder in first 18 months | \$250 and 50% of remainder |
| Oklahoma | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 50% of remainder | \$120 and 50% of remainder | \$120 and 50% of remainder |
| Oregon | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 50% | 50% |
| Pennsylvania | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 50% | 50% | 50% |
| Rhode Island | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$170 and 50% of remainder | \$170 and 50% of remainder | \$170 and 50% of remainder ¹⁰ |
| South Carolina | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 50% first 4 months, \$100 thereafter | 50% first 4 months, \$100 thereafter | 50% first 4 months, \$100 thereafter |
| South Dakota | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$90 and 20% of remainder | \$90 and 20% of remainder | \$90 and 20% of remainder |
| Tennessee | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$150 | \$150 | \$150 |
| Texas | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 90% of remainder (up to \$1,400) for 4 out of 12 months, \$120 thereafter ¹¹ | \$120 and 90% of remainder (up to \$1,400) for 4 out of 12 months, \$120 thereafter ¹¹ |
| Utah | \$100 and 50% of remainder ¹² | \$100 and 50% of remainder ¹² | \$100 and 50% of remainder ¹² | \$100 and 50% of remainder ¹² |
| Vermont | \$150 and 25% of remainder | \$150 and 25% of remainder | \$150 and 25% of remainder | \$150 and 25% of remainder |

| Table L4 Earned Income Disregards for Benefit Computation, 1996-2002 (July) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
| Virginia | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter |
| Washington | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 50% | 50% | 50% |
| West Virginia | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | 40% | 60% | 40% |
| Wisconsin | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | No disregards- flat grant amount | No disregards- flat grant amount | No disregards- flat grant amount |
| Wyoming | \$120 and 33.3% of remainder in first 4 months, \$120 next 8 months, \$90 thereafter | \$200 ¹³ | \$200 ¹³ | \$200 ¹³ |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Notes: Only earned income disregards are described in the table. Child care disregards and other special disregards, such as deductions for units subject to a time limit or a family cap are not included.

The table describes benefit computation disregards for recipients. If the disregards differ for applicants, it is footnoted.

¹ This disregard is also applied to applicants who have received assistance in one of the previous four months.

² Recipients are eligible for the one-time 100 percent disregard if they become newly employed.

³ Recipients are eligible for the one-time 100 percent disregard if they find employment of 35 hours per week within the first 30 days following initial approval for TANF. If work is not found within 30 days, the recipient is ineligible to ever receive the disregard again. An additional 100 percent disregard is available to units for three months when the unit's case is subject to closure due to increased earnings and the individual is employed for at least 25 hours a week at the federal minimum wage or higher. The recipient may not have already received the six-month disregard, unless there has been at least a 12-month break in receipt of TANF benefits. The three-month disregard may be received more than once during the 60-month TANF benefit period provided that there is a period of at least 12 consecutive months in which a family does not receive TANF benefits before the family reapplies for assistance. Two-parent units may disregard 100 percent of earnings for the first 6 months, \$120 and 33.3 percent in the next 12 months, and \$90 thereafter.

⁴ This disregard only applies to recipients who become employed while receiving TANF. Applicants and recipients who gained employment before receiving TANF are allowed to disregard \$120 and 33.3 percent of remainder for first four months, \$120 next eight months, \$90 thereafter.

⁵ The 100 percent disregard is only applicable once every 12 months, even if employment is lost and then regained. In the first month of benefit computation, applicants may disregard 50 percent of earnings only.

⁶ Two-parent units may disregard all earnings above 59 hours a week (if federally subsidized child care is available, else 39 hours per week), \$250 and 50 percent for the first 24 months, \$250 and 50 percent thereafter.

⁷ Two-parent units may disregard all earnings above 35 hours per week for one parent and 24 hours per week for the other parent and \$225 and 50 percent in the first 24 months. Thereafter, they may disregard \$225 and 50 percent of the remainder. The disregard for earnings in excess of the participation requirement only applies to recipients for the first 24 months of benefit receipt, for both single- and two-parent units.

⁸ The 100 percent disregard is only available once in a lifetime and may be received only if the recipient is newly employed at a job that is expected to be permanent for more than 20 hours a week.

⁹ The formula for months one through eight equals $A*(A/B)*.5$, where $A = \text{Min}[\text{earnings after initial disregard}, B]$ and $B = \text{Employment Incentive Limit}$; for months nine and ten it equals $A*(A/B)*.3$, where $A = \text{Min}[\text{earnings after ED \#1}, B]$ and $B = \text{Employment Incentive Limit}$; and for months 11 and 12 it equals $A*(A/B)*.1$, where $A = \text{Min}[\text{earnings after ED \#1}, B]$ and $B = \text{Employment Incentive Limit}$. Beginning in the 13th month, there is no additional disregard.

¹⁰ A \$90 disregard is applied to the earned income of a parent who has reached his or her lifetime time limit.

¹¹ The four months need not be consecutive. Once the recipient has received four months of the 90 percent disregard, he or she is not eligible to receive the disregard again until the TANF case has been denied and remains denied for one full month, and 12 calendar months have passed since the denial. The 12-month ineligibility period begins with the first full month of denial after the client used the fourth month of the 90 percent disregard.

¹² To be eligible for the 50 percent disregards, the recipient must have received benefits in at least one of the previous four months.

¹³ Married couples with a child in common may disregard \$400.

Table L5 Maximum Monthly Benefit for a Family of Three with No Income, 1996-2002 (July)

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|----------------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Alabama | \$164 | \$164 | \$164 | \$164 |
| Alaska | \$923 | \$923 | \$923 | \$923 |
| Arizona | \$347 | \$347 | \$347 | \$347 |
| Arkansas | \$204 | \$204 | \$204 | \$204 |
| California | \$596 | | | |
| Nonexempt | — | \$565 | \$626 | \$679 |
| Exempt | — | \$631 | \$699 | \$758 |
| Colorado | \$356 | \$356 | \$356 | \$356 |
| Connecticut | \$543 | \$543 | \$543 | \$543 |
| Delaware | \$338 | \$338 | \$338 | \$338 |
| D.C. | \$415 | \$379 | \$379 | \$379 |
| Florida | \$303 | \$303 | \$303 | \$303 |
| Georgia | \$280 | \$280 | \$280 | \$280 |
| Hawaii | \$712 | \$570 ¹ | \$570 ¹ | \$570 ¹ |
| Idaho | \$317 | \$276 | \$293 | \$309 |
| Illinois | \$377 | \$377 | \$377 | \$396 |
| Indiana | \$288 | \$288 | \$288 | \$288 |
| Iowa | \$426 | \$426 | \$426 | \$426 |
| Kansas | \$429 | \$429 | \$429 | \$429 |
| Kentucky | \$262 | \$262 | \$262 | \$262 |
| Louisiana | \$190 | \$190 | \$240 | \$240 |
| Maine | \$418 | \$418 | \$461 | \$485 |
| Maryland | \$373 | \$388 | \$417 | \$472 |
| Massachusetts | | | | |
| Exempt | \$579 | \$579 | \$633 | \$633 |
| Nonexempt | \$565 | \$565 | \$618 | \$618 |
| Michigan | \$459 | \$459 | \$459 | \$459 |
| Minnesota | \$532 | \$532 | \$532 | \$532 |
| Mississippi | \$120 | \$120 | \$170 | \$170 |
| Missouri | \$292 | \$292 | \$292 | \$292 |
| Montana | \$425 | | \$477 | \$507 |
| Pathways | — | \$450 | — | — |
| CSP | — | \$450 | — | — |
| JSP | — | — | — | — |
| Nebraska | \$364 | \$364 | \$364 | \$364 |
| Nevada | \$348 | \$348 | \$348 | \$348 |
| New Hampshire | \$550 | \$550 | \$600 | \$625 |
| New Jersey | \$424 | \$424 | \$424 | \$424 |
| New Mexico | \$389 | \$489 | \$439 | \$389 |
| New York | \$577 | \$577 | \$577 | \$577 |
| North Carolina | \$272 | \$272 | \$272 | \$272 |
| North Dakota | \$431 | \$457 | \$457 | \$477 |
| Ohio | \$341 | \$362 | \$373 | \$373 |
| Oklahoma | \$307 | \$292 | \$292 | \$292 |
| Oregon | \$503 | \$503 | \$503 | \$503 |
| Pennsylvania | \$403 | \$403 | \$403 | \$403 |
| Rhode Island | \$554 | \$554 | \$554 | \$554 |

Table L5 Maximum Monthly Benefit for a Family of Three with No Income, 1996-2002 (July)

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| South Carolina | \$200 | \$201 | \$203 | \$204 |
| South Dakota | \$430 | \$430 | \$430 | \$483 |
| Tennessee | \$185 | \$185 | | |
| Time Limited Unit | — | — | \$185 | \$185 |
| Time Limited Exempt | — | — | \$232 | \$232 |
| Texas | \$188 | \$188 | \$201 | \$208 |
| Utah | \$426 | \$451 | \$451 | \$474 |
| Vermont | \$597 | \$611 | \$622 | \$638 |
| Virginia | \$291 | \$291 | \$320 | \$320 |
| Washington | \$546 | \$546 | \$546 | \$546 |
| West Virginia | \$253 | \$253 | \$353 | \$453 |
| Wisconsin | \$518 | | | |
| W-2 Transistions | — | \$628 | \$628 | \$628 |
| Community Services | — | \$673 | \$673 | \$673 |
| Wyoming | \$360 | \$340 | \$340 | \$340 |
| Mean² | \$395 | \$396 | \$405 | \$413 |
| Median² | \$377 | \$379 | \$379 | \$396 |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: Maximum benefits are calculated assuming that the unit contains one adult and two children who are not subject to a family cap, has no special needs, pays for shelter, and lives in the most populated area of the state.

¹ Applies to units that have received assistance for at least two months in a lifetime. For units receiving their first and second months of benefits, the maximum benefit for a family of three is \$712.

² The values only include one amount per state (the policy affecting the largest percent of the caseload).

Table L6 Work-Related Exemption When Caring for a Child under X Months, 1996-2002 (July)¹

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|---|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Alabama | 36 | 36 | 36 | 36 |
| Alaska | 36 | 12 ² | 12 ² | 12 ² |
| Arizona | | No Exemption | No Exemption | No Exemption |
| All, except JOBSTART | 24 | — | — | — |
| JOBSTART | 24 | — | — | — |
| Arkansas | No Exemption | 3 ³ | 3 ³ | 3 ³ |
| California | 36 ⁴ | 12 ⁵ | 12 ⁵ | 12 ⁵ |
| Colorado | 12 | 12 ⁶ | 12 ⁶ | 12 ⁶ |
| Connecticut ⁷ | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Delaware | 13 Weeks | 13 Weeks | 13 Weeks | 13 Weeks |
| D.C. | 36 | 36 | 12 | 12 |
| Florida | 36 | 3 ⁸ | 3 ⁸ | 3 ⁸ |
| Georgia | 36 | 12 ⁹ | 12 ⁹ | 12 ⁹ |
| Hawaii | 36 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Idaho | 36 | No Exemption | No Exemption | No Exemption |
| Illinois | 36 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Indiana | 36 ⁷ | 6 ¹⁰ | 3 | 3 |
| Iowa | 3 | No Exemption ¹¹ | No Exemption ¹¹ | No Exemption ¹¹ |
| Kansas | 36 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Kentucky | 36 | 12 ¹² | 12 ¹² | 12 ¹² |
| Louisiana | 12 | 12 ¹² | 12 ¹² | 12 ¹² |
| Maine | 36 | 12 ¹² | 12 ¹² | 12 ¹² |
| Maryland | 36 | 12 ¹³ | 12 ¹³ | 12 ¹³ |
| Massachusetts | | | | |
| Exempt ¹⁴ | — | — | — | — |
| Nonexempt | No Exemption | No Exemption | No Exemption | No Exemption |
| Michigan | No Exemption | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Minnesota | 36 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Mississippi | 36 | 12 ¹² | 12 ¹² | 12 ¹² |
| Missouri | 36 | 12 ¹² | 12 | 12 |
| Montana | 12 | | | No Exemption |
| Pathways and Community Service Program | — | No Exemption | No Exemption | — |
| Job Supplement Program ¹⁴ | — | — | — | — |
| Nebraska | 12 | | | |
| Time-Limited Assistance | — | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Non-Time-Limited Assistance ¹⁴ | — | — | — | — |
| Nevada | 36 | 12 ¹² | 12 ¹² | 12 ¹² |
| New Hampshire | 36 | | | |
| New Hampshire Employment Program | — | 36 | 24 | 24 ¹⁵ |
| Family Assistance Program ¹⁴ | — | — | — | — |
| New Jersey | 24 ¹⁶ | 3 ¹⁷ | 3 ¹⁷ | 3 ¹⁷ |
| New Mexico | 36 | 12 ¹² | 12 ¹² | 12 ¹² |
| New York | 36 | 12 ¹⁸ | 12 ¹⁸ | 12 ¹⁸ |
| North Carolina | 60 ¹⁹ | 60 ¹⁹ | 12 ¹² | 12 ¹² |
| North Dakota | 24 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Ohio | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Oklahoma | 12 | 3 ¹² | 3 ¹² | 3 ¹² |
| Oregon | 3 ²⁰ | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Pennsylvania | 36 | 12 ¹² | 12 ¹² | 12 ¹² |
| Rhode Island | 36 | 12 | 12 | 12 |

Table L6 Work-Related Exemption When Caring for a Child under X Months, 1996-2002 (July)¹

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| South Carolina | 36 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| South Dakota | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Tennessee | 12 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Texas | 36 | 48 | 36 | 12 |
| Utah | No Exemption | No Exemption | No Exemption | No Exemption |
| Vermont | 18 ²¹ | 18 ²¹ | 18 ²¹ | 24 ²² |
| Virginia | | 18 ²³ | 18 ²³ | 18 ²³ |
| VIEW | 18 ²³ | — | — | — |
| All, except VIEW | 36 | — | — | — |
| Washington | 36 | 12 ¹² | 4 ¹² | 4 ¹² |
| West Virginia | 36 | 12 ²⁴ | 12 ²⁴ | 12 ²⁴ |
| Wisconsin | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Wyoming | 12 | 3 ¹² | 3 ¹² | 3 ¹² |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

¹ This table refers to single-parent unit heads over 21 years of age.

² The caretaker loses this exemption after retaining it for 12 cumulative months.

³ The parent may only receive exemptions, in any combination, for a total of 12 months in his or her lifetime. Any exemptions that the parent is eligible for and uses accumulate toward the 12 months.

⁴ This exemption is limited to one child during a period of continuous TANF eligibility, where continuous is defined as receiving welfare without a break for at least six consecutive months.

⁵ Counties have the option to vary some activities exemptions; this exemption applies only to Los Angeles County. The recipient may only receive this exemption one time; however, he or she may also receive a limited exemption for a second or subsequent child under six months old.

⁶ Counties have the option to vary activities exemptions; these policies refer to Denver County. The exemption does not apply to recipients who have received benefits for 24 or more cumulative months.

⁷ The exemption only applies if the child is not subject to a family cap.

⁸ Recipients may be required to attend classes or other activities.

⁹ The exemption is limited to once in the recipient's lifetime.

¹⁰ The exemption only applies if the child is not subject to a family cap. Caretakers of children subject to a family cap may be exempt if the child is under three months old.

¹¹ If the participant has a newborn child, absence from activities is determined using the standards of the Family Leave Act of 1993. The maximum time available for one parent is 12 workweeks during any 12-month period and for two parents is the aggregate of 12 workweeks of leave for both parents.

¹² The exemption is limited to 12 months in the recipient's lifetime.

¹³ This is a one-time exemption for the first child only.

¹⁴ Recipients who are in this component are automatically exempt from activities requirements. To be included in this component, recipients have to meet certain criteria.

¹⁵ Recipients who have received 39 or more months of assistance will not receive a child care exemption. Recipients who conceive a child while on assistance are exempt only until the child is 12 months old.

¹⁶ Parents of children under age two are required to participate in counseling and vocational assessment.

¹⁷ The exemption may be extended if a physician certifies it is medically necessary.

¹⁸ The exemption may last for no more than 12 months in a recipient's lifetime, and it may not last for more than three months for any one child unless the social services official makes a determination to extend the exemption for up to the total 12 months.

¹⁹ This applies to caretakers with children under five years old, unless the caretaker or parent is working more than 30 hours a week.

²⁰ Native Americans who live in the Confederated Tribe of the Grande Ronde Service District are excluded from JOBS participation.

²¹ The parent is exempt from working but must participate in the Reach Up program.

²² If the recipient cares for a child under 24 months of age, work requirements may be modified or deferred. A participant's work requirement cannot be deferred for this reason for more than 24 months during a lifetime. If the participant has exhausted the 24 months of deferment and has a child is under 13 weeks of age, then the caretaker is automatically exempt from all work requirements.

²³ Recipients caring for children subject to a family cap are only exempt while the child is under six weeks old.

²⁴ The exemption applies only to the birth of a first child. The recipient is exempted for only six months after the birth of any additional child (the six months include any time the recipient chooses to be exempt during pregnancy).

Table L7 Most Severe Sanction Policy for Noncompliance with Work Requirements for Single-Parent Adults, 1996-2002 (July)

| State | 1996 | | 1998 | | 2000 | | 2002 | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (Months) | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (Months) | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (Months) | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (Months) |
| Alabama | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 6 Months | Entire Benefit | 6 Months | Entire Benefit | 6 Months |
| Alaska | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit ¹ | 12 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit ¹ | 12 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit ¹ | 12 Months ⁺ |
| Arizona | — | — | Entire Benefit | 1 Month ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 1 Month ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 1 Month ⁺ |
| All, except JOBSTART JOBSTART | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | 50% ² | 1 Month ⁺ | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Arkansas | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Case Is Closed ³ | 3 Months ⁺ | Case Is Closed | Until Compliance | Case Is Closed ⁴ | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks |
| California | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ |
| Colorado ⁵ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺ |
| Connecticut | Entire Benefit | 3 Months and Must Reapply | Entire Benefit | 3 Months and Must Reapply | Entire Benefit | 3 Months and Must Reapply | Entire Benefit | 3 Months and Must Reapply |
| Delaware | Entire Benefit | Permanent | Entire Benefit | Permanent | Entire Benefit | Permanent | Entire Benefit | Permanent |
| D.C. | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ |
| Florida | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁶ | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺⁷ | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺⁷ |
| Georgia | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | Permanent | Entire Benefit | Permanent | Entire Benefit | Permanent |
| Hawaii | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺ |
| Idaho | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | Permanent | Entire Benefit | Permanent | Entire Benefit | Permanent |
| Illinois | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺ |
| Indiana | — | — | Adult Portion of Benefit | 36 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 36 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 36 Months ⁺ |
| Nonplacement Track | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Placement Track | Adult Portion of Benefit | 36 Months ⁺ | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Iowa | Entire Benefit | 6 Months | Entire Benefit | 6 Months | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁸ | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁸ |

Table L7 Most Severe Sanction Policy for Noncompliance with Work Requirements for Single-Parent Adults, 1996-2002 (July)

| State | 1996 | | 1998 | | 2000 | | 2002 | |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (Months) | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (Months) | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (Months) | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (Months) |
| Kansas | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 2 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 2 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 2 Months ⁺ |
| Kentucky | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Pro Rata Portion of Benefit ⁹ | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance |
| Louisiana | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Case Is Closed | Until Compliance | Case Is Closed | Until Compliance | Case Is Closed | Until Compliance |
| Maine | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ |
| Maryland | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | Until in Compliance for 30 Days | Entire Benefit | Until in Compliance for 30 Days | Entire Benefit | Until in Compliance for 30 Days |
| Massachusetts | | | | | | | | |
| Exempt ¹⁰ | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Nonexempt | Entire Benefit | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks | Entire Benefit | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks | Entire Benefit | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks | Entire Benefit | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks |
| Michigan | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | 1 Month ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 1 Month ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 1 Month |
| Minnesota | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Vendor Payment and 30% ¹¹ | 1 Month ⁺ | Vendor Payment and 30% ¹¹ | 1 Month ⁺ | Vendor Payment and 30% ¹¹ | 1 Month ⁺ |
| Mississippi | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | Permanent | Entire Benefit | Permanent | Entire Benefit | Permanent |
| Missouri | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | 25% | 3 Months ⁺ | 25% | 3 Months ⁺ |
| Montana | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Case Is Closed ¹² | 12 Months | Case Is Closed ¹² | 12 Months | Case Is Closed ¹² | 1 Month |
| Nebraska | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | | | | | | |
| Time-Limited Assistance | — | — | Entire Benefit | 12 Months or the Remainder of 48 Months, Whichever Is Shorter | Entire Benefit | 12 Months or the Remainder of 48 Months, Whichever Is Shorter | Entire Benefit | 12 Months or the Remainder of 48 Months, Whichever Is Shorter |
| Non-Time-Limited Assistance ¹⁰ | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Nevada | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | Permanent | Entire Benefit | Permanent | Entire Benefit | Permanent |

Table L7 Most Severe Sanction Policy for Noncompliance with Work Requirements for Single-Parent Adults, 1996-2002 (July)

| State | 1996 | | 1998 | | 2000 | | 2002 | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (Months) | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (Months) | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (Months) | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (Months) |
| New Hampshire | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| New Hampshire Employment Program | — | — | 66% of Adjusted Payment Standard ¹³ | Payment Period ⁺ | 66% of Adjusted Payment Standard ¹³ | Payment Period ⁺ | 66% of Adjusted Payment Standard ¹³ | Payment Period ⁺ |
| Family Assistance Program ¹⁰ | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| New Jersey | Adult Portion of Benefit | 90 Days ⁺ | Case Is Closed ¹⁴ | 3 Months | Case Is Closed ¹⁴ | 3 Months | Case Is Closed ¹⁴ | 3 Months |
| New Mexico | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Case Is Closed | 6 Months ⁺ | Case Is Closed | 6 Months ⁺ | Case Is Closed | 6 Months ⁺ |
| New York | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Pro Rata Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Pro Rata Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Pro Rata Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ |
| North Carolina | | | | | | | | |
| Work First Active | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ¹⁵ | Entire Benefit | Permanent |
| Pre-Work First and Work First Preparatory ¹⁰ | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| North Dakota | Entire Benefit ¹⁶ | 3 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit ¹⁶ | 3 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit ¹⁶ | 3 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit ¹⁶ | 3 Months ⁺ |
| Ohio | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ |
| Oklahoma | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance |
| Oregon | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance |
| Pennsylvania | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit ¹⁷ | Permanent | Entire Benefit ¹⁷ | Permanent | Entire Benefit ¹⁷ | Permanent |
| Rhode Island | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | 140% of Adult Portion of Benefit ¹⁸ | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks | 140% of Adult Portion of Benefit ¹⁸ | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks | 140% of Adult Portion of Benefit ¹⁸ | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks |
| South Carolina | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Case Is Closed | Must Reapply and Comply for 1 Month | Case Is Closed | Must Reapply and Comply for 1 Month | Case Is Closed | Must Reapply and Comply for 1 Month |
| South Dakota | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Case Is Closed | 1 Month ⁺ and Must Reapply | Case Is Closed | 1 Month ⁺ and Must Reapply | Case Is Closed | 1 Month ⁺ and Must Reapply |
| Tennessee | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 3 Months ⁺ |
| Texas | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ |

Table L7 Most Severe Sanction Policy for Noncompliance with Work Requirements for Single-Parent Adults, 1996-2002 (July)

| State | 1996 | | 1998 | | 2000 | | 2002 | |
|------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (Months) | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (Months) | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (Months) | Amount of Reduction in Benefit | Length of Sanction (Months) |
| Utah | Entire Benefit ¹⁹ | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit ¹⁹ | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit ¹⁹ | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit ¹⁹ | Until Compliance |
| Vermont | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | \$225 | Until Compliance |
| Virginia | | | | | | | | |
| VIEW | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ |
| All, except VIEW | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Washington | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Adult Portion of Benefit or 40%, Whichever Is Greater | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks ²⁰ | Adult Portion of Benefit or 40%, Whichever Is Greater | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks ²⁰ | Adult Portion of Benefit or 40%, Whichever Is Greater | Until in Compliance for 2 Weeks ²⁰ |
| West Virginia | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ |
| Wisconsin | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | Permanent ²¹ | Entire Benefit | Permanent ²¹ | Entire Benefit | Permanent ²¹ |
| Wyoming | Adult Portion of Benefit | 6 Months ⁺ | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance | Entire Benefit | Until Compliance |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: "Adult Portion of Benefit" describes the portion of the benefit the sanctioned individual would have received. Since the table only represents sanctions for single-parent adults, in all cases the sanctioned individual is an adult.

⁺ The unit is sanctioned for a specified number of months, or until the sanctioned individual complies with the activity requirements, whichever is longer.

¹ The adult portion of the benefit is calculated by subtracting the child-only need standard for a one-person household from the adult-included need standard for a two-person household.

² The participant will be removed from the JOBSTART program but will be eligible to participate in the non-JOBSTART component.

³ When the state determines that case closure is not in the best interest of the child, the case will remain open with a 25 percent reduction in benefits until compliance.

⁴ For the seventh and subsequent months of noncompliance, the caseworker has discretion to either reduce the unit's benefits by 50 percent or close the case. If the case is closed, the unit may reapply for his or her full benefits, but the application will be pending until the unit has complied with requirements for two weeks.

⁵ Counties have the option to determine the amount and duration of sanctions. These policies refer to Denver County.

⁶ After the three-month penalty period, benefits are not restored until the sanctioned individual has complied for ten working days. For the second and subsequent sanctions, assistance may still be provided to children under 16 in the unit; these benefits are issued to a protective payee.

⁷ In the second and subsequent sanctions, assistance may still be provided to the children in the unit who are under age 16; these benefits are issued to a protective payee.

⁸ The sanction continues after six months until the sanctioned parent signs a family investment agreement and completes 20 hours of eligible education or work activities.

⁹ Benefits are assigned to a protective payee.

¹⁰ Recipients in this component are not required to participate in work activities; therefore, they have no sanctions.

¹¹ The shelter costs are paid directly to the vendor; any remaining amount of benefit is reduced by 30 percent of the Transitional Standard. The grant reduction must be in effect for a minimum of one month; otherwise it is in effect until compliance. Vendor payments continue for six months after the month in which the parent becomes compliant.

¹² If eligibility requirements are not met and a new FIA has not been negotiated within one month after the end of the sanction period, the case is closed and the unit must reapply for benefits. If requirements are met and a new FIA has been negotiated, the case remains open and only the adult portion of the benefit is removed for the duration of the sanction or until compliance.

¹³ The adjusted payment standard refers to the new benefit amount once the adult portion is removed.

¹⁴ If noncompliance continues after three months, the case is closed and the unit must reapply for further assistance. The recipient must also satisfy an intent to comply before receiving benefits.

¹⁵ After the three-month sanction period, the client is reevaluated. If he or she is still not in compliance on the date of evaluation, he or she is sanctioned for another three-month period.

¹⁶ The entire unit is ineligible if the adult is in noncompliance for four or more months. If the adult is noncompliant for less than four months, only the adult portion of the benefit is removed.

¹⁷ This applies to noncompliance that occurs after the first 24 months of assistance. For noncompliances that occurs within the first 24 months of assistance, the needs of the sanctioned individual are not included for benefit calculation.

¹⁸ If the individual is noncompliant for one to six months, 110 percent of the parent's benefits is reduced from the unit's benefit. For seven to 12 months of noncompliance, 120 percent of the parent's benefits is reduced from the unit's benefit. Months 13 through 18, 130 percent reduction. Months 19 through 24, 140 percent reduction. Following 24 months of noncompliance, the reduction is decreased to 100 percent of the parent's benefit, but the entire remaining benefit must be made to a protective payee. The individual is sanctioned until he or she is in compliance for two weeks.

¹⁹ The entire unit is ineligible if the adult is in noncompliance for two or more months. If the adult is noncompliant for less than two months, only \$100 of the benefit is removed.

²⁰ The sanction remains in effect until the individual is compliant for two weeks; after two weeks of compliance, benefits are restored to their pre-sanction level and the individual is paid retroactively for the two weeks of compliance.

²¹ Wisconsin has four components (see Appendix 1 for description of components). When a recipient is sanctioned and permanently ineligible for benefits in W-2 T, Community Service Jobs, or Trial Jobs, the unit may receive benefits again if they become eligible for one of the other two. There is no permanent sanction for individuals in Unsubsidized Employment.

Table L8 Asset Limits for Recipients, 1996-2002 (July)

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|----------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Alabama | \$1,000 | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ |
| Alaska | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ |
| Arizona | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| Arkansas | \$1,000 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 |
| California | \$2,000 ² | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ |
| Colorado | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| Connecticut | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 |
| Delaware | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |
| DC | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$2,000/3,000 ³ | \$2,000/3,000 ³ |
| Florida | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| Georgia | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |
| Hawaii | \$1,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 |
| Idaho | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| Illinois | \$1,000 | \$2,000/3,000/+ 50 ⁴ | \$2,000/3,000/+ 50 ⁴ | \$2,000/3,000/+50 ⁴ |
| Indiana | \$1,000 | \$1,500 ² | \$1,500 ² | \$1,500 ² |
| Iowa | \$5,000 ⁵ | \$5,000 ⁵ | \$5,000 ⁵ | \$5,000 ⁵ |
| Kansas | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| Kentucky | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 ⁶ |
| Louisiana | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| Maine | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| Maryland | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| Massachusetts | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 |
| Michigan | \$1,000 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 |
| Minnesota | \$1,000 | \$5,000 ⁵ | \$5,000 ⁵ | \$5,000 ⁵ |
| Mississippi | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| Missouri | \$5,000 ⁷ | \$5,000 ⁷ | \$5,000 ² | \$5,000 ² |
| Montana | \$1,000 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 |
| Nebraska | \$1,000 | \$4,000/6,000 ⁸ | \$4,000/6,000 ⁸ | \$4,000/6,000 ⁸ |
| Nevada | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| New Hampshire | \$1,000 | \$2,000 ² | \$2,000 ² | \$2,000 ² |
| New Jersey | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| New Mexico | \$1,000 | \$3,500 ⁹ | \$3,500 ⁹ | \$3,500 ⁹ |
| New York | \$1,000 | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ | \$2,000/3,000 ¹ |
| North Carolina | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 | \$3,000 |
| North Dakota | \$1,000 | \$5,000/8,000 ¹⁰ | \$5,000/8,000 ¹⁰ | \$3,000/6,000/+25 ¹¹ |
| Ohio | \$1,000 | No limit ¹² | No limit ¹² | No limit ¹² |
| Oklahoma | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |
| Oregon | \$10,000 ¹³ | \$10,000 ¹³ | \$10,000 ¹³ | \$10,000 ¹³ |
| Pennsylvania | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |
| Rhode Island | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |
| South Carolina | \$1,000 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 |
| South Dakota | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| Tennessee | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| Texas | \$1,000 | \$2,000/3,000 ³ | \$2,000/3,000 ³ | \$2,000/3,000 ³ |
| Utah | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |
| Vermont | \$1,000 ¹⁴ | \$1,000 ¹⁴ | \$1,000 ¹⁴ | \$1,000 ¹⁴ |
| Virginia | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 |
| Washington | \$1,000 | \$1,000 ¹⁵ | \$1,000 ¹⁵ | \$1,000 ¹⁵ |
| West Virginia | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 | \$2,000 |

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Wisconsin | \$1,000 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 |
| Wyoming | \$1,000 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 | \$2,500 |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: This table captures the asset limits for recipients. If the state designates a different asset limit for applicants, it is included as a footnote.

¹ Units including a member age 60 years and over may exempt \$3,000, all other units exempt \$2,000.

² The asset limit for applicants is \$1,000.

³ Households including an elderly or disabled person may exempt \$3,000. All other units exempt \$2,000.

⁴ The asset limit is based on unit size: one person receives \$2,000, two persons receive \$3,000 and three or more receive another \$50 for every additional person.

⁵ The asset limit for applicants is \$2,000.

⁶ Only liquid resources will be considered for eligibility determinations. Liquid resources include cash, checking and savings accounts, CDs, stocks and bonds, and money market accounts.

⁷ The asset limit for applicants, and recipients who do not sign a self-sufficiency pact, is \$1,000.

⁸ The asset limit is based on unit size: one person receives \$4,000, two or more persons receive \$6,000.

⁹ The total limit is \$3,500; however, only \$1,500 of that amount can be in liquid resources and only \$2,000 can be in nonliquid resources. Liquid resources include the (convertible) cash value of life insurance policies, cash, stocks, bonds, negotiable notes, purchase contracts and other similar assets. Nonliquid resources include a second vehicle, equipment, tools, livestock (with the exception of non-salable domestic pets), one-time sale asset conversion, and lump-sum payments.

¹⁰ The asset limit is based on unit size: one person receives \$5,000, two or more persons receive \$8,000.

¹¹ The asset limit is based on unit size: one person receives \$3,000, two people receive \$6,000, and an additional \$25 is allowed for each additional person thereafter.

¹² Ohio has eliminated the asset test.

¹³ The limit is reduced to \$2,500 if the recipient does not participate in the required activities of his or her case plan.

¹⁴ In addition to the \$1,000 asset limit, up to 100 percent of the unit's total gross earnings from the previous month, if placed in a savings account, will not count toward its asset limit.

¹⁵ \$3,000 in a savings account or certificate of deposit may also be excluded.

Table L9 Vehicle Exemptions for Recipients, 1996-2002 (July)

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Alabama | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Driver | One Vehicle per Driver | All Vehicles Owned by Household |
| Alaska | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household ¹ | One Vehicle per Household ¹ | One Vehicle per Household ¹ |
| Arizona | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household |
| Arkansas | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household |
| California | \$4,500 ^{2E} | \$4,650 ^E | \$4,650 ^E | \$4,650 ^E |
| Colorado | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household | \$4,500 ^{3F} |
| Connecticut | \$9,500 ^E | \$9,500 ^{4E} | \$9,500 ^{4E} | \$9,500 ^{4E} |
| Delaware | \$4,650 ^E | \$4,650 ^E | \$4,650 ^E | \$4,650 ^E |
| D.C. | \$1,500 ^E | \$4,650 ^F | \$4,650 ^F | All Vehicles Owned by Household |
| Florida | \$1,500 ^E | \$8,500 ^E | \$8,500 ^E | \$8,500 ^E |
| Georgia | \$1,500 ^E | \$1,500/4,650 ^{5E} | \$1,500/4,650 ^{5E} | \$1,500/4,650 ^{5E} |
| Hawaii | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household | All Vehicles Owned by Household |
| Idaho | \$1,500 ^E | \$4,650 ^{6F} | \$4,650 ^{6F} | \$4,650 ^{6F} |
| Illinois | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household |
| Indiana | \$1,000 ^E | \$1,000 ^E | \$5,000 ^E | \$5,000 ^E |
| Iowa | \$3,889 per Vehicle for Each Adult and Working Teenager ^E | \$3,889 per Vehicle for Each Adult and Working Teenager ^E | \$3,959 per Vehicle for Each Adult and Working Teenager ^E | \$4,115 per Vehicle for Each Adult and Working Teenager ^E |
| Kansas | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household ⁷ | One Vehicle per Household ⁷ | All Vehicles Owned by Household |
| Kentucky | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household | All Vehicles Owned by Household |
| Louisiana | \$1,500 ^E | \$10,000 ^E | \$10,000 ^E | All Vehicles Owned by Household ⁸ |
| Maine | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household |
| Maryland | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household | All Vehicles Owned by Household |
| Massachusetts | \$5,000 ^F | \$5,000 ^F | \$10,000 ^F /5,000 ^{9E} | \$10,000 ^F /5,000 ^{9E} |
| Michigan | One Vehicle per Household ⁶ | All Vehicles Owned by Unit | All Vehicles Owned by Unit | All Vehicles Owned by Unit |
| Minnesota | \$1,500 ^E | \$7,500 ^E | \$7,500 ^E | \$7,500 ^E |
| Mississippi | \$1,500 ^E | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household ¹⁰ | One Vehicle per Household ¹⁰ |
| Missouri | One Vehicle per Household ¹¹ | One Vehicle per Household ¹¹ | One Vehicle per Household ¹¹ | One Vehicle per Household ¹¹ |
| Montana | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household ¹² | One Vehicle per Household ¹² | One Vehicle per Household ¹² |
| Nebraska | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household ¹³ | One Vehicle per Household ¹³ | One Vehicle per Household ¹³ |
| Nevada | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household |
| New Hampshire | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household Member | One Vehicle per Licensed Driver | One Vehicle per Licensed Driver |
| New Jersey | \$1,500 ^E | \$9,500 ^{14F} | \$9,500 ^{14F} | \$9,500 ^{14F} |
| New Mexico | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household ¹⁵ | One Vehicle per Household ¹⁵ | One Vehicle per Household ¹⁵ |

| Table L9 Vehicle Exemptions for Recipients, 1996-2002 (July) | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
| New York | \$1,500 ^E | \$4,650 ^F | \$4,650 ^F | \$4,650 ^F |
| North Carolina | \$5,000 ^F | \$5,000 ^F | One Vehicle per Driver | One Vehicle per Driver |
| North Dakota | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household |
| Ohio | \$4,600 ^F | All Vehicles Owned by Household | All Vehicles Owned by Household | All Vehicles Owned by Household |
| Oklahoma | \$1,500 ^E | \$5,000 ^E | \$5,000 ^E | \$5,000 ^E |
| Oregon | \$10,000 ^E | \$10,000 ^E | \$10,000 ^E | \$10,000 ^E |
| Pennsylvania | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household |
| Rhode Island | \$1,500 ^E | \$4,600/1,500 ^{16F/E} | \$4,600/1,500 ^{16F/E} | \$4,650/1,500 ^{17F/E} |
| South Carolina | \$1,500 ^E | \$10,000 ^F | One Vehicle Per Driver ¹⁸ | One Vehicle Per Driver ¹⁸ |
| South Dakota | \$1,500 ^{19E} | \$4,650 ^{20F} | One Vehicle per Household ²⁰ | One Vehicle per Household ²⁰ |
| Tennessee | \$1,500 ^E | \$4,600 ^E | \$4,600 ^E | \$4,600 ^E |
| Texas | \$1,500 ^E | \$4,650 ^F per Vehicle Owned | \$4,650 ^F per Vehicle Owned | \$4,650 ^F per Vehicle Owned |
| Utah | \$8,000 ^{22E} | Bv Household ¹² \$8,000 ^{22E} | Bv Household ¹² \$8,000 ^{22E} | By Household ²¹ \$8,000 ^{22E} |
| Vermont | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Driver |
| Virginia | | | | |
| VIEW | \$7,500 ^{23F/E} | \$7,500 ^{23F/E} | \$7,500 ^{23F/E} | \$7,500 ^{23F/E} |
| All except VIEW | \$1,500 ^E | \$1,500 ^E | \$1,500 ^E | \$1,500 ^E |
| Washington | \$1,500 ^E | \$5,000 ^{24E} | \$5,000 ^{24E} | \$5,000 ^{24E} |
| West Virginia | \$1,500 ^E | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household | One Vehicle per Household |
| Wisconsin | \$2,500 ^E | \$10,000 ^E | \$10,000 ^E | \$10,000 ^E |
| Wyoming | \$1,500 ^E | \$12,000 ^{25F} | \$12,000 ^{25F} | \$12,000 ^{25F} |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

^E Equity value of the vehicle.

^F Fair market value of the vehicle.

Note: This table captures the vehicle exemptions for recipients. If the state designates different vehicle exemptions for applicants, they are included as a footnote.

¹ Vehicles used as a home, to produce self-employment income, to transport a disabled family member, or to participate in an approved work activity are also exempt.

² Applicants may only exempt \$1,500 of the equity value of a vehicle.

³ One vehicle per household is exempt if equipped for a handicapped person, used to obtain medical treatment, or used for employment.

⁴ The unit may exempt \$9,500 of the equity value of a vehicle or the entire value of one vehicle used to transport a handicapped person.

⁵ If the vehicle is used to look for work or in travel to work or education and training, the unit may exclude \$4,650 of the value. If the vehicle is not used for these purposes, \$1,500 of the equity value will be excluded. If the vehicle is used more than 50 percent of the time to produce income or as a dwelling, it is totally excluded.

⁶ The value of one specially equipped vehicle used to transport a disabled family member is also exempt.

⁷ Any other vehicles used over 50 percent of the time for employment, needed for medical treatment, used as a home, or specially equipped for use by a handicapped person are exempt.

⁸ Recreational vehicles are not exempt.

⁹ The state compares the value of the vehicle to two standards: \$10,000 of the fair market value and \$5,000 of the equity value. If the value of the vehicle exceeds either of those limits, the excess counts towards the asset limit; however, if the value of the vehicle exceeds both limits, only the excess of the greater amount shall count towards the asset limit.

¹⁰ \$4,650 of the fair market value of the unit's second vehicle is exempt.

¹¹ \$1,500 of the equity value of the unit's second vehicle is exempt.

¹² All income-producing vehicles are also exempt.

¹³ The entire vehicle is exempt only if used for employment, training, or medical transportation; any motor vehicle used as a home is also exempt.

¹⁴ Units with two adults may exempt up to \$4,650 of the fair market value of a second vehicle if it is essential for work, training, or transportation of a handicapped individual.

¹⁵ When public transportation is available, the value of the first vehicle is exempt. When public transportation is not available, the value of one vehicle per participant involved in work activity is exempt.

¹⁶ A unit may exempt either \$4,600 of the fair market value of each vehicle or \$1,500 of the equity value of each vehicle. The entire value of vehicles used primarily for income-producing purposes may be excluded (this includes vehicles such as delivery trucks or taxi cabs). Vehicles used to get to and from work are not considered income-producing vehicles.

¹⁷ A unit may exempt \$4,650 of the equity value of a vehicle. If after this exemption, the remaining value of the vehicle disqualifies the unit from assistance, \$1,500 of the equity value of the vehicle is exempted. The entire value of a vehicle to provide necessary transportation of a disabled family member may be exempted.

¹⁸ Vehicles owned by or used to transport disabled individuals, vehicles essential to self-employment, income-producing vehicles, and vehicles used as a home are also exempt.

¹⁹ A vehicle owned by a child at least 14 years old is exempt if the child is at least a part-time student and a part-time worker, a portion of the payment for the car comes from the child's income, and the car's trade-in value does not exceed \$2,500.

²⁰ \$4,650 of the fair market value of a vehicle is exempt if used for transport members of the unit for education or employment. The unit may also exempt a vehicle used to transport water or fuel to the home when it is not piped in, a vehicle used to transport a disabled member or SSI recipient in the household, and a vehicle used as a home or to produce income.

²¹ \$4,650 is exempt for each vehicle owned by a TANF certified or disqualified household member and up to \$15,000 is exempt for one vehicle owned by a two-parent family. All vehicles used for income-producing purposes are exempt.

²² The entire equity value of a vehicle used to transport a disabled household member is also exempt.

²³ If the fair market value of the vehicle is greater than \$7,500, any equity value greater than \$1,500 is counted in the resource limit.

²⁴ The entire value of a vehicle used to transport a disabled household member is also exempt.

²⁵ The \$12,000 exemption applies to one car for a single-parent unit and to two cars for a married couple.

Table L10 Family Cap Policies, 1996-2002 (July)

| State | 1996 | 1998 | 2000 | 2002 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Alabama | No | No | No | No |
| Alaska | No | No | No | No |
| Arizona | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Arkansas | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| California | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Colorado | No | No | No | No |
| Connecticut | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Delaware | Yes | Yes | Yes ¹ | Yes ¹ |
| D.C. | No | No | No | No |
| Florida | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Georgia | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Hawaii | No | No | No | No |
| Idaho | No | No ² | No ² | No ² |
| Illinois | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Indiana | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Iowa | No | No | No | No |
| Kansas | No | No | No | No |
| Kentucky | No | No | No | No |
| Louisiana | No | No | No | No |
| Maine | No | No | No | No |
| Maryland | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Massachusetts | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Michigan | No | No | No | No |
| Minnesota | No | No | No | No |
| Mississippi | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Missouri | No | No | No | No |
| Montana | No | No | No | No |
| Nebraska | No ³ | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Nevada | No | No | No | No |
| New Hampshire | No | No | No | No |
| New Jersey | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| New Mexico | No | No | No | No |
| New York | No | No | No | No |
| North Carolina | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| North Dakota | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Ohio | No | No | No | No |
| Oklahoma | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Oregon | No | No | No | No |
| Pennsylvania | No | No | No | No |
| Rhode Island | No | No | No | No |
| South Carolina | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| South Dakota | No | No | No | No |
| Tennessee | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Texas | No | No | No | No |
| Utah | No | No | No | No |
| Vermont | No | No | No | No |
| Virginia | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Washington | No | No | No | No |
| West Virginia | No | No | No | No |
| Wisconsin | Yes | No ⁴ | No ⁴ | No ⁴ |
| Wyoming | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Total States with Cap: | 14 | 21 | 21 | 21 |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

¹ In addition to the family cap policy, any child born to an unmarried minor parent after December 31, 1998 is ineligible for cash assistance (whether the minor was receiving aid at the time of the birth or not). These units may receive non-cash assistance services in the form of vouchers upon request, but they will not be automatically given each month. Receipt is based on need.

² The state provides a flat maximum benefit, regardless of family size. However, the payment standard increases with family size, so the benefit for a unit with income may increase with an additional child, but never beyond the maximum benefit level.

³ Nebraska is conducting a demonstration project in five counties that subjects units to a family cap.

⁴ The state provides a flat benefit, regardless of family size.

Appendix 1: Component Descriptions

The WRD and this book define a state's TANF program as having a component when the state divides its entire caseload into mutually exclusive groups that are treated differently across more than one policy area. These groups are usually defined by more than one characteristic. Not every state uses components. For those that do, the following table describes how recipients are divided among the components, how long recipients can remain in the various components, and any interaction between the components.

| Appendix 1: Component Description | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| State | Name of Component | Duration of Component | Description of Component | Maximum Amount of Time in Component ¹ | Component Interaction |
| Arizona | All, except JOBSTART | 11/95 - present | Nonexempt recipients | No limit | The goal of JOBSTART is to place recipients in jobs that lead to unsubsidized employment. Those who do not reach unsubsidized employment after 6 months may receive benefits under the All, except JOBSTART component. |
| | JOBSTART | 11/95 - present | Participants are randomly selected nonexempt recipients who have completed high school/GED and are not enrolled in postsecondary education. The state subsidizes employers to hire JOBSTART participants on a full-time basis. | 6 months | |
| California | Non-Exempt | 7/97 - present | Nonexempt recipients | No limit | Recipients change components only when something happens to change their exemption status. |
| | Exempt | 7/97 - present | Recipients who are 1) a parent/relative, an aided parent of an unaided child, a pregnant woman, or an adult in a Refugee Cash Assistance unit and 2) receive SSI, In-Home Support Services, State Disability Insurance, or Temporary Worker's Compensation. Also exempt are unaided non-parent caretakers. | Until recipient no longer meets exemption characteristics. | |
| Connecticut | Time-Limited Units | 1/96 - present | Units who are subject to the 21-month time limit | No limit | Recipients change components only when something happens to change their exemption status. |
| | Time-Limit Exempt | 1/96 - present | Units exempt from the time limit | Until recipients no longer meet the exemption criteria | |

Appendix 1: Component Description

| State | Name of Component | Duration of Component | Description of Component | Maximum Amount of Time in Component ¹ | Component Interaction |
|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|---|
| Indiana | Nonplacement Track | 5/95 - 3/97 | Recipients who are not job ready or are exempt from activities | No limit | If a Placement Track recipient reaches the 24-month time limit and has served the 36 months of ineligibility, s/he may be placed in the Nonplacement Track. Placement Track recipients may be moved to the Nonplacement Track if they are determined unable to work under existing circumstances. |
| | Placement Track | 5/95 - 3/97 | Job ready recipients | 24 months | |
| Massachusetts | Exempt ² | 11/95 - present | Recipients who are one of the following: receiving SSI; disabled; caring for a relative with a disability; pregnant with a child who is expected to be born within 120 days; caring for a child under the age of 2; teen parents under age 20 meeting living arrangement requirements and attending school; or recipients age 60 or older. This component is exempt from the reduced need and payment standards, time limits, and work requirements. | Until recipients no longer meet the exemption criteria | Recipients change components only when something happens to change their exemption status. |
| | Nonexempt | 11/95 - present | Nonexempt recipients | No limit | |
| Montana | Pathways | 2/96 - 6/01 | New applicants who do not opt for the JSP (diversion) are required to participate in Pathways. Pathways requires a family to complete a Family Investment Agreement (FIA) and limits benefits for adults to 24 months. After the time limit expires, the family enters the CSP. | 24 months | New applicants have the option of participating in JSP. If they do not choose to participate in JSP, they are placed in Pathways. If the new applicant chooses to participate in JSP and at any time needs monthly benefits, the unit is placed in Pathways. After receiving 2 years of assistance under Pathways, the unit is required to move to the Community Service Program (CSP). The unit must meet all the requirements of the CSP component in order to continue receiving benefits. |
| | Community Service Program (CSP) | 2/96 - 6/01 | Recipients whose time limits have expired in Pathways move into CSP. CSP requires that recipients participate in CSP activities. | No limit | |
| | Job Supplement Program (JSP) | 2/96 - 6/01 | Intended to divert applicants from welfare receipt by providing support services (such as Medicaid and child care assistance) and an employment-related cash payment. This program is completely optional and participants must still meet AFDC/TANF eligibility requirements. | n.a. | |

Appendix 1: Component Description

| State | Name of Component | Duration of Component | Description of Component | Maximum Amount of Time in Component ¹ | Component Interaction |
|---------------|---|-----------------------|---|--|---|
| Nebraska | Time-Limited Assistance | 11/95 - present | Units in which the adult member(s) are able to work. | No limit | Recipients change components only when something happens to change their ability to work. |
| | Non-Time-Limited Assistance | 11/95 - present | Units that are not self-sufficient because the adult member(s) are mentally, emotionally, or physically unable to work. These units are exempt from activities requirements. | Until recipients no longer meet the criteria | |
| New Hampshire | New Hampshire Employment Program (NHEP) | 3/97 - present | The NHEP provides financial assistance to units with dependent children who are cared for by a parent or relative who is able bodied for employment. | No limit | * |
| | Family Assistance Program (FAP) | 3/97 - present | The FAP provides financial assistance to units with dependent children who are cared for by a parent or relative who is unable to work due to a physical or mental disability, or are cared for by a relative other than a parent who is not receiving assistance. These units are exempt from activities requirements. | Until recipients no longer meet the criteria | |

Appendix 1: Component Description

| State | Name of Component | Duration of Component | Description of Component | Maximum Amount of Time in Component ¹ | Component Interaction |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| North Carolina | Work First Active | 7/96 - 11/99 | Counties are responsible for assigning individuals to components. Most individuals who are nonexempt from activities requirements are assigned to the Work First Active component. This component specifically includes the following individuals: (1) an individual who has been sanctioned for noncooperation with Child Support; (2) at least one parent in all Unemployed Parent families; (3) a Work First Active participant who has transferred from another county; (4) an individual whose family has been granted an extension for Work First Assistance; (5) a caretaker who is employed at least 30 hours per week regardless of the age of the youngest child; and (6) a caretaker whose youngest child is age 5 or older. | Limited to 24 months | Recipients are generally placed in Work First Active, unless they are exempt or waiting for an activity assignment. Once the unit becomes nonexempt or is assigned to an activity, they move to Work First Active. |
| | Work First Preparatory | 7/96 - 11/99 | Individuals are assigned to Work First Preparatory when they are subject to activities requirements and are waiting to begin active participation. | Based on county resources, assignment to Work First Preparatory should be for a limited time. | |
| | Pre-Work First | 7/96 - 11/99 | Individuals who are exempt from activities requirements (whether temporarily or permanently) are placed in Pre-Work First. | Until recipients no longer meet the exemption criteria. | |
| Oregon | All, except JOBS Plus | 1/96 - present | Recipients not participating in the JOBS Plus program | No limit | * |
| | JOBS Plus | 1/96 - present | Recipients volunteer for the JOBS Plus program, which provides recipients with on-the-job training while paying their benefits as wages from a work-site assignment. | * | |

| Appendix 1: Component Description | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|---|--|--|
| State | Name of Component | Duration of Component | Description of Component | Maximum Amount of Time in Component ¹ | Component Interaction |
| Tennessee | Time-Limited Units | 7/99 - present | Units that are subject to the time limit. | No limit | Recipients change components only when something happens to change their exemption status. |
| | Time-Limited Exempt Units | 7/99 - present | Units that are exempt from the state time limit. These include child-only units, minor parent units, and units where the head is disabled, caring full-time for a disabled family member, caring full-time for an infant less than 4 months old, in drug treatment, over age 60, facing domestic violence or not job ready (functions at or below an 8.9 grade level and is participating in a basic/remedial education program). | Until recipients no longer meet the exemption criteria | |
| Virginia | Virginia Initiative for Employment not Welfare Program (VIEW) | 7/95 - present | All nonexempt recipients that are required to participate in employment activities (unsubsidized, subsidized, community service) | 24 months | * |
| | All, except VIEW | 7/95 - present | Recipients who are exempt from VIEW | No limit | |

| Appendix 1: Component Description | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| State | Name of Component | Duration of Component | Description of Component | Maximum Amount of Time in Component ¹ | Component Interaction |
| Wisconsin | W-2 Transitions | 3/97 - present | Individuals who have been determined not ready for unsubsidized employment and unable to participate in other employment positions for reasons such as an individual's incapacitation or the need to remain in the home to care for another family member who is incapacitated or disabled | 24 months | Recipients should always be placed at the highest level of employment participation possible. Therefore, recipients move between components as appropriate. Time limits may be extended on a case-by-case basis. |
| | Community Service Jobs (CSJ) | 3/97 - present | Individuals who are not ready for immediate regular employment, particularly where attempts to place a participant in an unsubsidized job or Trial Job have failed | 24 months | |
| | Trial Jobs | 3/97 - present | Individuals who are job ready but are not able to obtain an unsubsidized job | 24 months | |
| | Unsubsidized Employment (UE) | 1/98 - present | Individuals who are employed at the time of application or who have a strong employment history and skills. Includes individuals who are capable of obtaining employment, are currently in an unsubsidized job, or were previously assigned to a subsidized employment position. These recipients are not subject to either federal or W-2 time limits. Individuals in this component do not receive cash benefits, but case management and some support services are available. | No limit | |

Source: The Urban Institute's Welfare Rules Database, funded by DHHS/ACF and DHHS/ASPE.

Note: Only those states that have clearly delineated components are included in this table.

Where "No Limit" is listed for "Maximum Amount of Time in Component," it is assumed that units in this component are bound by state time limits. See Tables IV.C.1 and IV.C.2 for information on the maximum amount of time recipients are allowed to receive assistance in the state.

* Data not obtained.

¹ For more information on work exemptions and time limit exemptions, see Tables III.B.1, IV.C.3, and IV.C.4 or for more detail, see the Welfare Rules Database.

² In Massachusetts, the exempt component comprises the majority of the caseload.

About the Authors

Gretchen Rowe is a research associate with the Urban Institute's Income and Benefits Policy Center. Her research has focused on a variety of issues related to the TANF and Food Stamp programs. Currently, she manages the collection and analysis of data for the Welfare Rules Database, which tracks AFDC/TANF policy across states and time.

Victoria Russell is a former research associate with the Urban Institute's Income and Benefits Policy Center. Her research interests include understanding the impact of welfare and child welfare policy and practice on child well being, family structure, and gender roles.